SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
APRIL HUGHES, ET AL.,
Petitioners,
V.
No. 19-1401
NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY, ET AL.,
Respondents.
)

Pages: 1 through 96
Place: Washington, D.C.
Date: December 6, 2021

HERITAGE REPORTING CORPORATION

Official Reporters 1220 L Street, N.W., Suite 206 Washington, D.C. 20005 (202) 628-4888 www.hrccourtreporters.com

1

1 IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES 2 _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ APRIL HUGHES, ET AL.,) 3 4 Petitioners,) 5) No. 19-1401 v. NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY, ET AL.,) 6 7 Respondents.) 8 _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ 9 10 Washington, D.C. 11 Monday, December 6, 2021 12 The above-entitled matter came on for 13 14 oral argument before the Supreme Court of the 15 United States at 11:34 a.m. 16 17 APPEARANCES: DAVID C. FREDERICK, ESQUIRE, Washington, D.C.; on 18 19 behalf of the Petitioners. 20 MICHAEL R. HUSTON, Assistant to the Solicitor General, Department of Justice, Washington, D.C.; 21 22 for the United States, as amicus curiae, 23 supporting the Petitioners. 24 GREGORY G. GARRE, ESQUIRE, Washington, D.C.; on behalf of the Respondents. 25

2

2 ORAL ARGUMENT OF:	PAGE:
3 DAVID C. FREDERICK, ESQ.	
4 On behalf of the Petitioners	3
5 ORAL ARGUMENT OF:	
6 MICHAEL R. HUSTON, ESQ.	
7 For the United States, as amicus	
8 curiae, supporting the Petitioner	s 41
9 GREGORY G. GARRE, ESQ.	
10 On behalf of the Respondents	58
11 REBUTTAL ARGUMENT OF:	
12 DAVID C. FREDERICK, ESQ.	
13 On behalf of the Petitioners	93
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 PROCEEDINGS 2 (11:34 a.m.) 3 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: We'll hear argument next in Case 19-1401, Hughes versus 4 Northwestern University. 5 6 Mr. Frederick. 7 ORAL ARGUMENT OF DAVID C. FREDERICK ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONERS 8 MR. FREDERICK: Thank you, Mr. Chief 9 Justice, and may it please the Court: 10 11 Wasting beneficiaries' money is 12 imprudent. Congress enacted ERISA to impose a duty Judge Friendly famously said was the 13 highest known to the law, a fiduciary duty. 14 15 Under ERISA Section 1104, a fiduciary managing 16 assets in a retirement plan must act with 17 prudence, solely in the interest of 18 beneficiaries, incur only reasonable expenses, 19 and act with care, skill, and diligence. 20 The Seventh Circuit erred by 21 announcing a new rule that immunizes ERISA 2.2 fiduciaries from suit for including imprudent 23 options so long as some of the plan options are prudent. That holding is inconsistent with 24 25 ERISA's plain text, common law principles, and

1 this Court's precedents.

2	In Tibble, for example, this Court
3	held that a fiduciary has an ongoing duty to
4	monitor fund options and to remove imprudent
5	ones. Prudence requires fiduciaries to treat
6	plan assets with skill and care. Respondents
7	maintain funds in the plan with retail fees,
8	even though the exact same investment was
9	available with lower institutional fees.
10	Northwestern also failed even to put
11	its recordkeeping practices out for competitive
12	bid or to use its enormous bargaining leverage
13	to reduce fees.
14	Long after universities like Cal Tech,
15	Purdue, Pepperdine, and Loyola Marymount had
16	reformed their plans, Northwestern finally
17	negotiated for lower fees, made institutional
18	share fees available, and consolidated its
19	recordkeeping. Respondents' own actions confirm
20	the plausibility of Petitioners' complaint.
21	Now, if I could just start with the
22	plain text of the statute, words in 1104
23	solely in the interest of participants, for the
24	exclusive purpose of providing benefits to
25	participants, defraying reasonable expenses with

care, skill, prudence, and diligence under the
 circumstances then prevailing -- those words
 foreclose the rule announced by the Seventh
 Circuit.

5 It is not in the sole and exclusive 6 interest of participants to have to sift through 7 imprudent funds in order to determine which ones 8 are the prudent ones. And yet, that is the 9 implication of the Seventh Circuit's rule and 10 the position that the Respondents advance here.

In Tibble, in ruling on the statute of limitations question, the Court had to provide enough content for the ongoing duty to monitor imprudent funds and to remove them and, in doing so, drew upon common law principles of trust that required similar action to remove imprudent funds.

18 So long as some options are prudent, 19 say the Respondents, the fiduciary cannot be 20 sued for the imprudent ones. But that principle provides no check on a fiduciary, and it 21 2.2 provides no check on inaction or a failure to 23 act in the best interest of the participants. 24 Nor is there a limiting approach or 25 limiting principle to the Respondents' approach.

5

1 They say on page 25 of their brief that one 2 rotten fund would be enough to give rise to a 3 potential breach of fiduciary duty, but where do 4 you draw the line after that? The Respondents 5 don't give any type of answer to that question, 6 and there is none.

7 In our position, we pleaded here plausible claims for a breach of fiduciary duty. 8 In October of 2016, Respondents' own actions 9 10 confirmed the plausibility of the allegations 11 that they had breached their prior -- fiduciary 12 duties prior to that time. They finally 13 consolidated their record-keeper. They finally 14 lowered fees. They finally made institutional 15 share classes available.

16 The complaint gives ample detail about 17 all of these allegations, compared to what the 18 industry norms were at the time and compared to 19 other universities who had acted six years, in 20 some instances, before Northwestern finally got 21 around to responding to the 2007 Department of 2.2 Labor rule change, which was seeking to bring 23 403(b) plans into accordance and alignment with 24 401(k) plans.

25 Now what Northwestern failed to do as

Heritage Reporting Corporation

б

1 a matter of prudent process was that it failed 2 to use its bargaining leverage, notwithstanding 3 the fact that its plans were in the 4 top .2 percent in size of all plans in the 5 country --

6 JUSTICE THOMAS: But aren't you just 7 disagreeing with the strategy? At some point, how much difference would there have to be 8 9 before it doesn't matter? I mean, the -- you 10 could say there could be an egregious case in 11 which they could have made a 20 percent return 12 on investments, but you think that -- you know, 13 they -- they make a 19 percent return. You 14 disagree as to what the strategy should be. 15 I mean, so you say there's no limit 16 for them, but, you know, there's no stopping 17 point for you either.

18 MR. FREDERICK: Well, the stopping 19 point for us, Justice Thomas, is objective 20 reasonableness, which is a band, and that band 21 is one that in the industry under the statutory 22 words, the circumstances then prevailing, is 23 going to recognize a wider band. 24 But let me go back to the focus of

24 But let me go back to the focus of 25 what our complaint is, which is that the very 7

same investment was being offered to
 participants at much higher cost than they
 should have been able to get because they were
 entitled to get the institutional share class
 fees.

6 It would be like if I offered a bottle 7 of water to you, Justice Thomas, and I said 8 would you like to pay \$2 for it or would you 9 like to pay \$1 for it? In this case, the 10 Northwestern fiduciary was charging the 11 beneficiaries \$2 even though the \$1 water --12 bottle of water was available.

13 And that is imprudent, we assert at 14 the pleading stage, and we're entitled to the 15 truth of our averments, that that pleads a -- a 16 cause of action for a breach of fiduciary duty. 17 Now your hypothetical goes to, 18 obviously, a much more difficult question, and 19 that's one that is not in the case directly as we have pleaded it so far, except in a couple of 20

The band of reasonableness is usually going to be tied to some breakdown in process for prudence. Here, because Northwestern never bid out its recordkeeping services, it didn't

instances, but let me try to address it there.

21

9

1 use its bargaining leverage to try to lower 2 fees, it included proprietary funds that were 3 bundled to the record-keeper, we allege that that led to a lower return, and that is a claim 4 for procedural imprudence, as well as a result 5 6 of imprudence. 7 And we think, at this stage, it is enough to meet the plausibility threshold of 8 9 Igbal and Twombly to survive a motion to 10 dismiss. 11 JUSTICE BREYER: On that subject, on 12 pages 101 to 116 of the appendix, you have a big 13 table. 14 MR. FREDERICK: Yes, sir. 15 JUSTICE BREYER: And the first column 16 is all the things that were cheap, and the third column or fourth, third, is all the things that 17 18 were expensive. Same thing, you know, you have 19 a bunch of them. 20 Okay. But what I can't find in the 21 complaint, and I'm sure it's -- I'm not sure 22 whether it's there -- you say that they offered 23 the things in the first column and they were 24 much cheaper. Where do you say they did not 25 offer the things in the third column?

MR. FREDERICK: Well, they didn't 1 2 offer them in the third column. That's the 3 whole point of having the chart. 4 JUSTICE BREYER: That may be the 5 point. All I want to know is where in the complaint it says they did not offer the things 6 7 in the third column. MR. FREDERICK: We say on paragraphs, 8 I think it's 161 and 64, that they offered 9 retail class shares when the investment funds 10 11 were available in the institution --12 JUSTICE BREYER: I know you say that. 13 All I want to be sure --14 MR. FREDERICK: If you're asking --15 JUSTICE BREYER: -- is that you said you -- and they did not offer the -- the other 16 ones. I don't -- see, I'm -- I'm not familiar 17 18 with this. 19 MR. FREDERICK: So, Justice Breyer, 20 let me try to answer --21 JUSTICE BREYER: Yeah. MR. FREDERICK: -- the question in a 2.2 23 very clear term. The fiduciary picks --JUSTICE BREYER: Yeah. 24 25 MR. FREDERICK: -- the fund.

1 JUSTICE BREYER: Yeah. 2 MR. FREDERICK: We're talking about a 3 mid -- let's just use an example -- a mid cap stock fund. The fiduciary picks whether to 4 offer that to the participant at the retail 5 class level, which is offered by the fund 6 7 manager, or to ask that it be done on the institutional class level. 8 JUSTICE BREYER: Well, wait. Look at 9 the words --10 11 MR. FREDERICK: It's the same fund. 12 JUSTICE BREYER: -- you put in there. 13 Look at the words you put in. I'm sure I'm 14 wrong. But the words you put in are driving my 15 suspicion, because what the fund could do --16 suppose -- let's make up a fund. 17 The fund is -- invests in space 18 shuttles. It's called the Space Shuttle Fund. 19 We have the retail version and we have the wholesale version or the institution version. 20 21 Okay? And they could do one. We're only going 2.2 to let you buy the retail version, or they could 23 say we're only going to let you buy the whole --24 the -- the institutional version, or they could 25 say buy either, we offer you both.

1 Now --2 MR. FREDERICK: They don't do that. 3 That's what they don't do. 4 JUSTICE BREYER: And where does it say 5 they don't do it? 6 MR. FREDERICK: They don't -- the way 7 the industry works --JUSTICE BREYER: I'm not asking how it 8 9 works. I'm asking where in the complaint --10 MR. FREDERICK: We say --11 JUSTICE BREYER: -- do you say what 12 you just said --13 MR. FREDERICK: -- pages 98 --14 JUSTICE BREYER: -- that they don't 15 offer both? 16 MR. FREDERICK: Pages 98 to 99 --17 JUSTICE BREYER: Okay. 18 MR. FREDERICK: -- I believe we say 19 that they were available. We say that the --20 JUSTICE BREYER: No, no, I read that 21 with some care. What you say -- and I have it 22 right in front of me -- is you first say they 23 can obtain share classes with far lower costs. 24 Okay? 25 Now you don't say whether they did.

1 You don't say -- but then, if you read further, 2 it says institutional share classes sometimes 3 have a minimum investment threshold. Uh-huh. MR. FREDERICK: We say that those were 4 made --5 6 JUSTICE BREYER: Yeah, yeah, yeah, 7 yeah, but you don't say -- then you say mutual funds will often waive. So, when I read those 8 9 three sentences, I thought what you're talking 10 about is they wrongly failed to bargain. 11 MR. FREDERICK: That's correct. 12 JUSTICE BREYER: All right. If that's 13 your claim -- I have a real question. It's not 14 that I have one side or the other. But I have a 15 real question I can't answer. And it seems to me that someone in your position or -- or your 16 17 client's, you see, of course, a fiduciary shouldn't be able to go into the grocery store, 18 19 to take an example, and pay a thousand dollars 20 for an apple. Even if they're charging a 21 thousand, he should say something. Okay? 2.2 On the other hand, you can't expect a 23 person to go into the Giant grocery and get the 24 best deal on each item. So how do you allege 25 something? I mean, it's a big deal to allege

1 something. You know, they're going to have to 2 have discovery. They're going to have to settle 3 it. We all know all those problems. So what is it you should allege? I --4 I don't want to, I think, just say: Hey, the 5 6 fiduciary has to go out and -- and -- and -- and 7 -- and just make the best bargain on every damn thing in front of him in that -- in that grocery 8 store. On the other hand, you don't want to let 9 him get away with doing nothing either. 10 11 MR. FREDERICK: Justice Breyer --12 JUSTICE BREYER: That's my real question. I don't know. 13 14 MR. FREDERICK: -- this exact same 15 scenario was presented in Tibble, which, as 16 you'll recall, concerned --17 JUSTICE BREYER: Yeah, yeah. 18 MR. FREDERICK: -- three funds that 19 had institutional share available. JUSTICE BREYER: Yeah, but we didn't 20 21 answer this question in Tibble. It was a 22 question of -- it was a question --23 MR. FREDERICK: But, on remand, what 24 happened in the courts below was that the 25 employees won the trial, that there were

1 available these institutional share classes, and 2 that was affirmed on appeal by the Ninth Circuit. 3 The complaint, the whole theory of the 4 complaint is that these were available 5 institutional share class and they were not 6 7 being offered to the plan recipients. JUSTICE ALITO: Well, the Respondents 8 9 say that there are thresholds that had to be 10 met. And you have subsequently determined what 11 the thresholds are for some of these funds, but 12 you didn't allege them in your complaint. But your -- you -- you say that 13 14 for purposes of pleading you didn't need to do 15 that. Is that right? 16 MR. FREDERICK: I -- I don't believe 17 we needed to do that because what we did, 18 Justice Alito, we -- we said that minimum 19 thresholds are waived. We said that jumbo plans 20 get the best deals. 21 We pleaded -- and this is at JA 99 --2.2 98 to 100 -- that they're available if the 23 Respondents would have asked. On allegation at JA 100, we plead that other fiduciaries had 24 25 obtained waivers from TIAA and Fidelity, which

1 are the two that are at issue in this case. 2 So I think, Justice Alito, the question is plausibility. If the issue is how 3 much more specificity is required, I think 4 that's going far beyond Rule 8 of the Federal 5 Rules of Civil Procedure and what is plausible 6 7 on the basis of what's required under Twombly 8 and Iqbal.

9 JUSTICE KAGAN: Mr. Frederick, are you saying that, basically, Northwestern just failed 10 11 to use its existing leverage, failed to bargain, 12 just was -- you know, there was a bargain right in front of it, it -- and it -- it ignored it, 13 14 or, alternatively, there's some aspects of your 15 complaint which suggest, look, they could have 16 gotten the institutional rates if they had only 17 scrapped half their plans so that -- scrapped half their funds, excuse me, so that the money 18 19 would have been redistributed and -- and in each 20 of those remaining funds the threshold would 21 have been met.

Is that part of your complaint here, that -- that they should have consolidated their funds in order to get the institutional rates? Or are you saying, no, forget the consolidation

1 piece of this. Even with their -- the number --2 their existing number of funds, they could have 3 gotten the institutional rate and they should 4 have? MR. FREDERICK: We're saying both. 5 6 They could have gotten the institutional rate. 7 They were eligible for it. They -- all they had to do was ask for it and get it, and they would 8 9 have gotten it. 10 The other universities that did the 11 same kind of thing consolidated. That was the 12 Cal Tech, Purdue, Pepperdine, Loyola Marymount example which we set forth in -- in the 13 14 complaint about 20 pages before these 15 institutional share class. 16 And what was happening in --17 JUSTICE KAGAN: I mean, isn't the 18 consolidation claim a harder one for you? I 19 totally get, you're saying like, my gosh, you 20 know, all they had to say was we want the 21 institutional rate and they would have gotten 2.2 it. That just sounds like negligence and bad trust -- trustee management, whatever. 23 24 But, on the consolidation point, I 25 mean, there is at some -- at some point a

downside to having a non-diverse set of funds, right? And isn't that much harder for courts to figure out? Like, at what point is it like, no, nobody's going to want that plan, it only has three funds in it? MR. FREDERICK: That's why we also

7 pleaded, Justice Kagan, that the industry norm, 8 the circumstances then prevailing, to use the 9 language of the statute, is there has been a 10 reduction in consolidation in the industry ever 11 since the Department of Labor issued its 12 regulations in 2007.

13 And that's why we plead that Cal Tech 14 reduce the number of its offerings and that the 15 average among these types of plans is about 20 16 to 40 rather than the 242 in the retirement plan 17 that were being offered by Northwestern.

I would acknowledge that it is a harder claim to show that there's consolidation that would reduce fees, but there's a lot of expert testimony and expert analysis of that very situation because, in some instances, they were offering 16 funds that offered the exact same investment mix.

25 And the circumstances now suggest that

consolidation will lower fees, it will provide
 an opportunity for less recordkeeping expense,
 it will be better for the beneficiaries, and
 that is to be benefitting -- benefitting the
 plan.

6 JUSTICE GORSUCH: Mr. Frederick, along 7 those lines, I -- I -- I can certainly see that argument, the -- and I'm not -- I'm not talking 8 9 about the first argument. I'm talking about the 10 second argument now. But it does raise some 11 questions about judicial competence and 12 administration and realms of reasonable 13 judgment.

What guidance would you have us give? Because I don't think you'd say -- want courts to say 40 is a magic number and -- and -- and that choice is bad. I mean, all things equal, choice is usually a good thing.

So under what circumstances would you say that restrictions of choice, which would otherwise be a good thing, may not be and -- and what can we say about it that would be helpful? MR. FREDERICK: I think what you can say, Justice Gorsuch, is that the breach of fiduciary claim is an ancient claim. It is one

20

1 that has always looked at objective

2 reasonableness.

JUSTICE GORSUCH: Yes, yes, yes,all right.

5 MR. FREDERICK: The statute says to 6 look at circumstances then prevailing, so you 7 have to look at what's going on in the industry. 8 You also are going to be guided to some extent 9 by whether there are breakdowns in process that 10 lead to such egregious results that you might 11 infer that there had been a bad process.

12 I think those kinds of things are going to help guide courts, but I would also 13 14 just be frank with you to say a negligence cause 15 of action is as old as the law is, and we're 16 talking about, in the breach of fiduciary duty 17 sake -- space, something akin to negligence, 18 except that it is dealing with the objective 19 reasonableness when someone is entrusted with 20 the assets of another person.

JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: But the problem I think is -- you've referred to industry norm a few times, but that's changing, I think you've acknowledged, and, you know, you're trying to look retrospectively at one university: Did

21

1 they change fast enough? 2 Well, there are a bunch of other 3 universities that did the same thing, because there have been a lot of these suits, and 4 they've -- a lot of them have now settled after 5 6 it got past the motion to dismiss. But at what 7 point in time when -- you've named three universities or maybe four that changed. 8 Is 9 that enough to say the industry norm has 10 changed? 11 MR. FREDERICK: Actually, the 12 complaint alleges -- and I think this is on page 13 100 -- that by the time the DOL rules took 14 effect, which was a year and a half after they 15 were promulgated, so January 1, 2009, some 16 57 percent of the 403(b) plans had conformed to 17 bring their practices in line, and by 2013, 18 depending on which survey, and we cited both of 19 them in the complaint, between 80 and 90 percent 20 of the plans, the 403(b) plans, had consolidated 21 to a single record-keeper. 2.2 JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: So was it 23 unreasonable then to not follow that DOL quidance and to provide, as Justice Gorsuch 24 25 says, more choice?

1 MR. FREDERICK: It wasn't a question 2 of choice. It was a question of prudence and 3 whether Northwestern had acted reasonably in 4 essentially being asleep at the switch while 5 everyone else was acting to conform their plans 6 to practice.

7 And to go to the suit point, Justice 8 Kavanaugh, if I could just point out these suits 9 were principally brought, 18 of them, of the 21 10 that have been brought, in 2016, five years ago, 11 and that was as it became completely evident 12 that there were a handful of bad fiduciaries who 13 had not complied with the DOL guidance.

14 There have only been three suits that 15 have been filed since 2016. Two of them were 16 voluntarily dismissed after they were brought 17 before the defendants answered, and the other 18 one settled for a very small amount.

19 So it's not as though -- the -- the 20 actual evidence of harm -- what we're talking 21 about here is a couple of bad outliers that were 22 way behind industry standards in conforming 23 their plans, to the detriment of thousands and 24 thousands of employees.

25 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Mr. Frederick,

1 I -- I -- I have the same concern, I think, that 2 Justice Brever did. I -- I'm wondering if you are, as you say, going after the bad apples but 3 -- or the legal standard, you're saying --4 asking for is that we are -- we would be better 5 6 and more aggressive managers of these plans and, 7 therefore, everybody else is -- is going to have 8 breached their fiduciary duty. 9 When -- when you began, you quoted 10 part of the ERISA standard, but you -- you 11 didn't begin -- you didn't go on and say, you 12 know, "the standards that a prudent man acting 13 in a like capacity and familiar with such 14 matters would use in the conduct of an 15 enterprise of like character with like aims." 16 And -- and I'm just wondering, I mean, 17 does that mean you go and look at the average, or do you come back and say -- you know, like 18 19 soliciting bids, I mean, do you have to know for 20 record-keepers, you know, maybe people do it and 21 sometimes it looks like a good idea and so they 2.2 should? But I don't know that they should be held to the highest -- highest standard. 23 24 I mean, is the fiduciary duty average, 25 or is it the highest standard?

1	MR. FREDERICK: Well, I think that the
2	fiduciary duty, if you read the other words of
3	the statute that I did quote, Mr. Chief
4	Justice because I don't run away from the
5	ones that you did for the sole and exclusive
6	benefit of protecting the fiduciary the
7	the participants. And in the same manner
8	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Well, might
9	the
10	MR. FREDERICK: it is a balancing
11	test
12	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: prudent man
13	in a like capacity
14	MR. FREDERICK: Yeah.
15	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: familiar
16	with all this it seems to me that that
17	those are words that seem I don't know if you
18	want to say it's the average or that it simply
19	is, you know, the normal standards that would
20	apply, as opposed to, you know, slightly below
21	average, as opposed to egregious.
22	I mean, it's the same concern that I
23	think Justice Breyer had. If you said said
24	to somebody, you know, I want you to go out and
25	fill this car with gas, you know, if he came to

1 the intersection and one company, A, was however 2 many, you know, dollars a gallon and somebody 3 else was a lot less, you'd expect him to go to the one that's a lot less. I don't know if 4 you'd expect him to drive, you know, another 10 5 6 miles and go to the Acme gas company or -- or 7 whatever. MR. FREDERICK: It -- it's a band of 8 objective reasonableness, Mr. Chief Justice, and 9 10 that's why offering things out for bid, requesting proposals, seeing what the market is 11 12 offering, that -- those are prudent practices by fiduciaries, and Northwestern didn't do any of 13 14 that. 15 JUSTICE BREYER: Well -- well -- well, 16 that's -- the people who wrote this complaint 17 are very good, and they would have put in --18 that's my assumption. They would have put in to 19 a fine degree everything that they could think 20 of that would help them. 21 And that's why I asked the first 2.2 question. The closest that it comes to saying 23 what you said is where it says on page 100 --24 that I could find, see, I'll go look at it 25 again, and I -- I will look -- we'll really look

26

1 through it -- the closest -- I couldn't find any 2 language which said column three, they didn't 3 have them, okay? But I bet they didn't. Why 4 didn't he say it? Or I found on page 100, were 5 6 available. Ahh. You mean were available to 7 them? Why didn't you say "to them" --MR. FREDERICK: It's the --8 9 JUSTICE BREYER: -- or just available in the market? And then I looked at page 99, 10 11 and 99 makes the other argument. They should 12 have bargained. All right. Now, if I'm really reading 13 14 this with such a nit-picking view that I just 15 did, which may come out of Twombly or Iqbal or, 16 you know, I don't know where, but if that were 17 the situation and you should read it like a real 18 nit-picker, then I can find something lacking. 19 And if I read it not like a 20 nit-picker, it says what you said. So I'm 21 slightly stuck. And -- and -- and I --2.2 and I -- and I -- and that's why I'm -- and I 23 don't even know. I know the apple, if it says a 24 thousand dollars for an apple here and right 25 over there it says a dollar, I mean, my God, of

27

1	course. But but if if if it's like a
2	huge department store and time is limited and so
3	forth, well, you can't expect them to do
4	everything. So that's where I'm stuck.
5	MR. FREDERICK: Well, let me try to
б	unstick you in this way. The second-to-last
7	sentence on page JE 100 says: The following
8	table sets forth each higher-cost mutual fund
9	share class that was included in the plans
10	during the proposed class period for which a
11	significantly lower cost but otherwise identical
12	share class of the same mutual fund was
13	available.
14	JUSTICE BREYER: Well
15	MR. FREDERICK: I think that unsticks
16	you. But I would secondly point out that we're
17	at the pleading stage, and you're supposed to
18	draw the plausible inferences in favor of the
19	plaintiff.
20	And I would third point out the whole
21	idea of moving to rules and and this kind of
22	notice pleading was that everybody was on notice
23	from the district court on that this was the
24	claim that we were asserting. That was how they
25	argued it in the district court. But what they

1 did was they asked the district court and the 2 court of appeals to adopt this anomalous rule 3 that doesn't exist anywhere else, which is that if you have some prudent options, that 4 inoculates you as a matter of law from a claim 5 6 that you have imprudent options. 7 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Mr. Frederick --8 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you, 9 counsel. MR. FREDERICK: That's what we're 10 11 asking you to reverse. 12 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you, 13 counsel. 14 Justice Thomas, anything further? 15 JUSTICE THOMAS: No. 16 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Justice Alito? 17 JUSTICE ALITO: I -- I understand your argument about institutional and -- and retail 18 and about consolidating recordkeeping and 19 20 management. But to the extent your claim is 21 that the fund -- that -- that the offering --2.2 the list of offerings was bloated and included 23 some -- let's say it includes -- let's say a 24 portfolio includes some options that are popular 25 and well -- they're well-known, they're popular,

29

but they have high fees. What -- what is a court supposed to do with a claim like that? MR. FREDERICK: I think you're supposed to say that we plausibly allege a breach of fiduciary duty. Now go back to try to prove that or --JUSTICE ALITO: But what is the

standard for determining whether a -- whether 8 the offerings -- the list of offerings are 9 10 bloated and whether it's a breach of fiduciary 11 duty to include in it something that a lot of 12 investors want, that a lot of inventors like, it's a popular fund, but an expert might say 13 this is unwise because the -- the fees are too 14 15 high and it doesn't comply with -- with modern 16 portfolio theory?

17 MR. FREDERICK: I think that if we get 18 to the merits, which is, I think, where your 19 question is going, Justice Alito, if I may, and we're not at the merits now, we're just at the 20 21 pleading stage, but if we get to the merits, the 2.2 standard is going to be whether, in light of the 23 prevailing then circumstances, did the fiduciary 24 here breach the fiduciary duty by not -- not 25 acting reasonably with respect to expenses and

30

1 consolidating those funds where there was duplication? We offer -- we offer a lot of 2 3 allegations of lots of duplication where there is not a benefit to the beneficiary, other than 4 confusing that person by having too many options 5 6 that are basically all the same, and it's like 7 looking for the needle in the haystack. CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Justice 8

9 Sotomayor.

10 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Mr. Frederick, I 11 think that your strongest argument is with 12 respect to the institutional shares because, 13 you're right, we have to read that plausibly. 14 And you say others have offered institutional 15 shares without the minimum, and they could have 16 done this. You have to prove it, but assuming 17 that's plausible.

18 The second, which I have a problem 19 with, is your recordkeeping fees because I think 20 that your obligation there would be that you 21 have to allege what that market rate is on the 2.2 open market, and I don't see where you do that. 23 I mean, you -- I don't see -- you say it's \$35, 24 but you don't give examples of where people have 25 negotiated to that price, that that somehow is

1 the market rate. 2 They did renegotiate and they got it 3 down to \$42, so you're halfway there, okay? But I don't know how -- in a complaint, how you 4 could plausibly allege a price unless you allege 5 6 why that's the market rate. 7 MR. FREDERICK: So, Justice Sotomayor, 8 the price is a proxy for the imprudence in the 9 result of a failed process. We allege at pages 10 73 to 77 of the joint appendix that four other 11 universities consolidated their record-keepers 12 and thereby lowered their recordkeeping fees. 13 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: That's so hard 14 because consolidating -- there is so much going 15 on with one or two record-keepers. I don't know 16 how you ever could allege that having one as 17 opposed to two is imprudent --18 MR. FREDERICK: We --19 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: -- because I'm 20 assuming that there is value to having two 21 because you don't want to get rid of TIAA 2.2 because of its institutional situation. So, if 23 I reject that argument that having one or two is 24 the classic fiduciary right, don't you -- or --25 or choice, how do you get to your second stage,

1 that having two would still have gotten you a
2 lower price? Where do you allege that in your
3 complaint?

MR. FREDERICK: We allege that one of 4 5 the universities that now escapes me went from 6 seven to two to one record-keeper. We allege 7 that 90 percent of the 403(b) plans by 2013 had 8 moved to one record-keeper. They had done that 9 to reduce the fees. We allege that there were more fees being paid by four to five times than 10 11 was prudent.

12 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: So, if I reject 13 your basic premise that choices between one and 14 two are imprudent, because I just don't see how 15 you could allege enough to destroy prudence, 16 because there are still people with two, there 17 are still people with -- and two doesn't seem 18 outrageous to me, how do you get to what your 19 market price is?

20 MR. FREDERICK: Well, they never had a 21 process to determine whether or not even those 22 two were offering market rates. That's --23 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: The process has to 24 lead to losses. 25 MR. FREDERICK: Correct. And the

32

1 losses --2 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: So I'm getting to 3 what's the loss. How have you alleged the loss 4 here? MR. FREDERICK: We alleged the loss 5 6 that they were paying 4 to 5 million dollars a 7 year when a reasonable fee would have been approximately a million. That's at JA 96. 8 9 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: For two? 10 MR. FREDERICK: Correct. The -- the 11 -- even -- even the having two might be prudent 12 had they ever gone to Fidelity and TIAA and 13 said, we are one of the very largest plans; we 14 want you to reduce your fees. 15 They finally did that in 2016, and 16 they got a rebate. We allege that other 17 universities in 2008 and '9 and '10 had done the 18 same thing to get fee rebates on their 19 recordkeeping expenses. 20 It is plausible to suppose that a plan 21 that was even bigger than those university plans 2.2 also could get a rebate for recordkeeping 23 expenses that were unnecessary. 24 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Did they negotiate 25 for a reduction in fee? You talk about 2016.

33

34

1 Did they reduce the rate as well? 2 MR. FREDERICK: They did. And that 3 was part of our allegation, that it was seven years after all these other universities had 4 done the same thing and gotten savings of 5 6 millions of dollars a year for their retirees. 7 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Justice Kagan? 8 JUSTICE KAGAN: So just to clarify 9 that, am I right in saying that your complaint 10 says that their recordkeeping fees were too 11 high, even if you put aside the issue of 12 consolidation? In other words, even if you say 13 there's -- we're -- we're not saying that they 14 had to have one or that they had to have two or 15 that they had to have any number. It's just 16 they were too high. The complaint says that? 17 MR. FREDERICK: Yes. We --18 JUSTICE KAGAN: And it also says, am I 19 right, that they should have consolidated, and 20 that was one way but only one way to reduce the recordkeeping fees? Am I right? 21 2.2 MR. FREDERICK: That's correct. 23 JUSTICE KAGAN: Okay. Thank you. And 24 in -- in a way, that makes it very similar, it's very parallel, to the investment fees --25

1	MR. FREDERICK: That's
2	JUSTICE KAGAN: right? Because the
3	consolidation thing, it's one way but only one
4	way of solving a problem that you think exists
5	even regardless of consolidation? Am I right?
6	MR. FREDERICK: That's correct. And
7	that's why I would point to the process. Where
8	all these other universities were putting these
9	out for competitive bid, Northwestern was not
10	doing that. Northwestern was relying on its,
11	you know, favored record-keeper that had an
12	economic incentive to keep it tied in, and it
13	didn't try to get the best rate that even those
14	record-keepers were providing.
15	JUSTICE KAGAN: Right. So and, I
16	mean, one one kind of allegation is, fine,
17	you want to use TIAA and Fidelity, that's fine,
18	but go back to TIAA and Fidelity and say: I
19	don't know if you're giving us the best rate
20	here. We're going to ask you to do better.
21	MR. FREDERICK: That's correct.
22	JUSTICE KAGAN: Okay. Thank you.
23	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Justice
24	Gorsuch?
25	JUSTICE GORSUCH: So I I understand

the institutional share point. I understand, I
 think, the cost point. I'm still stuck on the
 duplicative investment point.

As a first -- I guess the most -- most 4 basic question is you allege that plaintiffs are 5 6 confused by having too many options. Do -- do 7 you allege that your clients are actually confused? I didn't see -- and maybe I missed 8 9 it. It's a long complaint. Justice Breyer is 10 right, it's got a lot of paragraphs. It's well 11 done. Do we -- is there an allegation that 12 these plaintiffs are confused? And is that 13 something that we should take cognizance of or 14 care about given that choice would, other things 15 equal, normally be a good thing? 16 MR. FREDERICK: I think that you can 17 plausibly read the complaint to say that our 18 client, the immediate three that are before you, 19 were confused by having all of the options, 20 although the words are not directly put in the 21 description of the participants.

22 JUSTICE GORSUCH: Okay. Let -- let --23 let --24 MR. FREDERICK: I would say --25 JUSTICE GORSUCH: -- let's -- let's

36

say reading Twiqbal, if I might, reasonably but 1 2 not too parsimoniously, we find that -- that 3 there isn't sufficient allegations with respect to your -- the three named plaintiffs. 4 What would be the upshot of that? 5 6 MR. FREDERICK: No change because the 7 statute provides a cause of action on behalf of 8 the plan that participants or the Secretary can 9 bring an action on behalf of the plan. 10 It is plausible here, Justice Gorsuch, 11 because, in 2016, the Respondents consolidated 12 from 242 plans to 32 mutual fund options. Again, we say their own actions plausibly 13 14 confirm the correctness of our complaint. 15 The question really is one of timing. 16 Their defense will have to be we couldn't have 17 done it before now. We're going to be arguing 18 they could have done it much earlier. And 19 that's where the battle ground on -- on facts will be done if you permit this complaint to go 20 forward. 21 2.2 If we do that, I JUSTICE BREYER: 23 mean, that's, again, a dilemma. Look -- and, to 24 me, it's a dilemma. Maybe it isn't to anybody 25 else.

1	But but these funds, I mean,
2	they're enormously complicated and they have
3	hundreds of sub-funds and so forth. So it's the
4	easiest thing in the world if they have a lot of
5	choices. You say you had too many choices. And
6	if they have only a few choices, you say you had
7	too few choices. And so whatever they do,
8	you're going to say this was wrong. And then
9	what we'll be launching into is the you know
10	the arguments and so forth.
11	MR. FREDERICK: Right.
12	JUSTICE BREYER: Okay. So so what
13	what do we do? You don't want them to you
14	you don't want them to behave imprudently.
15	We're we're at a at the same time, you
16	don't want a a a group of plaintiffs to be
17	able to say whatever they do, we're going to
18	call it imprudently and there we go, ha-ha.
19	Nobody wants that.
20	So so what is it that we say that
21	that prevents those two evils, which are
22	opposite?
23	MR. FREDERICK: Well, I think, number
24	one, you rely on facts and you rely on the
25	development of facts in the ordinary process.

1	When you're at the pleading stage, you read the
2	complaint plausibly to assume the truth.
3	JUSTICE BREYER: I would have said
4	that before Twombly and Iqbal.
5	MR. FREDERICK: Well, after Twombly
б	and Iqbal, I think that the two standards in
7	Iqbal is, is there a context in which to view?
8	We give you the context in spades by talking
9	about all the other universities, and we have
10	lots of industry experts who are quoted in the
11	complaint.
12	We meet the Twombly standard because
13	there wasn't an obvious alternative where they
14	failed even to ask as a matter of process to get
15	lower fees.
16	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Justice
17	Kavanaugh?
18	JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: To pick up on
19	Justice Kagan's points about the parallelism, I
20	think the retort to your position would be both
21	claims really depend on some consolidation
22	because I think they say that in the first on
23	Count V, that absent consolidation, you haven't
24	sufficiently alleged that there actually that
25	there was available that it was available,

40

1 that you haven't met the minimum -- there haven't been sufficient allegations that the 2 3 minimum investment requirements were met or that you could get a waive -- waiver or that they 4 could get a waiver. And so I think, absent the 5 6 consolidation, they're saying there's not enough 7 there to show they could have achieved this, which makes it all depend on consolidation. 8 9 So too on the recordkeeping. I think 10 it's -- if you want to keep TIAA and you look at their amicus brief, you would have to drop 11 12 Fidelity, I guess. And so I -- I just want to get your reaction to that. Maybe that's not the 13 14 right way to look at it. 15 MR. FREDERICK: Well, paragraph 159, 16 Justice Kavanaugh, does not talk about 17 consolidation, but it does talk about 18 negotiating other fiduciaries who negotiated 19 with Fidelity and TIAA-CREF to get the institutional class shares. That is a plausible 20 allegation in light of all of the other detail 21 2.2 in the complaint. So I don't think that one 23 rests solely on consolidation. 24 The recordkeeping allegations about 25 the other universities -- and this is at pages

41

1	73 to 82, roughly, of the joint appendix go
2	into the detail of what those other universities
3	did as a matter of process, and I think that
4	they plausibly suggest that Northwestern could
5	have done the same thing and thereby reduced
б	their recordkeeping expenses.
7	JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: Thank you.
8	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you,
9	counsel.
10	Mr. Huston.
11	ORAL ARGUMENT OF MICHAEL R. HUSTON
12	FOR THE UNITED STATES, AS AMICUS CURIAE,
13	SUPPORTING THE PETITIONERS
14	MR. HUSTON: Mr. Chief Justice, and
15	may it please the Court:
16	The text of ERISA requires the
17	administrators of a defined contribution plan to
18	act with "care, skill, prudence, and diligence"
19	when they perform their fiduciary duty to select
20	the investment funds and record-keepers for the
21	plan.
22	Mr. Frederick has ably explained why
23	the allegations in this complaint, assuming them
24	to be true at this stage, show that Respondents
25	here acted imprudently by wasting plan

1 participants' retirement savings.

I'd like to focus this morning on the rule of law adopted by the Seventh Circuit and advocated by Respondents. They assert that ERISA fiduciaries cannot be sued for offering imprudent funds with excessive fees so long as the fiduciaries offered some prudent funds with reasonable fees.

That rule is wrong for at least four 9 It flouts ERISA's text. It is -- it 10 reasons. 11 has no support in the common law of trusts, from 12 which ERISA's text derived. It is inconsistent with this Court's precedents, especially Tibble 13 and Dudenhoeffer. And it would effectively 14 15 immunize fiduciaries for broad swaths of 16 imprudent management just because the fiduciaries performed their jobs adequately in 17 18 at least a few instances. 19 For all of those reasons, the judgment 20 of the court of appeals should be reversed. 21 I'd like to just begin with the 2.2 statutory text. As was discussed in the last 23 argument, the statutory standard requires

24 careful, skillful, prudent, diligent management.

25 These are the benchmarks that Congress

1 incorporated drawing on trust law, the -- the 2 wide body of trust law, in order to determine what constitutes prudent management. 3 And when, as here, the complaint 4 alleges that trustees have the opportunity to 5 6 obtain a better rate, a lower cost, the 7 Restatement of Trusts and all of the major trust law treatises on which this Court has previously 8 9 relied in its ERISA jurisprudence make clear 10 that trustees have an obligation to make careful 11 cost comparisons among alternatives that are 12 being selected for the plan. It --JUSTICE THOMAS: If -- if a trustee or 13 14 administrator followed that advice to the 15 detriment of its returns or performance, would 16 that administrator then be considered imprudent? 17 MR. HUSTON: Well, Justice Thomas, a 18 claim of imprudence does not focus principally 19 on the returns. It's not sufficient to state a 20 claim to say that --21 JUSTICE THOMAS: So then why should it 2.2 focus principally on the expenses? 23 MR. HUSTON: Well, it focuses on 24 process, but expenses are an important part of 25 prudent management, Your Honor, absolutely. The

44

1	Respondent makes the Restatement makes that
2	clear. All of the trust treatises say that.
3	And that's because the amount of
4	expenses that you pay as a member of a plan can
5	pretty significantly affect the ultimate balance
б	at retirement in light of compounding. So,
7	absolutely, it's true that fiduciaries, prudent
8	fiduciaries, have an obligation to pay careful
9	attention to costs.
10	And I think it
11	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Mr. Huston, is
12	is that the only factor? I mean, let's say
13	I mean, the mutual fund plans, they advertise
14	a lot on television, and it doesn't say just we
15	have the lowest cost. You know, they've got
16	different characters and, you know, try I
17	mean, what what if people in the fund say,
18	you know, I really like, whatever the gecko's
19	not funds, right? That's just insurance?
20	MR. HUSTON: Let's pick Fidelity.
21	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: They say I
22	like that guy
23	MR. HUSTON: Yeah, I know.
24	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: or I like
25	the guy for E.F. Hutton who used to be on

1 MR. HUSTON: Sure. 2 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: -- I want to 3 invest in those funds. I mean, is that -- are you supposed to say no, you can't? 4 MR. HUSTON: No, Your Honor. You -- I 5 6 think that the situation that you're 7 hypothesizing is one where fiduciaries are 8 comparing apples and oranges. They're trying to 9 decide, should we invest in the Vanguard small 10 cap index fund or the Fidelity bond fund? That 11 is not anything like the allegations that we're 12 talking about here. 13 The allegations in this complaint are 14 that the funds are identical. The only 15 difference between the share cost --16 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: That's one of 17 the --18 MR. HUSTON: -- is the cost. 19 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: -- it's one of the sets of allegations. One thing Mr. 20 Frederick emphasized that I'd like to get the 21 2.2 government's view on it is that one reason you 23 know these people were bad is because they fixed 24 something. In other words, their own actions 25 show that they were doing something wrong.

46

1 Is that a factor that we should 2 consider, or is the -- the incentive -- would we 3 be creating an incentive not to fix things if we said you're in trouble because you fixed them? 4 MR. HUSTON: Well, Your Honor, I think 5 6 the fiduciaries have a fiduciary duty to fix 7 things if they have an opportunity to do so. The fact that the complaint alleges, as Your 8 Honor notes, that these fiduciaries went out in 9 10 2016 and took some of the very steps that 11 Petitioners allege they were required to take --12 and, specifically, they consolidated the plan lineup in order to gain access to institutional 13 14 class shares, and, as Mr. Frederick said, they 15 obtained rebates from their existing 16 record-keepers and lower costs -- the fact that 17 they did it, I would say, at the pleading stage, supports the plausibility of Petitioners' 18 19 allegation that they could have done it sooner. 20 It's not dispositive by any means, but it's one piece of evidence that the trier of 21 2.2 fact will need to consider in response to the 23 defense asserted by my friend, Mr. Garre, that 24 these -- these opportunities really weren't 25 available to the plan.

1 JUSTICE BREYER: Then -- then is this 2 -- I assume what I'm about to say is false. Ιt is not true that the Seventh Circuit said, if 3 you offer a small retail space shuttle fund, 4 that's good enough if you also offer a large 5 6 space shuttle fund. 7 They said, if you don't offer that large space shuttle institutional fund, that's 8 9 okay because you also offered the -- sorry, the large institutional farm fertilizer fund, all 10 11 right? Is that what they said, the latter? 12 MR. HUSTON: Well, Your Honor, I think 13 the fact --14 JUSTICE BREYER: In other words, you 15 offered some other fund, large institutional 16 fund, that had nothing to do with what we're talking about, which is they should have offered 17 18 the identical -- so it's the latter, they said, 19 right? MR. HUSTON: The Seventh Circuit said 20 that because the fiduciaries had the opportunity 21 2.2 -- I'm sorry, the participants --23 JUSTICE BREYER: Yeah. MR. HUSTON: -- had the opportunity to 24 25 invest in some other low-cost funds that --

1 JUSTICE BREYER: But not other in the 2 same type --3 MR. HUSTON: Exactly. JUSTICE BREYER: -- totally? Okay. 4 Ι 5 got that. 6 MR. HUSTON: Exactly. Other, 7 different --JUSTICE BREYER: Then -- then the 8 9 argument would have to be, which you'll say is a defense, look, if we're going to -- if we're 10 going to offer X, we've got to do something 11 12 because we only have a certain amount of money, how about all the other things we offer? 13 14 And -- and there was a judgment for us 15 to decide how to do that or something like that. 16 But that's a defense. Is that the point? 17 MR. HUSTON: It is the point and with -- and I would just add one thing, Your Honor. 18 19 JUSTICE BREYER: Okay. I got it. MR. HUSTON: When the fiduciaries made 20 21 the decision that particular kinds of mutual 22 funds were good options to offer to their plan 23 participants, they said we've looked, we think 24 Fidelity's small cap mid-value fund is the one 25 that we want, that's one that we want to offer

1 to our participants in the plan, the obligation 2 on the fiduciaries was to offer that specific 3 investment at the lowest price that they could get it. 4 And the core allegation in this 5 complaint is that the fiduciaries failed to do 6 7 that, and if they prove that allegation, there's 8 simply no prudent explanation --9 JUSTICE KAGAN: So the way that you 10 say it, Mr. Huston, the complaint really is they 11 didn't negotiate hard enough, they didn't put 12 things out for competitive bids, they just -they were paying, you know, too much for the 13 14 only thing that anybody wanted. 15 But there's another set of this --16 allegations in this complaint, which are more 17 along the lines of they offered too many funds 18 and they had too many record-keepers. And if 19 they had only consolidated, whether the funds or the record-keepers, they could have gotten lower 20 21 prices. 2.2 And as for me, that's the one that seems a little bit more, I don't know, I have to 23 think about that. 24 25 MR. HUSTON: Sure.

1 JUSTICE KAGAN: So what do you think 2 about that? 3 MR. HUSTON: Your -- Your Honor, let me just start with offering the duplicative 4 I think, if you look at, for example, JA 5 funds. 102 and JA 106, you will see that before the 6 7 plan consolidated their lineup, they offered funds that are very, very similar to each other. 8 9 So just to take one concrete example, life cycle funds, right? These are funds that 10 11 are offered to participants based on the target 12 date of their retirement, and they automatically 13 balance themselves. 14 And so you pick a fund, like, if you 15 want to retire in 2050, you pick the 2050 life 16 cycle fund. 17 The plans offered both the Fidelity 18 2050 fund and the TIIA 2050 retirement fund. A participant's only going to pick one or the 19 20 other in the normal course. Those are very, very similar. 21 2.2 JUSTICE KAGAN: Yeah. Do you think 23 that that's possibly because the people who are 24 participants in these plans, people roam around 25 among different universities, and they

51

1 actually -- some people, like: I'm used to 2 dealing with Fidelity. And other people are: 3 I'm used to dealing with TIAA. And that there's 4 a value to the plan and having variety for the 5 sake of variety?

6 MR. HUSTON: If I might make just two 7 points about that, Your Honor.

The first is that I think that is a 8 9 defense that the Respondents are going to have 10 the opportunity to present at trial. They're 11 going to be able to say: Look, there's a 12 sensible explanation for everything we did. We 13 picked two funds that seemed duplicative 14 because, actually, the people in our funds 15 really like having access to both. We're at the 16 pleading stage, and the inferences have to be 17 drawn in the Respondents' favor.

And then the other thing I would say in response to that is that might be a defense, but it might not be a defense if the difference between consolidating from two life cycle 2050 funds down to one life cycle 2050 fund is you can massively reduce the fees by getting access to the institutional class first.

25 JUSTICE GORSUCH: Mr. Huston, the

52

1	government seemed to take a position in its
2	brief, as I recall, and correct me if I'm wrong,
3	please, on on the on what I'll call the
4	retail and institutional question and on the
5	recordkeeping question, but it didn't take a
б	position on the duplicative fund question.
7	Your answers to Justice Kagan seem to
8	suggest a position, but I'm just curious what's
9	going on there?
10	MR. HUSTON: Sure, Your Honor. We
11	you're correct that we have not taken a position
12	on the allegation, the theory of liability in
13	the amended complaint that there were too many
14	funds in the plan and that that led to
15	participant confusion.
16	All I'm saying is that when the
17	question is we certainly have taken a
18	position, as Your Honor notes, that these it
19	was imprudent to offer retail class shares once
20	
21	JUSTICE GORSUCH: Yeah, yeah, yeah.
22	I've got I've got that, yeah.
23	MR. HUSTON: I think a factual
24	allegation that's in the complaint that supports
25	the plausibility of that claim in which

1 JUSTICE GORSUCH: Forget about that 2 claim. I'm not interested in that claim for the 3 I'm just focused on the duplicative -moment. purely duplicative choices claim. Do you think 4 that there is a sufficient basis that these 5 plaintiffs were confused to support injury for 6 7 purposes of Article III? MR. HUSTON: Your Honor, we haven't 8 taken a position on that claim. The claim 9 about -- that there were too many funds and that 10 it caused confusion is not -- we have not -- the 11 government has not taken a position on that. 12 13 JUSTICE GORSUCH: Do you think we 14 should be cautious about that claim given that 15 choice for the reasons Justice Kagan and you 16 explored a moment ago is often a consumer good? 17 MR. HUSTON: Choice can be a good, 18 Your Honor. It's not a good in and of itself. 19 I -- I think it always depends, as this Court said in Dudenhoeffer, on the facts and 20 21 circumstances. And so we need to know, in order 2.2 to answer the question thoughtfully, I think I 23 need to know both what is the value of the choice that's being pursued, why is more choice 24 25 better, and what is the cost of the choice?

1 If the cost of the choice is we're 2 talking about 20, 40, 80, 100 percent increase in the cost of the fees, all of a sudden maybe 3 it's not prudent. So --4 5 JUSTICE GORSUCH: Thank you. MR. HUSTON: -- I think that -- I 6 7 think that just gets back to the need to scrutinize -- to look carefully at the 8 9 allegations in this complaint and to recall 10 we're, of course, at the pleading stage, where 11 all of the inferences have to be taken in 12 Respondents' favor. JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: What do we --13 14 JUSTICE ALITO: How often do these cases get beyond the pleading stage? 15 16 MR. HUSTON: Well, there are a number 17 of courts, Your Honor, that, of course, have -that gave rise to the circuit split in this case 18 19 that denied motions to dismiss, similar types of 20 claims, and allowed them to proceed. The claim in Tibble that tried to --21 2.2 JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: And those settled, 23 though. I mean, isn't the -- the concern in the amicus briefs, and I don't know how to deal with 24 25 this, is that these class action complaints are

55

1 such that the game is to get past pleading 2 stage. 3 We've heard from Mr. Frederick and you 4 the phrase "pleading stage" multiple times. This is just the pleading stage, don't worry 5 6 about it, it can all be worked out at trial. Tt. 7 doesn't happen in the real world. What do we do about that? 8 9 MR. HUSTON: Respectfully, Justice 10 Kavanaugh, I don't think that's quite right that 11 it doesn't happen in the real world. It came in 12 _ _ 13 JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: That's a -- it 14 doesn't happen often because there's huge 15 pressure to settle, which has happened in many 16 of these university 403(b) cases over the last 17 few years. And I'm not saying which way that cuts, but I'm just saying the "just the pleading 18 19 stage" thing, which we've heard over and over 20 again, kind of --21 MR. HUSTON: There --2.2 JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: -- forces us not 23 to deal with the reality of what's going on. 24 MR. HUSTON: Justice Kavanaugh, there 25 have been cases that have settled. There have

1 been cases like Tibble and Sacerdote against New York University that went to trial. 2 3 I think the important point for purposes of this Court is that the Court was 4 confronted with almost exactly the same argument 5 in Dudenhoeffer. 6 7 The fiduciaries in Dudenhoeffer came in and said unless you really tighten up the 8 9 pleading standard, it's going to be way too easy to bring imprudence lawsuits. It's going to be 10 11 too expensive to do this kind of management, and 12 plans are going to stop offering 401(k)s. 13 The Court confronted that allegation 14 and said, no, we are not going to adopt any 15 special rule or assumptions favoring the 16 prudence or the fiduciaries. Instead, we're 17 going to look carefully at the allegations in 18 the complaint. 19 JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: And some of the 20 amicus briefs also say that being a fiduciary now is -- is really a difficult task for the 21 2.2 person individually. They'll have individual 23 problems in the wake of doing that and that the 24 fiduciary insurance market is problematic now. 25 I mean, I think your answer's going to

57

1 be, you know, that's not really before us, but should we think about that at all, or is that --2 you know, where -- where does that play -- play 3 out in all this? Is that up for Congress to 4 think about or --5 MR. HUSTON: Well, of course, it's 6 7 always up for Congress, Your Honor. 8 JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: Right. 9 MR. HUSTON: But I think -- I don't think the Court can amend or should amend the 10 11 Twombly and Iqbal framework for analyzing the 12 plausibility of an allegation in the complaint 13 based on concerns about that there's too many of 14 these lawsuits. 15 Again, I think that's exactly what the 16 Court was asked to do in Dudenhoeffer and 17 declined to do. I also think the story in the 18 real world is more complicated than Respondent 19 and some of its amici suggest. Certainly, fiduciaries are 20 21 indemnified, they get insurance, and they get 22 advice from the Department of Labor and others 23 about how a reasonable fiduciary acts. 24 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you, 25 counsel.

1	Justice Thomas?
2	Justice Breyer?
3	Justice Kagan?
4	Justice Kavanaugh?
5	Thank you, counsel.
6	Mr. Garre.
7	ORAL ARGUMENT OF GREGORY G. GARRE
8	ON BEHALF OF THE RESPONDENTS
9	MR. GARRE: Thank you, Mr. Chief
10	Justice, and may it please the Court:
11	This case is one of a barrage of
12	damages actions filed against leading
13	universities across the country, in Petitioners'
14	own words, to revolutionize fiduciary practices
15	not through prospective changes to ERISA or its
16	regulations but through the blunt threat of
17	damages actions for past conduct.
18	For three overriding reasons, this
19	Court should affirm the judgment of both courts
20	below that the amended complaint at issue fails
21	to state a claim under ERISA.
22	First, Petitioners' claims are based
23	on a flawed conception of the duty of prudence
24	which overlooks the role that Congress left for
25	participant choice in this context and would

strip fiduciaries of the leeway they have always
 had to consider tradeoffs in addition to cost,
 such as the impact that minimum investment
 requirements for institutional class shares
 would have on providing investment options
 generally.

7 Second, even if this Court adopts Petitioners' paternalistic conception of the 8 9 duty of prudence, the amended complaint in this 10 case still fails to state a claim under this 11 Court's pleading precedents. In particular, the 12 complaint fails to allege facts from which there 13 could be a reasonable inference that the alternative fees and services that they claim 14 15 should have been provided were actually 16 available to the plans. In the absence of those 17 allegations, the complaint can't possibly cross 18 the plausibility threshold established by Iqbal 19 and Twombly.

20 And, third, allowing the cookie-cutter 21 claims in this Court -- in this case to proceed 22 not only would subject retirement plans to 23 endless damages litigation but would thrust the 24 federal courts into the role of micromanaging 25 those plans. And, ultimately, it's the

60

1 employees and the retirees who would be the real 2 losers as plans shed options, scale back 3 services, and perhaps even fold up altogether in the wake of skyrocketing insurance premiums. 4 I welcome the Court's questions. And 5 if I could, maybe I would begin with Justice 6 7 Kagan's --8 JUSTICE THOMAS: Mr. Garre, for --9 sorry to distract you. You don't seem to spend much time on the Seventh Circuit's focus on the 10 large menu defense. Could you comment on that a 11 12 bit? MR. GARRE: Well, Your Honor, we think 13 14 that ERISA itself encourages plans to provide a 15 diverse menu of investment options, and we think 16 that the notion that there's some kind of 17 administrable line of whether a plan is too 18 diverse or not diverse enough is essentially a 19 Goldilocks rule that the courts could never 20 administer. 21 I mean, there's been a lot of 2.2 discussion here this morning about the "too many 23 options" aspect of their claim. And, you know, 24 with respect to my friend, that was the premise 25 of their claim on the institutional versus

61

1 retail class shares, and you can see that in 2 Count V of the complaint on page 170 of the joint appendix, which specifically says that the 3 number of options deprived the plans of the 4 ability to qualify for low-cost investments. 5 6 And that's true in this respect: The 7 more options you have, the more difficult it's going to be to qualify for minimum investment 8 9 requirements. And that was the premise of their claim in Count V. 10 11 And they've shifted, Your Honor, to 12 the claim that they subsequently tried to make in Count VII of their second amended complaint, 13 which was not allowed and is not before this 14 15 Court. And I -- and I think that that infects 16 their argument before the Court today. 17 But going back to the "too many 18 option" claims, I think it is a problem in their 19 position, and -- and -- and, importantly, it's 20 not one that the United States supported in 21 their brief, this notion that there could be too 2.2 many options, because it simply is an unadministrable line. 23 JUSTICE KAGAN: Well, the United 24 25 States didn't support it as an independent

62

1 claim, but as I understand the United States' 2 argument, they're perfectly fine with 3 considering that in -- in addressing whether 4 there were too -- you know, whether the -- the 5 investment fees were too high or whether the 6 management fees -- whether the recordkeeping 7 fees were too high.

8 MR. GARRE: I mean, with respect, I'm 9 not really sure what that means. I mean, 10 they're not supporting that as a standalone 11 argument, but yet they're somehow suggesting 12 that that, you know, brings down the case.

I mean, I think the theory was based on there being too many options. Options are good things. Employees want options. As you yourself rightfully said, employees come to universities, they bring options. Employee -we have economics professors who are asking for obscure options. That's a good thing.

The question is whether the plans adequately notified participants so that they can choose among those options, including with respect to costs.

JUSTICE KAGAN: Suppose there were a
complaint -- let's just talk about

1 recordkeeping, for example. Suppose there were 2 a complaint that said the fees that they were 3 paying were -- were much higher than comparative plans have paid, and this was because they never 4 went back to their record-keepers and used their 5 6 bargaining power and really, you know, stomped 7 on the table and got lower prices and they never put out the recordkeeping function for bids and 8 9 they never did a bunch of things that can lead 10 to lower recordkeeping fees. That's sufficient, isn't it? 11 12 MR. GARRE: I think that's much 13 closer, and -- and I don't know the exact 14 complaint. I mean, theoretically, it would be, 15 but there's two problems with the complaint 16 here. On record-keepers, the only way that they 17 get to that number is shedding either the TIAA, 18 which offers popular annuities, and incurring a 19 _ _ JUSTICE KAGAN: Well, I guess what I'm 20 21 suggesting, in my complaint, it's sort of 2.2 independent --23 MR. GARRE: Right. 24 JUSTICE KAGAN: -- of whether you have 25 one or two. It's just that they didn't go back

1 to those two and say: How are you doing on --2 on fees there? Can you come up with a lower 3 price? Because you're giving lower prices to some of our competitors. 4 MR. GARRE: Well, I mean, first of 5 6 all, the notion that you can plead yourself into 7 federal court and a million dollars of costs of discovery just by saying you should have asked 8 for a one-of-a-kind deal or a waiver from those 9 requirements, I mean, requirements exist for a 10 11 reason, Your Honor. I mean, we give it --12 JUSTICE KAGAN: But why can't you go 13 into federal court saying all our competitors 14 are paying -- all your competitors are paying 15 far lower fees than you are for the exact same 16 service? 17 MR. GARRE: Sure. And that gets 18 closer to -- to stating a claim, Your Honor, 19 because, in that instance, you would actually provide a benchmark. You'd provide examples. 20 They didn't provide those in this case. 21 2.2 JUSTICE KAGAN: You didn't do standard 23 things that you should do in order to decrease 24 your fees. You didn't put it out for 25 competitive bidding. You didn't go back and say

1 we're demanding lower fees. You didn't do any 2 of those. You just let it just accumulate over the course of years such that you were paying 3 far more fees than you, you know, would have had 4 to if you had been paying attention. 5 6 MR. GARRE: Right. And I think that 7 complaint hasn't been stated here, Your Honor. First, you'd have to look at whether 8 9 or not that's truly an available alternative. I 10 mean, they fluctuate as between you're talking 11 about one record-keeper or multiple 12 record-keepers. In this case, the only way --13 way to get to one record-keeper is to shed 14 popular investment options or incur a serious 15 surrender charge. 16 With respect, their claim is that we 17 should have charged a \$35-per-participant fee. 18 That number is plucked out of thin air. 19 I mean, I would encourage you to read 20 Judge Collyer's decision in the Georgetown case, 21 which says that there are no facts supporting 2.2 that claim, \$35, which is the same number they 23 plucked out of the air in that case. There's no 24 other university that they point to. The 25 closest that they point to is the one example,

66

1	the Cal Tech example. Cal Tech itself had to
2	shed many popular mutual funds by Fidelity.
3	There's no requirement that a plan has
4	to drastically overhaul and incur surrender
5	charges in order to satisfy
б	JUSTICE BREYER: All right. That
7	that I see that if that if that's all
8	right. I'd like to go back to the first one
9	MR. GARRE: Yes.
10	JUSTICE BREYER: which I asked
11	about. He gave some pretty good answers. I
12	mean, we look at page 101 to 116, and I count
13	129 instances where you had investment fund X,
14	small and, right next to it, institutional fund
15	X prime, big, and you saved money.
16	And what do they say about that table?
17	They say that table sets forth each higher-cost
18	mutual fund share class that was included in
19	included in the plans during the proposed class
20	period for which a significantly lower cost but
21	otherwise identical share class of the same
22	mutual fund was available. And I think it's
23	fair to read that word "available," meaning
24	available to the defendant. All right?
25	Why doesn't that allege, hey, it says

1	and then the page before, I mean, they have
2	the sentence before, exact same mutual fund.
3	That's the allegation, exact same mutual fund.
4	And then we go to the page before
5	that, and they have two more generalized
б	instances where other similar defendants did
7	bargain and well, okay. Well, how doesn't
8	that state a claim?
9	MR. GARRE: Your Honor, they don't
10	provide any factual content to support a
11	reasonable inference that those funds were
12	actually available. They don't identify the
13	minimum requirements.
14	JUSTICE BREYER: Wait, wait, wait.
15	You you you have to say it's called
16	let's call it Calvert New Vision Small Cap I,
17	CVSMX, and then they give the cost, and then
18	they give the access, all right? And they do
19	that 129 times.
20	MR. GARRE: And you
21	JUSTICE BREYER: And then they say it
22	was available. I mean, you know, that's like
23	saying, hey, you've just said that Granny Smith
24	apples are too expensive, but you didn't say
25	they were available. I mean, really? At some

68

1	point, when you're in the business of selling
2	share funds and they're saying was available,
3	that's good enough, isn't it?
4	MR. GARRE: It's not, Your Honor
5	JUSTICE BREYER: Not? Why not?
6	MR. GARRE: not under the pleading
7	standards. And if I could explain, I mean, take
8	the example that Petitioners have focused on,
9	the Vanguard small cap fund. We we cite this
10	at page 37 of our brief. That had an investment
11	minimum of \$100 million. And if you look at the
12	plan documents, one of the plans had \$800,000 in
13	that fund, another plan had 300
14	JUSTICE BREYER: Well, that would be a
15	defense, wouldn't it?
16	MR. GARRE: No, it
17	JUSTICE BREYER: The defense would be
18	it wasn't available.
19	MR. GARRE: With respect, the question
20	is whether or not the conduct is equally
21	consistent with lawful behavior. And if the
22	minimum requirements haven't been met, then a
23	plan that has both institutional class shares
24	and retail class shares is perfectly consistent
25	with lawful conduct, and there's no basis to

1 infer just by the virtue of retail class shares 2 that they have somehow acted imprudently. It's just as equally plausible that we simply hadn't 3 met -- met the minimum requirements for those 4 shares, Your Honor. 5 6 And if I could dispel the notion that 7 these two types of shares are identical, the retail class shares and the institutional class 8 9 shares. They're not in two respects. 10 One, the institutional class shares 11 carry minimum investment requirements. In order 12 to -- to meet those requirements, as I think has 13 been acknowledged already, you'd have to 14 aggregate funds and lose investment options, and 15 that's a real cost in the plans. 16 And, two, the reason why institutional 17 class shares are -- are marginally more expensive is because important -- a portion of 18 19 those funds go to defraying administrative 20 expenses for the plan as a whole, which is a particular benefit to smaller account holders, 21 2.2 who otherwise would have to pay higher fees. That's an additional cost. 23 24 And those are both reasons why a 25 prudent fiduciary would have a plan that allowed

1 a mix of retail and institutional class shares, 2 particularly if we hadn't met the minimum 3 investment requirements for retail -- for institutional class shares. 4 And that there's no basis to include 5 6 from the presence of that plan and the 7 allegations in the complaint that -- that -that the -- the plan here was -- was somehow 8 9 plausibly imprudent. It's equally --10 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Counsel, I think 11 you're still defending the Seventh Circuit's 12 rule, which is you can't have an imprudent selection. You can't make it because, if this 13 14 is imprudent, there's another different kind of 15 institutional share that's not. Is that your 16 position as well? 17 MR. GARRE: As well, but that's an 18 alternative position. 19 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: But let's put --20 now let's get to this allegation. 21 MR. GARRE: Sure. 2.2 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Eight hundred 23 thousand seems very close to a million to me. 24 And I know that when people are -- as an 25 individual, when I'm close to a minimum, the

```
1
      first thing I ask is, won't you waive the
     minimum for me?
 2
 3
                And what they claim is that for
 4
      institutions as large as this one, Northwestern,
      that if they had asked for the waiver, they
 5
 6
     would have gotten it, and they showed how many
7
      other people had asked for waivers and gotten
8
      them.
                Why isn't that a plausible enough
9
10
     allegation to put you in to prove it at trial?
11
                MR. GARRE: Sure. First, it was 100
12
      million, not a million, Justice Sotomayor, in
13
      the example on page 37.
14
                JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: That's one.
15
               MR. GARRE: So that's, you know, far
16
     apart.
17
                JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: But still -- the
18
     point is still --
19
               MR. GARRE: But -- but, with respect
20
      to the allegations, and it's on pages 99 to 100
21
      of the complaint, and this is the crux of their
22
      complaint, forget about the minimum
23
     requirements, you should have just asked for a
24
     waiver.
               They point to the fact that so-called
25
      large jumbo 401(k) plans have gotten waivers.
```

1 But 401(k) plans differ from 403(b) 2 plans in significant respects. Number one, the 3 403(b) plans have a lot of investment annuities, 4 which are individual contracts that limit the liquidity of the plan. And, number two, 403(b) 5 6 plans, for historical reasons, have always had 7 more options, which, again, is --JUSTICE KAGAN: Well, that sounds like 8 9 a possible defense. But how could it possibly 10 be that a judge could throw out a pleading 11 because you say 401(k) plans are different from 12 403(b) plans? I mean, that's to be decided, 13 isn't it? 14 MR. GARRE: Your Honor, there's still 15 the question of whether these allegations are 16 sufficient -- are non-speculative. And if you 17 look at 99 and 100, they're purely speculative. 18 They just --19 JUSTICE BREYER: Speculative to list 20 129? I mean, you gave an example of where, to 21 get to one of these big funds, you have to have 22 100 million. Oh, all right, that leaves 128 23 others. 24 And -- and -- and what they allege is 25 that it was available. All right. There are

1 129 kinds of apples. One of them has worms. All right? But there are 128 others. And --2 3 but do you have to say more? 4 MR. GARRE: I absolutely think you do. JUSTICE BREYER: Why? What do 5 6 you say? 7 MR. GARRE: If you go back to Iqbal and Twombly, what this Court said is you have to 8 9 allege the factual content sufficient to support 10 a reasonable inference. If you don't identify the minimum requirements, if you don't attempt 11 12 to explain how those requirements are met through allegations, then you haven't raised a 13 14 plausible inference. 15 It's simply not plausible to say just 16 that this institutional fund was available when 17 we don't know if it had a 100 million dollar 18 investment requirement, 50 million, 200 million. 19 We don't know at all because they didn't allege 20 it. And, again, I mean, the --21 Counsel, if CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: 2.2 everything was going so well and you were doing 23 everything right, why did you change?

24 MR. GARRE: Because, Your Honor, two25 reasons. One, the regulatory changes in 2009,

73

74

which came into effect, and that did require
 plans in the 403(b) university space to begin
 managing plans differently, and that's -- that
 was a rule change.

5 And the other is, frankly, the interim 6 effect of damages litigation. But I think, as 7 Your Honor indicated and Justice Kavanaugh 8 indicated, there is no basis to hold the plan 9 somehow accountable for the fact that it changed 10 the way it operates in this new regulatory 11 environment.

12 And that kind of role would prevent 13 plans from taking prudent steps going forward 14 and taking into account rule changes. I don't 15 think that that can be the rule that would be a 16 basis for harmful damages litigation.

17 And I think you have to look at the 18 flip side of this. If this kind of claim is 19 okay, funds were available, you should have 20 asked for a lower fee, then any claim is okay. 21 And then once you get past the pleading stage 2.2 for expensive discovery, the threat of 23 settlement demands, I mean, you can look at what 24 it is doing to the insurance premium market. 25 Premiums have skyrocketed. And the

market is in serious state. And we have cited
 articles just as recently as the fall on that.
 These would have disastrous consequences for
 plans.

This Court has never thought of the 5 6 duty of prudence in this kind of micromanaging 7 assets. I mean, these sorts of claims are really relatively new in the last five to ten 8 9 years, but once the Court goes down the path of saying it's sufficient for any plan participant 10 11 to identify a single investment, and that's the 12 United States in the Petitioners' rule, and 13 claim that you could have gotten that investment 14 cheaper or you could have asked for a waiver or 15 one-of-a-kind deal, and that that's sufficient 16 in a class action to get to discovery and a 17 threat of damages, I mean, that would be terrible for the retirement plans and for the 18 19 participants in those plans.

20 And that has never been the law. 21 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: But why? It's a 22 fine balance, I agree with you. It's a fine 23 balance between litigation and not, but some of 24 this litigation has ended up being to the 25 benefit of the retirees because the universities

76

were not doing basic steps, like just asking for 1 2 price reductions, like just asking for waivers. 3 And when they did, the -- they got And so I -- I -- I don't know, counsel, 4 them. that we can say a rule as broad as the Seventh 5 6 Circuit has without harming the beneficiaries. 7 We may not have a rule as wide as the 8 Petitioner wants, but there has to be a happier medium than what you're advocating. 9 10 MR. GARRE: Sure. And, Your Honor --11 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: And what the 12 Seventh Circuit had. 13 MR. GARRE: -- to be clear, I mean, I 14 think that on the pleading standards, this Court 15 could make clear that this claim is not 16 sufficient, but the claim that comes --17 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: It is hard to do 18 it on this one, at least with respect to the 19 investment institutional and -- and maybe 20 with respect to price. I'd have to go back to 21 the complaint more carefully, but at least my 2.2 law clerk did and told me that there was no 23 allegation, and so you might be right that 24 keeping two fiduciaries would have reduced the 25 price and to what level, but --

MR. GARRE: They, themselves, plead at 1 2 -- at page 78 of the Joint Appendix that use of 3 multiple recordkeepers was common. But, Your Honor, on this complaint --4 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: I agree with you. 5 6 I agree with you. What I am saying is I don't 7 know if they gave an allegation that staying with that model, which I think is likely 8 9 reasonable. 10 MR. HUSTON: This complaint, Your 11 Honor, as the district court recognized here, is 12 massive in size but short on specifics as to 13 Northwestern and the plans at issue. And that's 14 because it was drafted as a part of an omnibus 15 effort to go after 20 universities at once, 16 which itself is inconsistent with the notion 17 that they were somehow acting in an aberrant way that would breach a fiduciary duty. 18 19 But the problem with this complaint, 20 Your Honor, is it doesn't plead facts which would allow reasonable inference that the 21 2.2 alternative fees and services they claim should 23 have been provided were even available to the 24 plan. 25 And under this Court's decision in

78

Fifth Third and a basic application of Twombly
 and Iqbal, that is not sufficient to state a
 plausible claim.

And if it's enough to get around that, 4 just by having a standalone allegation, you 5 should have asked for a waiver. Then that is 6 7 going to drive a hole through Iqbal and Twombly that is going to infect not just ERISA 8 9 jurisprudence but civil jurisprudence generally. 10 This Court has always said, and it 11 said in Igbal and Twombly, again, that 12 speculative allegations are sufficient. You've got to have the factual content from which you 13 14 can make a reasonable inference. 15 Here you have a plan that had 16 institutional class shares and retail class 17 shares. If you looked at the plan, the most 18 reasonable inference is that the plan was 19 prudently exercising choice based on whether minimum requirements were met, and -- and in 20 21 light of the fact that retail class shares would 2.2 help defray administrative expenses of the plan, 23 which is exactly, by the way, what ERISA says. It looks to the administrative expenses of the 24

25 plan.

1 There's certainly -- we certainly 2 agree that cost is one consideration, but it has 3 to be taken into account along with other 4 tradeoffs. And that's what's missing from their 5 theory.

6 And Judge Wood said in the Hecker case 7 there is no rule that we always scrutinize and scour the market for the cheapest available 8 option. If -- if that's the rule that the Court 9 adopts, which is effectively what it would be 10 11 doing if it allows this claim to go forward, 12 then the federal courts really are going to have 13 to take over the management of these plans, 14 selection of assets, fine-tuning services, 15 deciding whether or not something, at a given 16 point in time, should have asked for a waiver or 17 whether negotiation was sufficient.

I mean, there's really no end to the way in which federal courts would be dragged into overseeing this and managing investment plans, which the Court has never done. I mean, the Court in the Jones versus Harris Associates case under the Investment Company Act took a much more prudent approach

25 when -- when it said that if we're going to get

80

1 into this question of cost differences, they're 2 going to have to show that the cost difference was so disproportionally large that one couldn't 3 get to that, one couldn't look at that and say 4 it was the result of an arms-length negotiation. 5 6 And so if you're going to factor into 7 cost here, I think that exact standard would apply. The standard in that case came from 8 9 Congress's reference to fiduciary, which the government in that case argued was a basis to 10 11 import the common law of trusts. 12 My friend right here argued that case 13 for the plaintiff in that case. He prevailed, 14 but he recognized that really what you were 15 talking about is whether there was a fair or 16 reasonable fee, but in that context the question 17 of whether a fee was fair or reasonable was 18 whether or not it was so disproportionately 19 large that you couldn't say it was an 20 arms-length fee. 21 The same standard would make sense to 2.2 apply in this context, but it wouldn't -- it 23 wouldn't allow Petitioner to -- a plaintiff to proceed in this kind of case, either with 24 25 respect to the institutional class share claim

or the recordkeeping claim, where you're talking about marginal differences in costs, where you failed to plead facts, which would show that the alternative fee or service was even available to the plan.

6 And when you couldn't say that a 7 prudent fiduciary in the same circumstances could not have concluded that pursuing that fee 8 9 or service, even if available, would do more harm than good, which is the other thing that --10 11 JUSTICE BREYER: Well, why -- why? 12 Look, he says that -- say you have \$50 million 13 invested in the expensive one in the chart. And 14 they said you could take that 50 million and 15 buy -- and their word in their complaint is 16 "identical" -- identical fund at the lower 17 price.

Now, that's what they allege. And perhaps because you say no, you need \$100 million, you need a big outlay, well, then they're not identical, okay? But they say identical.
And so what are we supposed to do about that?

25 MR. GARRE: Well, Your Honor, I mean,

82

1 first of all, you have to look at the complaint. 2 I'm sure my friend is going to get up here --3 JUSTICE BREYER: I looked at the --MR. GARRE: -- and tell you, oh, we --4 JUSTICE BREYER: -- pages that you 5 6 mentioned, which are the pages that do claim 7 this allegation, which is about 98 through 116. 8 MR. GARRE: Right. 9 JUSTICE BREYER: All right? So what 10 else do you want me to look at? 11 MR. GARRE: Well --12 JUSTICE BREYER: They do contain the word "identical" and that's also italicized. 13 14 MR. GARRE: Right. I -- I would look 15 at and you will find not a mention of the 16 minimum requirements for each of those shares, 17 nor any attempt to establish -- plead facts 18 which show that they were met. 19 I would look at the fact that this claim, which is Count V, is premised on the 20 argument that the number of options deprive them 21 2.2 of the ability to qualify for low class shares, 23 which explicitly recognizes that the minimum 24 requirements weren't met, Your Honor. 25 And that's in the complaint. It's

1 paragraph 266, page 170.

18

2	And I would look at the the
3	deficiency of other allegations. If you want to
4	look at the recordkeeping claim, Your Honor,
5	they allege, in their complaint at page 78, that
6	the use of multiple record keepers was common.
7	I mean the fact is is that when you're
8	dealing with organizations over time using their
9	services, it's not particularly common just to
10	call out of the blue and say, you know what, I
11	want a really lower fee. And these were
12	prudently managed services, and over time, over
13	a reasonable period of time, they eventually did
14	negotiate a lower fee. But you can't hold that
15	against them.
16	And I would say too that the specific
17	references my friend is referring to on that

19 complaint that the district court and Seventh 20 Circuit didn't allow, and that they declined to 21 petition for cert on to this Court. So I think 22 it's inappropriate for him to rely on that. 23 I mean, really the fact is is that 24 their claims in this case continue to evolve.

come from the second amended complaint, a

24 their claims in this case continue to evolve.
25 They rely on discovery out of the record. They

1 -- they rely on the second amended complaint. But the only complaint before this Court is the 2 3 amended complaint. And that complaint is simply deficient. And if this Court allows that 4 complaint to go forward, then it really has 5 provided no limit whatsoever, because if -- if I 6 7 hear you correctly, Justice Breyer, it's enough to say in the abstract a share is identical, a 8 share is available, and that's it, you're off to 9 the races with discovery and settlement demands 10 11 and the like. 12 And that really would -- would pose, 13 as the amicus briefs tell you in far better 14 detail than I could, an intolerable burden on 15 the plans. It would be to the detriment of plan 16 participants. 17 Ultimately, the costs of litigation, 18 the costs of insurance, of premiums, themselves 19 are going to be factored into the mix of 20 administrative expenses that participants have 21 to play. And, ultimately, as you limit options 2.2 and scale back services, as a ruling by this 23 Court in favor of Petitioners would require 24 plans to do, you're harming participants as 25 well.

1 JUSTICE KAGAN: Mr. Garre, as -- as I 2 understand what the Seventh Circuit ruled in 3 this case, the Seventh Circuit ruled that 4 fiduciaries can avoid liability for offering imprudent investments with unreasonably high 5 fees if they also offer prudent investments with 6 7 reasonable fees. That's the essence of the Seventh Circuit's judgment. Are you defending 8 that or not? 9 10 MR. GARRE: I would disagree with that 11 characterization. I -- what -- what I would 12 defend, though, is --JUSTICE KAGAN: Okay. If -- if -- if 13 14 the Seventh Circuit said that, would you agree 15 with it or not? 16 MR. GARRE: I wouldn't because I don't 17 think -- I think the question is whether when a 18 plan offers generally sound, diversified 19 investments and adequately informs employees 20 about the aspects of those investments, 21 including cost, is it a breach of the fiduciary 2.2 duty? And I would say no. 23 And I would point you to the Department of Labor's own materials. And look 24 25 at the --

1 JUSTICE KAGAN: I think I'm -- I'm 2 losing track --3 MR. GARRE: Okay. JUSTICE KAGAN: -- of your answer to my 4 question. I basically said, are you defending a 5 6 position that says you can insulate yourself 7 from a suit that says you're acting imprudently, you, the fiduciary, by saying no, some of the 8 investments that we offer in our plan are 9 prudent and they have reasonable fees and so you 10 11 can't attack us for having unreasonable 12 investments with unreasonable fees? 13 MR. GARRE: Right. And if -- if --14 one of the amicus briefs uses the example of a 15 contaminated oyster. If the question was you've 16 got a contaminated oyster but you've got good 17 oysters too, so that was prudent, I wouldn't 18 defend that. But if you've got an oyster from 19 the Chesapeake and an oyster from one of my favorite places, Apalachicola, then -- and --20 21 and one is slightly more expensive than the 2.2 other, then I would defend that. 23 I would say Congress left to the 24 participants the choice there. And the --25 JUSTICE KAGAN: Well, sure --

would agree with you.

1

2

MR. GARRE: -- Department of Labor

3 JUSTICE KAGAN: -- and all you're 4 saying -- let's -- you take an index fund and a managed fund. The managed fund is going to have 5 higher funds than an indexed fund, and it's not 6 7 unreasonable for a fiduciary to have both --8 MR. GARRE: Right. 9 JUSTICE KAGAN: -- the managed fund with higher fees and the indexed fund with lower 10 11 fees. 12 MR. GARRE: Right. 13 JUSTICE KAGAN: But suppose the 14 fiduciary had five index funds and one of them 15 had low fees and the others were all gouging 16 people. 17 MR. GARRE: Right. 18 JUSTICE KAGAN: Would it be reasonable 19 for the fiduciary to retain the others? MR. GARRE: No. It's never reasonable 20 21 to provide funds that gouge. Here, if you 2.2 looked at the retail class shares and the 23 institutional class shares in isolation, there 24 would be no argument that they were unreasonable 25 in any respect, with respect to cost or anything

87

1	else	•

2	The argument is that the the shares
3	were identical, and so, therefore, it was
4	imprudent to offer both. As I mentioned before,
5	they were not identical, Your Honor. The
6	institutional class shares carry investment
7	minimums that impact the number of options, and
8	so that's an added cost. They also helped
9	the retail class shares also helped to defray
10	administrative expenses for the plan as a whole,
11	in particular, lower cost account lower
12	account holders. That's another cost. So they
13	weren't identical.
14	But but, on your hypothetical, Your
15	Honor, you could never gouge. But the the

16 the institutional class shares, the retail class 17 shares, there's nothing about gouging. They 18 wouldn't even argue that --

JUSTICE KAGAN: Well, I feel like you're putting too much weight on the word that I used. You know, it's easy to say, well, no, you can never gouge. The point is that you're not insulated from making bad decisions in your -- in your plan by the fact that you've made some good decisions in your plan, are you?

1 MR. GARRE: No, but you'd have to look 2 at it holistically, Your Honor. 3 JUSTICE KAGAN: Because, if I think that that's what the Seventh Circuit said, 4 that's got to be wrong, right? 5 6 MR. GARRE: Well, with the caveats 7 I've just given. I mean, I -- I don't -- I'm acknowledging that there's certainly -- choice 8 is not always a defense. I think you'd have to 9 10 take into account that -- you know, what 11 Congress said in 1104(c), that where the claim 12 is it comes from the exercise of participants' 13 control. I mean, that's what Congress said, and 14 that really does answer your hypothetical. 15 But this case is far easier than your 16 hypothetical, Your Honor. And if you want to 17 write an opinion that -- that holds out the 18 hypothetical, whether you call it gouging or 19 something else, then that's fine, but that's not 20 this case because we're talking about marginal 21 price differences. And they no longer argue 2.2 that we didn't notify -- they're not arguing 23 that we didn't notify them adequately to make those choices. 24

Heritage Reporting Corporation

JUSTICE ALITO: But I think that the

25

hypotheticals make it a little bit too simple.
 Suppose the choice is between brand name sodium
 chloride or non-brand name sodium chloride.
 There are people who want the brand name sodium
 chloride. Is it -- would it be imprudent to
 offer that choice?

7 MR. GARRE: No. And -- and, you know, 8 there's some people who just don't want to 9 change either, Your Honor. I mean, not 10 everyone -- if you put a Walmart right next to 11 the Giant, not everybody's going to go shopping 12 at the Walmart just because, you know, the cereal might be, you know, a penny or two less 13 14 expensive. There's some people who don't want 15 change, and change involves costs in itself.

16 But -- but I think you're right, Your 17 I mean, that -- that is quite different, Honor. 18 allowing participant choice in that context. 19 And, again, I would go -- I would point you to 20 the -- look at 401(k) fees document by the 21 Department of Labor, where they specifically 2.2 tell participants, you know, there are expenses 23 associated with different fund options, you 24 should read your statements carefully and you 25 should look at those expenses in deciding

whether or not to invest. Let employers know

91

your preference.
They said that on page 8 specifically
with respect to the retail versus institutional
class shares.
Under Petitioners' view, it's not a
question of letting employers know your
preference. It's a question of one plaintiff

1

9 coming in, bringing a class action, seeking to 10 hold the entire plan hostage to a massive 11 damages claim as long as they pick one asset and 12 they can claim that that asset was available at 13 some marginally less expensive cost.

14 There's no limit to the price 15 difference. I think that came up earlier. 16 There's no limit to the price difference under 17 their theory that I've seen. And that -- that 18 is an extremely dangerous state of affairs for 19 ERISA plans.

20 And it -- frankly, I don't think it 21 would put the courts in a role that they are 22 well suited to, managing and micro- --23 micromanaging investment decisions, fee 24 decisions, services decisions.

25 Your Honors, the claims here -- if the

1 claims here can proceed, then any plaintiff can 2 subject a plan to the threat of massive damages 3 and millions of dollars of discovery just by alleging that a cheaper fee, asset, or service 4 was available, even if they provide no facts 5 that would support an inference that that --6 7 that fee or service was actually available to 8 the plans.

And that would have -- that would 9 10 drive a hole through the pleading standards that 11 this Court has established in Iqbal and Twombly. 12 It would thrust the courts into a role that they are not well suited to in micromanaging plans. 13 14 And it ultimately would harm retirees and 15 employees as plans struggle with the heightened 16 costs, administrative burdens, litigation, as 17 premium insurance skyrockets. 18 We would urge this Court to avoid all that and affirm the judgment of the Seventh 19 Circuit below. 20 21 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Justice

22 Thomas?

23 Justice Breyer, anything further?24 Justice Kagan?

25 Justice Kavanaugh?

1	Thank you, counsel.
2	Rebuttal, Mr. Frederick?
3	REBUTTAL ARGUMENT OF DAVID C. FREDERICK
4	ON BEHALF OF PETITIONERS
5	MR. FREDERICK: My friend doesn't
6	defend the Seventh Circuit, and he nowhere
7	talked about the statute, which is what we're
8	here to be explicating. On that basis, I would
9	urge you to, at the very least, send the case
10	back.
11	What you got was an extended motion to
12	dismiss argument, which is what happens in the
13	district courts. And I apologize to you all for
14	the way this case had to come to you based on
15	the Seventh Circuit's error, but the case must
16	be reversed.
17	I will start with the questions Mr.
18	Chief Justice, yours, with respect to the
19	damages. Had Northwestern acted in 2009 and
20	2010 when many, many other universities, the
21	majority of the universities, it would have
22	saved the plan millions and millions of dollars
23	that rightfully belongs to the retirees.
24	Justice Alito, we're talking about
25	brand name sodium chloride and whether you

94

charge \$1 or \$2 for the same bottle of sodium
 chloride.

Justice Sotomayor, if you look at page JA 80, there are multiple recordkeeping, and we specifically allege there that there were inefficiencies with marketing and that there could have been a reduction in the costs that were given.

9 Justice Gorsuch, in answer to your 10 question about standing, confusion is not a 11 cause of action. We allege financial harm. 12 Confusion, though, is one of the process 13 problems that is associated with the kinds of 14 financial harm that we're talking about.

And we asserted on behalf of everyone in the plan, they were paying unnecessary recordkeeping fees. They were not having access to institutional share classes. And that because of the failure to consolidate, their investment opportunities were fewer.

Justice Kavanaugh, the fees have decreased so much that there are almost no new cases being filed in this area. That is an indication that the litigation that initially started this, coupled with the Department of

Labor regulations, have actually redressed the
 problem of breaches of fiduciary duty that were
 identified early by the Labor Department during
 the Bush administration.

So I would urge you not to take 5 6 seriously this idea about insurance premiums and 7 all these other things because the reality is that the number of people who are taking 8 9 advantage of defined-contribution plans has gone up from 75 million to 109 million. The number 10 11 of plans has increased from 630,000 to almost 12 700,000 in the period since we filed this 13 complaint.

14 So you cannot say as an empirical 15 matter that litigation is somehow causing a 16 problem. The whole point of the Department of 17 Labor's regulations was to bring reform to this 18 area. Some universities acted prudently and did 19 so quickly, and they saved their retirees lots 20 and lots of money. Northwestern did not.

This case should be remanded so that we have an opportunity to prove at trial just how much they cost harm to our participants. Thank you.

25 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you,

96

1	counsel.	The	case	is	suk	omitte	ed.			
2		(Whe	ereupo	on,	at	1:05	p.m.,	the	case	was
3	submitted	.)								
4										
5										
6										
7										
8										
9										
10										
11										
12										
13										
14										
15										
16										
17										
18										
19										
20										
21										
22										
23										
24										
25										

	Unic	ial - Subject to Final R		
\$	4 [1] 33: 6	Acme [1] 25:6	49: 5,7 52: 12,24 56: 13 57:	APPEARANCES [1] 1:17
i	40 [3] 18 :16 19 :16 54 :2	across [1] 58:13	12 67:3 70:20 71:10 76:23	appendix [5] 9:12 31:10
\$1 [3] 8: 9,11 94: 1	401(k [5] 6:24 71:25 72:1,	act [5] 3:16,19 5:23 41:18	77 :7 78 :5 82 :7	41 :1 61 :3 77 :2
\$100 [2] 68 :11 81 :19	11 90 :20	79 :24	allegations [20] 6:10,17	apple [3] 13:20 26:23,24
\$2 [3] 8: 8,11 94: 1	401(k)s [1] 56:12	acted [6] 6:19 22:3 41:25	30 :3 37 :3 40 :2,24 41 :23	apples [4] 23:3 45:8 67:24
\$35 [2] 30:23 65:22	403(b [10] 6:23 21:16,20 32:	69: 2 93: 19 95: 18	45 :11,13,20 49 :16 54 :9 56 :	73:1
\$35-per-participant [1]	7 55 :16 72 :1,3,5,12 74 :2	acting [5] 22:5 23:12 29:25	17 59 :17 70 :7 71 :20 72 :15	application [1] 78:1
65: 17	41 [1] 2: 8	77 :17 86 :7	73 :13 78 :12 83 :3	apply [3] 24:20 80:8,22
\$42 [1] 31: 3	5	action [9] 5:16 8:16 20:15	allege [29] 9:3 13:24,25 14:	approach [3] 5:24,25 79:
\$50 [1] 81: 12		37:7,9 54:25 75:16 91:9	4 15 :12 29 :4 30 :21 31 :5,5,	24
\$800,000 ^[1] 68:12	5 [1] 33: 6	94: 11	9,16 32: 2,4,6,9,15 33: 16	approximately [1] 33:8
1	50 [2] 73: 18 81: 14	actions [6] 4:19 6:9 37:13	36: 5,7 46: 11 59: 12 66: 25	APRIL [1] 1:3
	57 [1] 21: 16	45: 24 58: 12,17	72 :24 73 :9,19 81 :18 83 :5	area [2] 94:23 95:18
1 [1] 21 :15 1:05 [1] 96 :2	58 [1] 2: 10	acts [1] 57:23	94: 5,11	aren't [1] 7:6
	6	actual [1] 22:20	alleged [3] 33:3,5 39:24	argue [2] 88:18 89:21
10 [2] 25 :5 33 :17		Actually [10] 21:11 36:7 39:	alleges [3] 21:12 43:5 46:8	argued [3] 27:25 80:10,12
100 [12] 15 :22,24 21 :13 25 :	6 [1] 1:11	24 51 :1,14 59 :15 64 :19 67 :	alleging [1] 92:4	arguing [2] 37:17 89:22
23 26 :5 27 :7 54 :2 71 :11,	630,000 [1] 95:11	12 92 :7 95 :1	allow [3] 77:21 80:23 83:20	argument [26] 1:14 2:2,5,
20 72 :17,22 73 :17	64 [1] 10: 9	add [1] 48:18	allowed [3] 54:20 61:14 69:	11 3: 4,7 19: 8,9,10 26: 11
101 [2] 9 :12 66 :12	7	added [1] 88:8	25	28 :18 30 :11 31 :23 41 :11
102 [1] 50 :6	700,000 [1] 95 :12	addition [1] 59:2	allowing [2] 59:20 90:18	42 :23 48 :9 56 :5 58 :7 61 :
106 [1] 50 :6	73 [2] 31: 10 41: 1	additional [1] 69:23	allows [2] 79:11 84:4	16 62 :2,11 82 :21 87 :24 88 :
109 [1] 95: 10	75 [1] 95: 10	address [1] 8:21	almost [3] 56:5 94:22 95:	2 93:3.12
11:34 ^[2] 1: 15 3: 2	77 [1] 31: 10	addressing [1] 62:3	11	arguments [1] 38:10
1104 [2] 3 :15 4 :22	78 [2] 77:2 83: 5	adequately [4] 42:17 62:	already [1] 69:13	arms-length [2] 80:5,20
1104(c [1] 89:11		21 85:19 89:23	alternative [6] 39:13 59:14	around [3] 6:21 50:24 78:4
116 [3] 9 :12 66 :12 82 :7	8	administer [1] 60:20	65:9 70:18 77:22 81:4	Article [1] 53:7
128 [2] 72 :22 73 :2	8 [2] 16: 5 91: 3	administrable [1] 60:17	alternatively [1] 16:14	articles [1] 75:2
129 [4] 66:13 67:19 72:20	80 [3] 21: 19 54: 2 94: 4	administration [2] 19:12	alternatives [1] 43:11	aside [1] 34:11
73:1	82 [1] 41: 1	95:4	although [1] 36:20	asleep [1] 22:4
159 ^[1] 40: 15		administrative [6] 69:19	altogether [1] 60:3	aspect [1] 60:23
16 [1] 18:2 3	9	78 :22,24 84 :20 88 :10 92 :	amend [2] 57:10,10	aspects [2] 16:14 85:20
161 [1] 10: 9	9 [1] 33: 17	16	amended [7] 52:13 58:20	assert [2] 8:13 42:4
170 [2] 61:2 83:1	90 [2] 21: 19 32: 7	administrator [2] 43:14,16		asserted [2] 46:23 94:15
18 [1] 22: 9	93 [1] 2:1 3	administrators [1] 41:17	amici [1] 57:19	asserting [1] 27:24
19 [1] 7: 13	96 [1] 33: 8			
40 4404 1410.4		adont [2] 28.2 56.14		accot [3] 01.11 12 02.4
19-1401 [1] 3: 4	98 [4] 12:13,16 15:22 82:7	adopt [2] 28:2 56:14	amicus [8] 1:22 2:7 40:11	asset [3] 91:11,12 92:4
	98 [4] 12: 13,16 15: 22 82: 7 99 [6] 12: 16 15: 21 26: 10,11	adopted [1] 42:3	41 :12 54 :24 56 :20 84 :13	assets [5] 3:16 4:6 20:20
2		adopted [1] 42:3 adopts [2] 59:7 79:10	41: 12 54: 24 56: 20 84: 13 86: 14	assets [5] 3:16 4:6 20:20 75:7 79:14
2 2 2 1 1 7 2	99 [6] 12 :16 15 :21 26 :10,11 71 :20 72 :17	adopted [1] 42:3 adopts [2] 59:7 79:10 advance [1] 5:10	41:12 54:24 56:20 84:13 86:14 among [4] 18:15 43:11 50:	assets [5] 3:16 4:6 20:20 75:7 79:14 Assistant [1] 1:20
2 2 [1] 7:4 20 [5] 7:11 17:14 18:15 54:	99 [6] 12:16 15:21 26:10,11 71:20 72:17 A	adopted [1] 42:3 adopts [2] 59:7 79:10 advance [1] 5:10 advantage [1] 95:9	41 :12 54 :24 56 :20 84 :13 86 :14 among [4] 18 :15 43 :11 50 : 25 62 :22	assets [5] 3:16 4:6 20:20 75:7 79:14 Assistant [1] 1:20 associated [2] 90:23 94:
2 2 [1] 7:4 20 [5] 7:11 17 :14 18 :15 54 : 2 77 :15	99 [6] 12:16 15:21 26:10,11 71:20 72:17 <u>A</u> a.m [2] 1:15 3:2	adopted [1] 42:3 adopts [2] 59:7 79:10 advance [1] 5:10 advantage [1] 95:9 advertise [1] 44:13	41:12 54:24 56:20 84:13 86:14 among [4] 18:15 43:11 50: 25 62:22 amount [3] 22:18 44:3 48:	assets [5] 3:16 4:6 20:20 75:7 79:14 Assistant [1] 1:20 associated [2] 90:23 94: 13
2 2 [1] 7:4 20 [5] 7:11 17:14 18:15 54: 2 77:15 200 [1] 73:18	99 [6] 12:16 15:21 26:10,11 71:20 72:17 A a.m [2] 1:15 3:2 aberrant [1] 77:17	adopted [1] 42:3 adopts [2] 59:7 79:10 advance [1] 5:10 advantage [1] 95:9 advertise [1] 44:13 advice [2] 43:14 57:22	41:12 54:24 56:20 84:13 86:14 among [4] 18:15 43:11 50: 25 62:22 amount [3] 22:18 44:3 48: 12	assets [5] 3:16 4:6 20:20 75:7 79:14 Assistant [1] 1:20 associated [2] 90:23 94: 13 Associates [1] 79:23
2 2 [1] 7:4 20 [5] 7:11 17 :14 18 :15 54 : 2 77 :15 200 [1] 73 :18 2007 [2] 6 :21 18 :12	99 [6] 12:16 15:21 26:10,11 71:20 72:17 A a.m [2] 1:15 3:2 aberrant [1] 77:17 ability [2] 61:5 82:22	adopted [1] 42:3 adopts [2] 59:7 79:10 advance [1] 5:10 advantage [1] 95:9 advertise [1] 44:13 advice [2] 43:14 57:22 advocated [1] 42:4	41:12 54:24 56:20 84:13 86:14 among [4] 18:15 43:11 50: 25 62:22 amount [3] 22:18 44:3 48: 12 ample [1] 6:16	assets [5] 3:16 4:6 20:20 75:7 79:14 Assistant [1] 1:20 associated [2] 90:23 94: 13 Associates [1] 79:23 assume [2] 39:2 47:2
2 2 [1] 7:4 20 [5] 7:11 17 :14 18 :15 54 : 2 77 :15 200 [1] 73 :18 2007 [2] 6 :21 18 :12 2008 [1] 33 :17	99 [6] 12:16 15:21 26:10,11 71:20 72:17 A a.m [2] 1:15 3:2 aberrant [1] 77:17 ability [2] 61:5 82:22 able [4] 8:3 13:18 38:17 51:	adopted [1] 42:3 adopts [2] 59:7 79:10 advance [1] 5:10 advantage [1] 95:9 advertise [1] 44:13 advice [2] 43:14 57:22 advocated [1] 42:4 advocating [1] 76:9	41:12 54:24 56:20 84:13 86:14 among [4] 18:15 43:11 50: 25 62:22 amount [3] 22:18 44:3 48: 12 ample [1] 6:16 analysis [1] 18:21	assets [5] 3:16 4:6 20:20 75:7 79:14 Assistant [1] 1:20 associated [2] 90:23 94: 13 Associates [1] 79:23 assume [2] 39:2 47:2 assuming [3] 30:16 31:20
2 2 [1] 7:4 20 [5] 7:11 17 :14 18 :15 54 : 2 77 :15 200 [1] 73 :18 2007 [2] 6 :21 18 :12 2008 [1] 33 :17 2009 [3] 21 :15 73 :25 93 :19	99 [6] 12:16 15:21 26:10,11 71:20 72:17 A a.m [2] 1:15 3:2 aberrant [1] 77:17 ability [2] 61:5 82:22 able [4] 8:3 13:18 38:17 51: 11	adopted [1] 42:3 adopts [2] 59:7 79:10 advance [1] 5:10 advantage [1] 95:9 advertise [1] 44:13 advice [2] 43:14 57:22 advocated [1] 42:4 advocating [1] 76:9 affairs [1] 91:18	41:12 54:24 56:20 84:13 86:14 among [4] 18:15 43:11 50: 25 62:22 amount [3] 22:18 44:3 48: 12 ample [1] 6:16 analysis [1] 18:21 analyzing [1] 57:11	assets [5] 3:16 4:6 20:20 75:7 79:14 Assistant [1] 1:20 associated [2] 90:23 94: 13 Associates [1] 79:23 assume [2] 39:2 47:2 assuming [3] 30:16 31:20 41:23
2 2 [1] 7:4 20 [5] 7:11 17:14 18:15 54: 2 77:15 200 [1] 73:18 2007 [2] 6:21 18:12 2008 [1] 33:17 2009 [3] 21 :15 73:25 93 :19 2010 [1] 93 :20	99 [6] 12:16 15:21 26:10,11 71:20 72:17 A a.m [2] 1:15 3:2 aberrant [1] 77:17 ability [2] 61:5 82:22 able [4] 8:3 13:18 38:17 51: 11 ably [1] 41:22	adopted [1] 42:3 adopts [2] 59:7 79:10 advance [1] 5:10 advantage [1] 95:9 advertise [1] 44:13 advice [2] 43:14 57:22 advocated [1] 42:4 advocating [1] 76:9 affairs [1] 91:18 affect [1] 44:5	41:12 54:24 56:20 84:13 86:14 among [4] 18:15 43:11 50: 25 62:22 amount [3] 22:18 44:3 48: 12 ample [1] 6:16 analysis [1] 18:21 analyzing [1] 57:11 ancient [1] 19:25	assets [5] 3:16 4:6 20:20 75:7 79:14 Assistant [1] 1:20 associated [2] 90:23 94: 13 Associates [1] 79:23 assume [2] 39:2 47:2 assuming [3] 30:16 31:20 41:23 assumption [1] 25:18
2 2 [1] 7:4 20 [5] 7:11 17:14 18:15 54: 2 77:15 200 [1] 73:18 2007 [2] 6:21 18:12 2008 [1] 33:17 2009 [3] 21:15 73:25 93:19 2010 [1] 93:20 2013 [2] 21:17 32:7	99 [6] 12:16 15:21 26:10,11 71:20 72:17 A a.m [2] 1:15 3:2 aberrant [1] 77:17 ability [2] 61:5 82:22 able [4] 8:3 13:18 38:17 51: 11 ably [1] 41:22 above-entitled [1] 1:13	adopted [1] 42:3 adopts [2] 59:7 79:10 advance [1] 5:10 advantage [1] 95:9 advertise [1] 44:13 advice [2] 43:14 57:22 advocated [1] 42:4 advocating [1] 76:9 affairs [1] 91:18 affect [1] 44:5 affirm [2] 58:19 92:19	41:12 54:24 56:20 84:13 86:14 among [4] 18:15 43:11 50: 25 62:22 amount [3] 22:18 44:3 48: 12 ample [1] 6:16 analysis [1] 18:21 analyzing [1] 57:11 ancient [1] 19:25 announced [1] 5:3	assets [5] 3:16 4:6 20:20 75:7 79:14 Assistant [1] 1:20 associated [2] 90:23 94: 13 Associates [1] 79:23 assume [2] 39:2 47:2 assuming [3] 30:16 31:20 41:23 assumption [1] 25:18 assumptions [1] 56:15
2 2 [1] 7:4 20 [5] 7:11 17 :14 18 :15 54 : 2 77 :15 200 [1] 73 :18 2007 [2] 6 :21 18 :12 2008 [1] 33 :17 2009 [3] 21 :15 73 :25 93 :19 2010 [1] 93 :20 2013 [2] 21 :17 32 :7 2016 [7] 6 :9 22 :10,15 33 :15,	99 [6] 12:16 15:21 26:10,11 71:20 72:17 A a.m [2] 1:15 3:2 aberrant [1] 77:17 ability [2] 61:5 82:22 able [4] 8:3 13:18 38:17 51: 11 ably [1] 41:22 above-entitled [1] 1:13 absence [1] 59:16	adopted [1] 42:3 adopts [2] 59:7 79:10 advance [1] 5:10 advantage [1] 95:9 advertise [1] 44:13 advice [2] 43:14 57:22 advocated [1] 42:4 advocating [1] 76:9 affairs [1] 91:18 affect [1] 44:5 affirm [2] 58:19 92:19 affirmed [1] 15:2	41:12 54:24 56:20 84:13 86:14 among [4] 18:15 43:11 50: 25 62:22 amount [3] 22:18 44:3 48: 12 ample [1] 6:16 analysis [1] 18:21 analyzing [1] 57:11 ancient [1] 19:25 announced [1] 5:3 announcing [1] 3:21	assets [5] 3:16 4:6 20:20 75:7 79:14 Assistant [1] 1:20 associated [2] 90:23 94: 13 Associates [1] 79:23 assume [2] 39:2 47:2 assuming [3] 30:16 31:20 41:23 assumption [1] 25:18 assumptions [1] 56:15 attack [1] 86:11
2 2 [1] 7:4 20 [5] 7:11 17:14 18:15 54: 2 77:15 200 [1] 73:18 2007 [2] 6:21 18:12 2008 [1] 33:17 2009 [3] 21:15 73:25 93:19 2010 [1] 93:20 2013 [2] 21:17 32:7 2016 [7] 6:9 22:10,15 33:15, 25 37:11 46:10	99 [6] 12:16 15:21 26:10,11 71:20 72:17 A a.m [2] 1:15 3:2 aberrant [1] 77:17 ability [2] 61:5 82:22 able [4] 8:3 13:18 38:17 51: 11 ably [1] 41:22 above-entitled [1] 1:13 absence [1] 59:16 absent [2] 39:23 40:5	adopted [1] 42:3 adopts [2] 59:7 79:10 advance [1] 5:10 advantage [1] 95:9 advertise [1] 44:13 advice [2] 43:14 57:22 advocated [1] 42:4 advocating [1] 76:9 affairs [1] 91:18 affect [1] 44:5 affirm [2] 58:19 92:19 affirmed [1] 15:2 aggregate [1] 69:14	41:12 54:24 56:20 84:13 86:14 among [4] 18:15 43:11 50: 25 62:22 amount [3] 22:18 44:3 48: 12 ample [1] 6:16 analysis [1] 18:21 analyzing [1] 57:11 ancient [1] 19:25 announced [1] 5:3 announcing [1] 3:21 annuities [2] 63:18 72:3	assets [5] 3:16 4:6 20:20 75:7 79:14 Assistant [1] 1:20 associated [2] 90:23 94: 13 Associates [1] 79:23 assume [2] 39:2 47:2 assuming [3] 30:16 31:20 41:23 assumption [1] 25:18 assumptions [1] 56:15 attack [1] 86:11 attempt [2] 73:11 82:17
2 2 [1] 7:4 20 [5] 7:11 17:14 18:15 54: 2 77:15 200 [1] 73:18 2007 [2] 6:21 18:12 2008 [1] 33:17 2009 [3] 21:15 73:25 93:19 2010 [1] 93:20 2013 [2] 21:17 32:7 2016 [7] 6:9 22:10,15 33:15, 25 37:11 46:10 2021 [1] 1:11	99 [6] 12:16 15:21 26:10,11 71:20 72:17 A a.m [2] 1:15 3:2 aberrant [1] 77:17 ability [2] 61:5 82:22 able [4] 8:3 13:18 38:17 51: 11 ably [1] 41:22 above-entitled [1] 1:13 absence [1] 59:16 absent [2] 39:23 40:5 absolutely [3] 43:25 44:7	adopted [1] 42:3 adopts [2] 59:7 79:10 advance [1] 5:10 advantage [1] 95:9 advertise [1] 44:13 advice [2] 43:14 57:22 advocated [1] 42:4 advocating [1] 76:9 affairs [1] 91:18 affect [1] 44:5 affirm [2] 58:19 92:19 affirmed [1] 15:2 aggregate [1] 69:14 aggressive [1] 23:6	41:12 54:24 56:20 84:13 86:14 among [4] 18:15 43:11 50: 25 62:22 amount [3] 22:18 44:3 48: 12 ample [1] 6:16 analysis [1] 18:21 analyzing [1] 57:11 ancient [1] 19:25 announced [1] 5:3 announcing [1] 3:21 annuities [2] 63:18 72:3 anomalous [1] 28:2	assets [5] 3:16 4:6 20:20 75:7 79:14 Assistant [1] 1:20 associated [2] 90:23 94: 13 Associates [1] 79:23 assume [2] 39:2 47:2 assuming [3] 30:16 31:20 41:23 assumption [1] 25:18 assumptions [1] 56:15 attack [1] 86:11 attempt [2] 73:11 82:17 attention [2] 44:9 65:5
2 2 [1] 7:4 20 [5] 7:11 17:14 18:15 54: 2 77:15 200 [1] 73:18 2007 [2] 6:21 18:12 2008 [1] 33:17 2009 [3] 21:15 73:25 93:19 2010 [1] 93:20 2013 [2] 21:17 32:7 2016 [7] 6:9 22:10,15 33:15, 25 37:11 46:10 2021 [1] 1:11 2050 [6] 50:15,15,18,18 51:	99 [6] 12:16 15:21 26:10,11 71:20 72:17 A a.m [2] 1:15 3:2 aberrant [1] 77:17 ability [2] 61:5 82:22 able [4] 8:3 13:18 38:17 51: 11 ably [1] 41:22 above-entitled [1] 1:13 absence [1] 59:16 absent [2] 39:23 40:5 absolutely [3] 43:25 44:7 73:4	adopted [1] 42:3 adopts [2] 59:7 79:10 advance [1] 5:10 advantage [1] 95:9 advertise [1] 44:13 advice [2] 43:14 57:22 advocated [1] 42:4 advocating [1] 76:9 affairs [1] 91:18 affect [1] 44:5 affirm [2] 58:19 92:19 affirmed [1] 15:2 aggregate [1] 69:14 aggressive [1] 23:6 ago [2] 22:10 53:16	41:12 54:24 56:20 84:13 86:14 among [4] 18:15 43:11 50: 25 62:22 amount [3] 22:18 44:3 48: 12 ample [1] 6:16 analysis [1] 18:21 analyzing [1] 57:11 ancient [1] 19:25 announced [1] 5:3 announcing [1] 3:21 annuities [2] 63:18 72:3 anomalous [1] 28:2 another [6] 20:20 25:5 49:	assets [5] 3:16 4:6 20:20 75:7 79:14 Assistant [1] 1:20 associated [2] 90:23 94: 13 Associates [1] 79:23 assume [2] 39:2 47:2 assuming [3] 30:16 31:20 41:23 assumption [1] 25:18 assumptions [1] 56:15 attack [1] 86:11 attempt [2] 73:11 82:17 attention [2] 44:9 65:5 automatically [1] 50:12
2 2 [1] 7:4 20 [5] 7:11 17:14 18:15 54: 2 77:15 200 [1] 73:18 2007 [2] 6:21 18:12 2008 [1] 33:17 2009 [3] 21:15 73:25 93:19 2010 [1] 93:20 2013 [2] 21:17 32:7 2016 [7] 6:9 22:10,15 33:15, 25 37:11 46:10 2021 [1] 1:11 2050 [6] 50:15,15,18,18 51: 21,22	99 [6] 12:16 15:21 26:10,11 71:20 72:17 A a.m [2] 1:15 3:2 aberrant [1] 77:17 ability [2] 61:5 82:22 able [4] 8:3 13:18 38:17 51: 11 ably [1] 41:22 above-entitled [1] 1:13 absence [1] 59:16 absent [2] 39:23 40:5 absolutely [3] 43:25 44:7 73:4 abstract [1] 84:8	adopted [1] 42:3 adopts [2] 59:7 79:10 advance [1] 5:10 advantage [1] 95:9 advertise [1] 44:13 advice [2] 43:14 57:22 advocated [1] 42:4 advocating [1] 76:9 affairs [1] 91:18 affect [1] 44:5 affirm [2] 58:19 92:19 affirmed [1] 15:2 aggregate [1] 69:14 aggressive [1] 23:6 ago [2] 22:10 53:16 agree [6] 75:22 77:5,6 79:2	41:12 54:24 56:20 84:13 86:14 among [4] 18:15 43:11 50: 25 62:22 amount [3] 22:18 44:3 48: 12 ample [1] 6:16 analysis [1] 18:21 analyzing [1] 57:11 ancient [1] 19:25 announced [1] 5:3 announcing [1] 3:21 annuities [2] 63:18 72:3 anomalous [1] 28:2 another [6] 20:20 25:5 49: 15 68:13 70:14 88:12	assets [5] 3:16 4:6 20:20 75:7 79:14 Assistant [1] 1:20 associated [2] 90:23 94: 13 Associates [1] 79:23 assume [2] 39:2 47:2 assuming [3] 30:16 31:20 41:23 assumption [1] 25:18 assumptions [1] 56:15 attack [1] 86:11 attempt [2] 73:11 82:17 attention [2] 44:9 65:5 automatically [1] 50:12 available [38] 4:9,18 6:15
2 2 [1] 7:4 20 [5] 7:11 17:14 18:15 54: 2 77:15 200 [1] 73:18 2007 [2] 6:21 18:12 2008 [1] 33:17 2009 [3] 21:15 73:25 93:19 2010 [1] 93:20 2013 [2] 21:17 32:7 2016 [7] 6:9 22:10,15 33:15, 25 37:11 46:10 2021 [1] 1:11 2050 [6] 50:15,15,18,18 51: 21,22 21 [1] 22:9	99 [6] 12:16 15:21 26:10,11 71:20 72:17 A a.m [2] 1:15 3:2 aberrant [1] 77:17 ability [2] 61:5 82:22 able [4] 8:3 13:18 38:17 51: 11 ably [1] 41:22 above-entitled [1] 1:13 absence [1] 59:16 absent [2] 39:23 40:5 absolutely [3] 43:25 44:7 73:4	adopted [1] 42:3 adopts [2] 59:7 79:10 advance [1] 5:10 advantage [1] 95:9 advertise [1] 44:13 advice [2] 43:14 57:22 advocated [1] 42:4 advocating [1] 76:9 affairs [1] 91:18 affect [1] 44:5 affirm [2] 58:19 92:19 affirmed [1] 15:2 aggregate [1] 69:14 aggressive [1] 23:6 ago [2] 22:10 53:16 agree [6] 75:22 77:5,6 79:2 85:14 87:2	41:12 54:24 56:20 84:13 86:14 among [4] 18:15 43:11 50: 25 62:22 amount [3] 22:18 44:3 48: 12 ample [1] 6:16 analysis [1] 18:21 analyzing [1] 57:11 ancient [1] 19:25 announced [1] 5:3 announcing [1] 3:21 annuities [2] 63:18 72:3 anomalous [1] 28:2 another [6] 20:20 25:5 49: 15 68:13 70:14 88:12 answer [8] 6:5 10:20 13:15	assets [5] 3:16 4:6 20:20 75:7 79:14 Assistant [1] 1:20 associated [2] 90:23 94: 13 Associates [1] 79:23 assume [2] 39:2 47:2 assuming [3] 30:16 31:20 41:23 assumption [1] 25:18 assumption [1] 25:18 assumptions [1] 56:15 attack [1] 86:11 attempt [2] 73:11 82:17 attention [2] 44:9 65:5 automatically [1] 50:12 available [38] 4:9,18 6:15 8:12 10:11 12:19 14:19 15:
2 2 [1] 7:4 20 [5] 7:11 17:14 18:15 54: 2 77:15 200 [1] 73:18 2007 [2] 6:21 18:12 2008 [1] 33:17 2009 [3] 21:15 73:25 93:19 2010 [1] 93:20 2013 [2] 21:17 32:7 2016 [7] 6:9 22:10,15 33:15, 25 37:11 46:10 2021 [1] 1:11 2050 [6] 50:15,15,18,18 51: 21,22 21 [1] 22:9 242 [2] 18:16 37:12	99 [6] 12:16 15:21 26:10,11 71:20 72:17 A a.m [2] 1:15 3:2 aberrant [1] 77:17 ability [2] 61:5 82:22 able [4] 8:3 13:18 38:17 51: 11 ably [1] 41:22 above-entitled [1] 1:13 absence [1] 59:16 absent [2] 39:23 40:5 absolutely [3] 43:25 44:7 73:4 abstract [1] 84:8	adopted [1] 42:3 adopts [2] 59:7 79:10 advance [1] 5:10 advantage [1] 95:9 advertise [1] 44:13 advice [2] 43:14 57:22 advocated [1] 42:4 advocating [1] 76:9 affairs [1] 91:18 affect [1] 44:5 affirm [2] 58:19 92:19 affirmed [1] 15:2 aggregate [1] 69:14 aggressive [1] 23:6 ago [2] 22:10 53:16 agree [6] 75:22 77:5,6 79:2 85:14 87:2 Ahh [1] 26:6	41:12 54:24 56:20 84:13 86:14 among [4] 18:15 43:11 50: 25 62:22 amount [3] 22:18 44:3 48: 12 ample [1] 6:16 analysis [1] 18:21 analyzing [1] 57:11 ancient [1] 19:25 announced [1] 5:3 announcing [1] 3:21 annuities [2] 63:18 72:3 anomalous [1] 28:2 another [6] 20:20 25:5 49: 15 68:13 70:14 88:12 answer [8] 6:5 10:20 13:15 14:21 53:22 86:4 89:14 94:	assets [5] 3:16 4:6 20:20 75:7 79:14 Assistant [1] 1:20 associated [2] 90:23 94: 13 Associates [1] 79:23 assume [2] 39:2 47:2 assuming [3] 30:16 31:20 41:23 assumption [1] 25:18 assumption [1] 25:18 assumptions [1] 56:15 attack [1] 86:11 attempt [2] 73:11 82:17 attention [2] 44:9 65:5 automatically [1] 50:12 available [38] 4:9,18 6:15 8:12 10:11 12:19 14:19 15: 1,5,22 26:6,6,9 27:13 39:
2 2 [1] 7:4 20 [5] 7:11 17:14 18:15 54: 2 77:15 200 [1] 73:18 2007 [2] 6:21 18:12 2008 [1] 33:17 2009 [3] 21:15 73:25 93:19 2010 [1] 93:20 2013 [2] 21:17 32:7 2016 [7] 6:9 22:10,15 33:15, 25 37:11 46:10 2021 [1] 1:11 2050 [6] 50:15,15,18,18 51: 21,22 21 [1] 22:9 242 [2] 18:16 37:12 25 [1] 6:1	99 [6] 12:16 15:21 26:10,11 71:20 72:17 A a.m [2] 1:15 3:2 aberrant [1] 77:17 ability [2] 61:5 82:22 able [4] 8:3 13:18 38:17 51: 11 ably [1] 41:22 above-entitled [1] 1:13 absence [1] 59:16 absent [2] 39:23 40:5 absolutely [3] 43:25 44:7 73:4 abstract [1] 84:8 access [5] 46:13 51:15,23	adopted [1] 42:3 adopts [2] 59:7 79:10 advance [1] 5:10 advantage [1] 95:9 advertise [1] 44:13 advice [2] 43:14 57:22 advocated [1] 42:4 advocating [1] 76:9 affairs [1] 91:18 affect [1] 44:5 affirm [2] 58:19 92:19 affirmed [1] 15:2 aggregate [1] 69:14 aggressive [1] 23:6 ago [2] 22:10 53:16 agree [6] 75:22 77:5,6 79:2 85:14 87:2 Ahh [1] 26:6 aims [1] 23:15	41:12 54:24 56:20 84:13 86:14 among [4] 18:15 43:11 50: 25 62:22 amount [3] 22:18 44:3 48: 12 ample [1] 6:16 analysis [1] 18:21 analyzing [1] 57:11 ancient [1] 19:25 announced [1] 5:3 announcing [1] 3:21 annuities [2] 63:18 72:3 anomalous [1] 28:2 another [6] 20:20 25:5 49: 15 68:13 70:14 88:12 answer [8] 6:5 10:20 13:15 14:21 53:22 86:4 89:14 94: 9	assets [5] 3:16 4:6 20:20 75:7 79:14 Assistant [1] 1:20 associated [2] 90:23 94: 13 Associates [1] 79:23 assume [2] 39:2 47:2 assuming [3] 30:16 31:20 41:23 assumption [1] 25:18 assumptions [1] 56:15 attack [1] 86:11 attempt [2] 73:11 82:17 attention [2] 44:9 65:5 automatically [1] 50:12 available [38] 4:9,18 6:15 8:12 10:11 12:19 14:19 15: 1,5,22 26:6,6,9 27:13 39: 25,25 46:25 59:16 65:9 66:
2 2 [1] 7:4 20 [5] 7:11 17:14 18:15 54: 2 77:15 200 [1] 73:18 2007 [2] 6:21 18:12 2008 [1] 33:17 2009 [3] 21:15 73:25 93:19 2010 [1] 93:20 2013 [2] 21:17 32:7 2016 [7] 6:9 22:10,15 33:15, 25 37:11 46:10 2021 [1] 1:11 2050 [6] 50:15,15,18,18 51: 21,22 21 [1] 22:9 242 [2] 18:16 37:12 25 [1] 6:1	99 [6] 12:16 15:21 26:10,11 71:20 72:17 A a.m [2] 1:15 3:2 aberrant [1] 77:17 ability [2] 61:5 82:22 able [4] 8:3 13:18 38:17 51: 11 ably [1] 41:22 above-entitled [1] 1:13 absence [1] 59:16 absent [2] 39:23 40:5 absolutely [3] 43:25 44:7 73:4 abstract [1] 84:8 access [5] 46:13 51:15,23 67:18 94:17	adopted [1] 42:3 adopts [2] 59:7 79:10 advance [1] 5:10 advantage [1] 95:9 advertise [1] 44:13 advice [2] 43:14 57:22 advocated [1] 42:4 advocating [1] 76:9 affairs [1] 91:18 affect [1] 44:5 affirm [2] 58:19 92:19 affirmed [1] 15:2 aggregate [1] 69:14 aggressive [1] 23:6 ago [2] 22:10 53:16 agree [6] 75:22 77:5,6 79:2 85:14 87:2 Ahh [1] 26:6 aims [1] 23:15 air [2] 65:18,23	41:12 54:24 56:20 84:13 86:14 among [4] 18:15 43:11 50: 25 62:22 amount [3] 22:18 44:3 48: 12 ample [1] 6:16 analysis [1] 18:21 analyzing [1] 57:11 ancient [1] 19:25 announced [1] 5:3 announcing [1] 3:21 annuities [2] 63:18 72:3 anomalous [1] 28:2 another [6] 20:20 25:5 49: 15 68:13 70:14 88:12 answer [8] 6:5 10:20 13:15 14:21 53:22 86:4 89:14 94: 9 answer's [1] 56:25	assets [5] 3:16 4:6 20:20 75:7 79:14 Assistant [1] 1:20 associated [2] 90:23 94: 13 Associates [1] 79:23 assume [2] 39:2 47:2 assuming [3] 30:16 31:20 41:23 assumption [1] 25:18 assumptions [1] 56:15 attack [1] 86:11 attempt [2] 73:11 82:17 attention [2] 44:9 65:5 automatically [1] 50:12 available [38] 4:9,18 6:15 8:12 10:11 12:19 14:19 15: 1,5,22 26:6,6,9 27:13 39: 25,25 46:25 59:16 65:9 66: 22,23,24 67:12,22,25 68:2,
2 2 [1] 7:4 20 [5] 7:11 17:14 18:15 54: 2 77:15 200 [1] 73:18 2007 [2] 6:21 18:12 2008 [1] 33:17 2009 [3] 21:15 73:25 93:19 2010 [1] 93:20 2013 [2] 21:17 32:7 2016 [7] 6:9 22:10,15 33:15, 25 37:11 46:10 2021 [1] 1:11 2050 [6] 50:15,15,18,18 51: 21,22 21 [1] 22:9 242 [2] 18:16 37:12 25 [1] 6:1 266 [1] 83:1	99 [6] 12:16 15:21 26:10,11 71:20 72:17 A a.m [2] 1:15 3:2 aberrant [1] 77:17 ability [2] 61:5 82:22 able [4] 8:3 13:18 38:17 51: 11 ably [1] 41:22 above-entitled [1] 1:13 absence [1] 59:16 absent [2] 39:23 40:5 absolutely [3] 43:25 44:7 73:4 abstract [1] 84:8 access [5] 46:13 51:15,23 67:18 94:17 accordance [1] 6:23	adopted [1] 42:3 adopts [2] 59:7 79:10 advance [1] 5:10 advantage [1] 95:9 advertise [1] 44:13 advice [2] 43:14 57:22 advocated [1] 42:4 advocating [1] 76:9 affairs [1] 91:18 affect [1] 44:5 affirm [2] 58:19 92:19 affirmed [1] 15:2 aggregate [1] 69:14 aggressive [1] 23:6 ago [2] 22:10 53:16 agree [6] 75:22 77:5,6 79:2 85:14 87:2 Ahh [1] 26:6 aims [1] 23:15 air [2] 65:18,23 akin [1] 20:17	41:12 54:24 56:20 84:13 86:14 among [4] 18:15 43:11 50: 25 62:22 amount [3] 22:18 44:3 48: 12 ample [1] 6:16 analysis [1] 18:21 analyzing [1] 57:11 ancient [1] 19:25 announced [1] 5:3 announcing [1] 3:21 annuities [2] 63:18 72:3 anomalous [1] 28:2 another [6] 20:20 25:5 49: 15 68:13 70:14 88:12 answer [8] 6:5 10:20 13:15 14:21 53:22 86:4 89:14 94: 9 answer's [1] 56:25 answered [1] 22:17	assets [5] 3:16 4:6 20:20 75:7 79:14 Assistant [1] 1:20 associated [2] 90:23 94: 13 Associates [1] 79:23 assume [2] 39:2 47:2 assuming [3] 30:16 31:20 41:23 assumption [1] 25:18 assumption [1] 25:18 assumptions [1] 56:15 attack [1] 86:11 attempt [2] 73:11 82:17 attention [2] 44:9 65:5 automatically [1] 50:12 available [38] 4:9,18 6:15 8:12 10:11 12:19 14:19 15: 1,5,22 26:6,6,9 27:13 39: 25,25 46:25 59:16 65:9 66: 22,23,24 67:12,22,25 68:2, 18 72:25 73:16 74:19 77:
2 2 [1] 7:4 20 [5] 7:11 17:14 18:15 54: 2 77:15 200 [1] 73:18 2007 [2] 6:21 18:12 2008 [1] 33:17 2009 [3] 21:15 73:25 93:19 2010 [1] 93:20 2013 [2] 21:17 32:7 2016 [7] 6:9 22:10,15 33:15, 25 37:11 46:10 2021 [1] 1:11 2050 [6] 50:15,15,18,18 51: 21,22 21 [1] 22:9 242 [2] 18:16 37:12 25 [1] 6:1 266 [1] 83:1 3	99 [6] 12:16 15:21 26:10,11 71:20 72:17 A a.m [2] 1:15 3:2 aberrant [1] 77:17 ability [2] 61:5 82:22 able [4] 8:3 13:18 38:17 51: 11 ably [1] 41:22 above-entitled [1] 1:13 absence [1] 59:16 absent [2] 39:23 40:5 absolutely [3] 43:25 44:7 73:4 abstract [1] 84:8 access [5] 46:13 51:15,23 67:18 94:17 accordance [1] 6:23 account [6] 69:21 74:14	adopted [1] 42:3 adopts [2] 59:7 79:10 advance [1] 5:10 advantage [1] 95:9 advertise [1] 44:13 advice [2] 43:14 57:22 advocated [1] 42:4 advocating [1] 76:9 affairs [1] 91:18 affect [1] 44:5 affirm [2] 58:19 92:19 affirmed [1] 15:2 aggregate [1] 69:14 aggressive [1] 23:6 ago [2] 22:10 53:16 agree [6] 75:22 77:5,6 79:2 85:14 87:2 Ahh [1] 26:6 aims [1] 23:15 air [2] 65:18,23	41:12 54:24 56:20 84:13 86:14 among [4] 18:15 43:11 50: 25 62:22 amount [3] 22:18 44:3 48: 12 ample [1] 6:16 analysis [1] 18:21 analyzing [1] 57:11 ancient [1] 19:25 announced [1] 5:3 announcing [1] 3:21 annuities [2] 63:18 72:3 anomalous [1] 28:2 another [6] 20:20 25:5 49: 15 68:13 70:14 88:12 answer [8] 6:5 10:20 13:15 14:21 53:22 86:4 89:14 94: 9 answer's [1] 56:25	assets [5] 3:16 4:6 20:20 75:7 79:14 Assistant [1] 1:20 associated [2] 90:23 94: 13 Associates [1] 79:23 assume [2] 39:2 47:2 assuming [3] 30:16 31:20 41:23 assumption [1] 25:18 assumptions [1] 56:15 attack [1] 86:11 attempt [2] 73:11 82:17 attention [2] 44:9 65:5 automatically [1] 50:12 available [38] 4:9,18 6:15 8:12 10:11 12:19 14:19 15: 1,5,22 26:6,6,9 27:13 39: 25,25 46:25 59:16 65:9 66: 22,23,24 67:12,22,25 68:2,
2 2 [1] 7:4 20 [5] 7:11 17:14 18:15 54: 2 77:15 200 [1] 73:18 2007 [2] 6:21 18:12 2008 [1] 33:17 2009 [3] 21:15 73:25 93:19 2010 [1] 93:20 2013 [2] 21:17 32:7 2016 [7] 6:9 22:10,15 33:15, 25 37:11 46:10 2021 [1] 1:11 2050 [6] 50:15,15,18,18 51: 21,22 21 [1] 22:9 242 [2] 18:16 37:12 25 [1] 6:1 266 [1] 83:1 3 3 [1] 2:4	99 [6] 12:16 15:21 26:10,11 71:20 72:17 A a.m [2] 1:15 3:2 aberrant [1] 77:17 ability [2] 61:5 82:22 able [4] 8:3 13:18 38:17 51: 11 ably [1] 41:22 above-entitled [1] 1:13 absence [1] 59:16 absent [2] 39:23 40:5 absolutely [3] 43:25 44:7 73:4 abstract [1] 84:8 access [5] 46:13 51:15,23 67:18 94:17 accordance [1] 6:23 account [6] 69:21 74:14 79:3 88:11,12 89:10	adopted [1] 42:3 adopts [2] 59:7 79:10 advance [1] 5:10 advantage [1] 95:9 advertise [1] 44:13 advice [2] 43:14 57:22 advocated [1] 42:4 advocating [1] 76:9 affairs [1] 91:18 affect [1] 44:5 affirm [2] 58:19 92:19 affirmed [1] 15:2 aggregate [1] 69:14 aggressive [1] 23:6 ago [2] 22:10 53:16 agree [6] 75:22 77:5,6 79:2 85:14 87:2 Ahh [1] 26:6 aims [1] 23:15 air [2] 65:18,23 akin [1] 20:17 AL [2] 1:3,6 alignment [1] 6:23	41:12 54:24 56:20 84:13 86:14 among [4] 18:15 43:11 50: 25 62:22 amount [3] 22:18 44:3 48: 12 ample [1] 6:16 analysis [1] 18:21 analyzing [1] 57:11 ancient [1] 19:25 announced [1] 5:3 announced [1] 3:21 annuities [2] 63:18 72:3 anomalous [1] 28:2 another [6] 20:20 25:5 49: 15 68:13 70:14 88:12 answer [8] 6:5 10:20 13:15 14:21 53:22 86:4 89:14 94: 9 answer's [1] 56:25 answered [1] 22:17 answers [2] 52:7 66:11 anybody [2] 37:24 49:14	assets [5] 3:16 4:6 20:20 75:7 79:14 Assistant [1] 1:20 associated [2] 90:23 94: 13 Associates [1] 79:23 assume [2] 39:2 47:2 assuming [3] 30:16 31:20 41:23 assumption [1] 25:18 assumption [1] 25:18 assumptions [1] 56:15 attack [1] 86:11 attempt [2] 73:11 82:17 attention [2] 44:9 65:5 automatically [1] 50:12 available [38] 4:9,18 6:15 8:12 10:11 12:19 14:19 15: 1,5,22 26:6,6,9 27:13 39: 25,25 46:25 59:16 65:9 66: 22,23,24 67:12,22,25 68:2, 18 72:25 73:16 74:19 77: 23 79:8 81:4,9 84:9 91:12 92:5,7
2 2 [1] 7:4 20 [5] 7:11 17:14 18:15 54: 2 77:15 200 [1] 73:18 2007 [2] 6:21 18:12 2008 [1] 33:17 2009 [3] 21:15 73:25 93:19 2010 [1] 93:20 2013 [2] 21:17 32:7 2016 [7] 6:9 22:10,15 33:15, 25 37:11 46:10 2021 [1] 1:11 2050 [6] 50:15,15,18,18 51: 21,22 21 [1] 22:9 242 [2] 18:16 37:12 25 [1] 6:1 266 [1] 83:1 3 3 [1] 2:4 300 [1] 68:13	99 [6] 12:16 15:21 26:10,11 71:20 72:17 A a.m [2] 1:15 3:2 aberrant [1] 77:17 ability [2] 61:5 82:22 able [4] 8:3 13:18 38:17 51: 11 ably [1] 41:22 above-entitled [1] 1:13 absence [1] 59:16 absent [2] 39:23 40:5 absolutely [3] 43:25 44:7 73:4 abstract [1] 84:8 access [5] 46:13 51:15,23 67:18 94:17 accordance [1] 6:23 account [6] 69:21 74:14 79:3 88:11,12 89:10 accountable [1] 74:9	adopted [1] 42:3 adopts [2] 59:7 79:10 advance [1] 5:10 advantage [1] 95:9 advertise [1] 44:13 advice [2] 43:14 57:22 advocated [1] 42:4 advocating [1] 76:9 affairs [1] 91:18 affect [1] 44:5 affirm [2] 58:19 92:19 affirmed [1] 15:2 aggregate [1] 69:14 aggressive [1] 23:6 ago [2] 22:10 53:16 agree [6] 75:22 77:5,6 79:2 85:14 87:2 Ahh [1] 26:6 aims [1] 23:15 air [2] 65:18,23 akin [1] 20:17 AL [2] 1:3,6	41:12 54:24 56:20 84:13 86:14 among [4] 18:15 43:11 50: 25 62:22 amount [3] 22:18 44:3 48: 12 ample [1] 6:16 analysis [1] 18:21 analyzing [1] 57:11 ancient [1] 19:25 announced [1] 5:3 announcing [1] 3:21 annuities [2] 63:18 72:3 anomalous [1] 28:2 another [6] 20:20 25:5 49: 15 68:13 70:14 88:12 answer [8] 6:5 10:20 13:15 14:21 53:22 86:4 89:14 94: 9 answer's [1] 56:25 answered [1] 22:17 answers [2] 52:7 66:11	assets [5] 3:16 4:6 20:20 75:7 79:14 Assistant [1] 1:20 associated [2] 90:23 94: 13 Associates [1] 79:23 assume [2] 39:2 47:2 assuming [3] 30:16 31:20 41:23 assumption [1] 25:18 assumption [1] 25:18 assumptions [1] 56:15 attack [1] 86:11 attempt [2] 73:11 82:17 attention [2] 44:9 65:5 automatically [1] 50:12 available [38] 4:9,18 6:15 8:12 10:11 12:19 14:19 15: 1,5,22 26:6,6,9 27:13 39: 25,25 46:25 59:16 65:9 66: 22,23,24 67:12,22,25 68:2, 18 72:25 73:16 74:19 77: 23 79:8 81:4,9 84:9 91:12 92:5,7
2 2 [1] 7:4 20 [5] 7:11 17:14 18:15 54: 2 77:15 200 [1] 73:18 2007 [2] 6:21 18:12 2008 [1] 33:17 2009 [3] 21:15 73:25 93:19 2010 [1] 93:20 2013 [2] 21:17 32:7 2016 [7] 6:9 22:10,15 33:15, 25 37:11 46:10 2021 [1] 1:11 2050 [6] 50:15,15,18,18 51: 21,22 21 [1] 22:9 242 [2] 18:16 37:12 25 [1] 6:1 266 [1] 83:1 3 3 [1] 2:4 300 [1] 68:13 32 [1] 37:12	99 [6] 12:16 15:21 26:10,11 71:20 72:17 A a.m [2] 1:15 3:2 aberrant [1] 77:17 ability [2] 61:5 82:22 able [4] 8:3 13:18 38:17 51: 11 ably [1] 41:22 above-entitled [1] 1:13 absence [1] 59:16 absent [2] 39:23 40:5 absolutely [3] 43:25 44:7 73:4 abstract [1] 84:8 access [5] 46:13 51:15,23 67:18 94:17 accordance [1] 6:23 account [6] 69:21 74:14 79:3 88:11,12 89:10 accountable [1] 74:9 accumulate [1] 65:2	adopted [1] 42:3 adopts [2] 59:7 79:10 advance [1] 5:10 advantage [1] 95:9 advertise [1] 44:13 advice [2] 43:14 57:22 advocated [1] 42:4 advocating [1] 76:9 affairs [1] 91:18 affect [1] 44:5 affirm [2] 58:19 92:19 affirmed [1] 15:2 aggregate [1] 69:14 aggressive [1] 23:6 ago [2] 22:10 53:16 agree [6] 75:22 77:5,6 79:2 85:14 87:2 Ahh [1] 26:6 aims [1] 23:15 air [2] 65:18,23 akin [1] 20:17 AL [2] 1:3,6 alignment [1] 6:23	41:12 54:24 56:20 84:13 86:14 among [4] 18:15 43:11 50: 25 62:22 amount [3] 22:18 44:3 48: 12 ample [1] 6:16 analysis [1] 18:21 analyzing [1] 57:11 ancient [1] 19:25 announced [1] 5:3 announcing [1] 3:21 annuities [2] 63:18 72:3 another [6] 20:20 25:5 49: 15 68:13 70:14 88:12 answer [8] 6:5 10:20 13:15 14:21 53:22 86:4 89:14 94: 9 answer's [1] 56:25 answered [1] 22:17 answers [2] 52:7 66:11 anybody [2] 37:24 49:14 Apalachicola [1] 86:20 apart [1] 71:16	assets [5] 3:16 4:6 20:20 75:7 79:14 Assistant [1] 1:20 associated [2] 90:23 94: 13 Associates [1] 79:23 assume [2] 39:2 47:2 assuming [3] 30:16 31:20 41:23 assumption [1] 25:18 assumption [1] 25:18 assumptions [1] 56:15 attack [1] 86:11 attempt [2] 73:11 82:17 attention [2] 44:9 65:5 automatically [1] 50:12 available [38] 4:9,18 6:15 8:12 10:11 12:19 14:19 15: 1,5,22 26:6,6,9 27:13 39: 25,25 46:25 59:16 65:9 66: 22,23,24 67:12,22,25 68:2, 18 72:25 73:16 74:19 77: 23 79:8 81:4,9 84:9 91:12 92:5,7
2 2 [1] 7:4 20 [5] 7:11 17:14 18:15 54: 2 77:15 200 [1] 73:18 2007 [2] 6:21 18:12 2008 [1] 33:17 2009 [3] 21:15 73:25 93:19 2010 [1] 93:20 2013 [2] 21:17 32:7 2016 [7] 6:9 22:10,15 33:15, 25 37:11 46:10 2021 [1] 1:11 2050 [6] 50:15,15,18,18 51: 21,22 21 [1] 22:9 242 [2] 18:16 37:12 25 [1] 6:1 266 [1] 83:1 3 3 [1] 2:4 300 [1] 68:13	99 [6] 12:16 15:21 26:10,11 71:20 72:17 A a.m [2] 1:15 3:2 aberrant [1] 77:17 ability [2] 61:5 82:22 able [4] 8:3 13:18 38:17 51: 11 ably [1] 41:22 above-entitled [1] 1:13 absence [1] 59:16 absent [2] 39:23 40:5 absolutely [3] 43:25 44:7 73:4 abstract [1] 84:8 access [5] 46:13 51:15,23 67:18 94:17 accordance [1] 6:23 account [6] 69:21 74:14 79:3 88:11,12 89:10 accountable [1] 74:9 accumulate [1] 65:2 achieved [1] 40:7 acknowledge [1] 18:18	adopted [1] 42:3 adopts [2] 59:7 79:10 advance [1] 5:10 advantage [1] 95:9 advertise [1] 44:13 advice [2] 43:14 57:22 advocated [1] 42:4 advocating [1] 76:9 affairs [1] 91:18 affect [1] 44:5 affirm [2] 58:19 92:19 affirmed [1] 15:2 aggregate [1] 69:14 aggressive [1] 23:6 ago [2] 22:10 53:16 agree [6] 75:22 77:5,6 79:2 85:14 87:2 Ahh [1] 26:6 aims [1] 23:15 air [2] 65:18,23 akin [1] 20:17 AL [2] 1:3,6 alignment [1] 6:23 ALITO [10] 15:8,18 16:2 28:	41:12 54:24 56:20 84:13 86:14 among [4] 18:15 43:11 50: 25 62:22 amount [3] 22:18 44:3 48: 12 ample [1] 6:16 analysis [1] 18:21 analyzing [1] 57:11 ancient [1] 19:25 announced [1] 5:3 announced [1] 3:21 annuities [2] 63:18 72:3 anomalous [1] 28:2 another [6] 20:20 25:5 49: 15 68:13 70:14 88:12 answer [8] 6:5 10:20 13:15 14:21 53:22 86:4 89:14 94: 9 answer's [1] 56:25 answered [1] 22:17 answers [2] 52:7 66:11 anybody [2] 37:24 49:14 Apalachicola [1] 86:20	assets [5] 3:16 4:6 20:20 75:7 79:14 Assistant [1] 1:20 associated [2] 90:23 94: 13 Associates [1] 79:23 assume [2] 39:2 47:2 assuming [3] 30:16 31:20 41:23 assumption [1] 25:18 assumptions [1] 56:15 attack [1] 86:11 attempt [2] 73:11 82:17 attention [2] 44:9 65:5 automatically [1] 50:12 available [38] 4:9,18 6:15 8:12 10:11 12:19 14:19 15: 1,5,22 26:6,6,9 27:13 39: 25,25 46:25 59:16 65:9 66: 22,23,24 67:12,22,25 68:2, 18 72:25 73:16 74:19 77: 23 79:8 81:4,9 84:9 91:12 92:5,7 average [5] 18:15 23:17,24
2 2 [1] 7:4 20 [5] 7:11 17:14 18:15 54: 2 77:15 200 [1] 73:18 2007 [2] 6:21 18:12 2008 [1] 33:17 2009 [3] 21:15 73:25 93:19 2010 [1] 93:20 2013 [2] 21:17 32:7 2016 [7] 6:9 22:10,15 33:15, 25 37:11 46:10 2021 [1] 1:11 2050 [6] 50:15,15,18,18 51: 21,22 21 [1] 22:9 242 [2] 18:16 37:12 25 [1] 6:1 266 [1] 83:1 3 3 [1] 2:4 300 [1] 68:13 32 [1] 37:12	99 [6] 12:16 15:21 26:10,11 71:20 72:17 A a.m [2] 1:15 3:2 aberrant [1] 77:17 ability [2] 61:5 82:22 able [4] 8:3 13:18 38:17 51: 11 ably [1] 41:22 above-entitled [1] 1:13 absence [1] 59:16 absent [2] 39:23 40:5 absolutely [3] 43:25 44:7 73:4 abstract [1] 84:8 access [5] 46:13 51:15,23 67:18 94:17 accordance [1] 6:23 account [6] 69:21 74:14 79:3 88:11,12 89:10 accountable [1] 74:9 accumulate [1] 65:2 achieved [1] 40:7	adopted [1] 42:3 adopts [2] 59:7 79:10 advance [1] 5:10 advantage [1] 95:9 advertise [1] 44:13 advice [2] 43:14 57:22 advocated [1] 42:4 advocating [1] 76:9 affairs [1] 91:18 affect [1] 44:5 affirm [2] 58:19 92:19 affirmed [1] 15:2 aggregate [1] 69:14 aggressive [1] 23:6 agg [2] 22:10 53:16 agree [6] 75:22 77:5,6 79:2 85:14 87:2 Ahh [1] 26:6 aims [1] 23:15 air [2] 65:18,23 akin [1] 20:17 AL [2] 1:3,6 alignment [1] 6:23 ALITO [10] 15:8,18 16:2 28: 16,17 29:7,19 54:14 89:25	41:12 54:24 56:20 84:13 86:14 among [4] 18:15 43:11 50: 25 62:22 amount [3] 22:18 44:3 48: 12 ample [1] 6:16 analysis [1] 18:21 analyzing [1] 57:11 ancient [1] 19:25 announced [1] 5:3 announcing [1] 3:21 annuities [2] 63:18 72:3 another [6] 20:20 25:5 49: 15 68:13 70:14 88:12 answer [8] 6:5 10:20 13:15 14:21 53:22 86:4 89:14 94: 9 answer's [1] 56:25 answered [1] 22:17 answers [2] 52:7 66:11 anybody [2] 37:24 49:14 Apalachicola [1] 86:20 apart [1] 71:16	assets [5] 3:16 4:6 20:20 75:7 79:14 Assistant [1] 1:20 associated [2] 90:23 94: 13 Associates [1] 79:23 assume [2] 39:2 47:2 assuming [3] 30:16 31:20 41:23 assumption [1] 25:18 assumptions [1] 56:15 attack [1] 86:11 attempt [2] 73:11 82:17 attention [2] 44:9 65:5 automatically [1] 50:12 available [38] 4:9,18 6:15 8:12 10:11 12:19 14:19 15: 1,5,22 26:6,6,9 27:13 39: 25,25 46:25 59:16 65:9 66: 22,23,24 67:12,22,25 68:2, 18 72:25 73:16 74:19 77: 23 79:8 81:4,9 84:9 91:12 92:5,7 average [5] 18:15 23:17,24 24:18,21

		ial - Subject to Final R		40-40 64-05
BB	big 5 9:12 13:25 66:15 72: 21 81:20	care [6] 3:19 4:6 5:1 12:21 36:14 41:18	12 83:20 85:2,3,14 89:4 92:20 93:6	49:12 64:25 competitors [3] 64:4,13,
back [15] 7:24 23:18 29:5	bigger [1] 33:21	36:14 41:18 careful ^[3] 42:24 43:10 44:	92:20 93:6 Circuit's ाञ 5:9 60:10 70:	14
35 :18 54 :7 60 :2 61 :17 63 :	bit [3] 49:23 60:12 90:1	8	11 85:8 93:15	complaint [69] 4:20 6:16 7:
5,25 64 :25 66 :8 73 :7 76 :	bloated [2] 28:22 29:10	carefully [4] 54:8 56:17 76:	circumstances [9] 5:2 7:	25 9 :21 10 :6 12 :9 15 :4,5,
20 84: 22 93: 10	blue [1] 83:10	21 90 :24	22 18:8,25 19:19 20:6 29:	12 16: 15,22 17: 14 21: 12,
bad [8] 17:22 19:17 20:11	blunt [1] 58:16	carry [2] 69:11 88:6	23 53 :21 81 :7	19 25: 16 31: 4 32: 3 34: 9,
22: 12,21 23: 3 45: 23 88: 23	body [1] 43:2	Case [31] 3:4 7:10 8:9,19	cite [1] 68:9	16 36: 9,17 37: 14,20 39: 2,
balance [4] 44:5 50:13 75: 22,23	bond [1] 45:10	16:1 54:18 58:11 59:10,21	cited [2] 21:18 75:1	11 40: 22 41: 23 43: 4 45: 13
balancing [1] 24:10	both [13] 11:25 12:15 17:5	62:12 64:21 65:12,20,23	Civil [2] 16:6 78:9	46: 8 49: 6,10,16 52: 13,24
band [5] 7:20,20,23 8:22	21 :18 39 :20 50 :17 51 :15	79: 6,23 80: 8,10,12,13,24	claim [49] 9:4 13:13 17:18	54 :9 56 :18 57 :12 58 :20 59 :
25:8	53 :23 58 :19 68 :23 69 :24 87 :7 88 :4	83: 24 85: 3 89: 15,20 93: 9,	18: 19 19: 25,25 27: 24 28: 5,	9,12,17 61:2,13 62:25 63:2, 14,15,21 65:7 70:7 71:21,
bargain 5 13:10 14:7 16:	bottle [3] 8:6,12 94:1	14,15 95: 21 96: 1,2 cases [5] 54: 15 55: 16,25	20 29 :2 43 :18,20 52 :25 53 : 2,2,4,9,9,14 54 :20 58 :21	22 76: 21 77: 4,10,19 81: 15
11,12 67: 7	brand [3] 90:2,4 93:25	56:1 94: 23	59: 10,14 60: 23,25 61: 10,	82:1,25 83:5,18,19 84:1,2,
bargained [1] 26:12	breach [10] 6:3,8 8:16 19:	cause [4] 8:16 20:14 37:7	12 62 :1 64 :18 65 :16,22 67 :	
bargaining [4] 4:12 7:2 9:	24 20 :16 29 :5,10,24 77 :18	94 :11	8 71 :3 74 :18,20 75 :13 76 :	complaints [1] 54:25
1 63: 6	85: 21	caused [1] 53:11	15,16 77:22 78:3 79:11 80:	completely [1] 22:11
barrage [1] 58:11	breached [2] 6:11 23:8	causing [1] 95:15	25 81:1 82:6,20 83:4 89:	complicated [2] 38:2 57:
based [6] 50:11 57:13 58:	breaches [1] 95:2	cautious [1] 53:14	11 91: 11,12	18
22 62:13 78:19 93:14 basic [4] 32:13 36:5 76:1	breakdown [1] 8:23	caveats [1] 89:6	claims [10] 6:8 39:21 54:20	complied [1] 22:13
78:1	breakdowns [1] 20:9	cereal [1] 90:13	58 :22 59 :21 61 :18 75 :7 83 :	comply [1] 29:15
basically [3] 16:10 30:6 86:	BREYER [56] 9:11,15 10:4,	cert [1] 83:21	24 91:25 92:1	compounding [1] 44:6
5	12,15,19,21,24 11 :1,9,12 12: 4,8,11,14,17,20 13: 6,12	certain [1] 48:12 certainly [6] 19:7 52:17 57:	clarify [1] 34:8 class [42] 8:4 10:10 11:6,8	conception [2] 58:23 59:8 concern [3] 23:1 24:22 54:
basis [8] 16:7 53:5 68:25	12 :4,8,11,14,17,20 13 :6,12 14 :11,12,17,20 23 :2 24 :23	20 79: 1,1 89: 8	15:6 17: 15 27: 9,10,12 40:	23
70: 5 74: 8,16 80: 10 93: 8	25 :15 26 :9 27 :14 36 :9 37 :	change [8] 6:22 21:1 37:6	20 46 :14 51 :24 52 :19 54 :	concerned [1] 14:16
battle [1] 37:19	22 38 :12 39 :3 47 :1,14,23	73 :23 74 :4 90 :9,15,15	25 59: 4 61 :1 66 :18,19,21	concerns [1] 57:13
became [1] 22:11	48: 1,4,8,19 58: 2 66: 6,10	changed [3] 21:8,10 74:9	68:23,24 69:1,8,8,10,17 70:	concluded [1] 81:8
began [1] 23:9	67:14,21 68:5,14,17 72:19	changes [3] 58:15 73:25	1,4 75: 16 78: 16,16,21 80:	concrete [1] 50:9
begin [4] 23:11 42:21 60:6	73: 5 81: 11 82: 3,5,9,12 84:	74 :14	25 82: 22 87: 22,23 88: 6,9,	conduct [4] 23:14 58:17
74:2	7 92: 23	changing [1] 20:23	16,16 91: 5,9	68: 20,25
behalf [11] 1 :19,24 2 :4,10, 13 3 :8 37 :7,9 58 :8 93 :4 94 :	brief [5] 6:1 40:11 52:2 61:	character [1] 23:15	classes [5] 6:15 12:23 13:	confirm [2] 4:19 37:14
15	21 68 :10	characterization [1] 85:	2 15 :1 94 :18	confirmed [1] 6:10
behave [1] 38:14	briefs [4] 54:24 56:20 84: 13 86:14	11 characters [1] 44:16	classic [1] 31:24 clear [5] 10:23 43:9 44:2	conform [1] 22:5 conformed [1] 21:16
behavior [1] 68:21	bring [6] 6:22 21:17 37:9	charge [2] 65:15 94:1	76: 13,15	conforming [1] 22:22
behind [1] 22:22	56 :10 62 :17 95 :17	charged [1] 65:17	clerk [1] 76:22	confronted [2] 56:5,13
believe [2] 12:18 15:16	bringing [1] 91:9	charges [1] 66:5	client [1] 36:18	confused [5] 36:6,8,12,19
belongs [1] 93:23	brings [1] 62:12	charging [2] 8:10 13:20	client's [1] 13:17	53: 6
below [4] 14:24 24:20 58:	broad [2] 42:15 76:5	chart [2] 10:3 81:13	clients [1] 36:7	confusing [1] 30:5
20 92 :20	brought [3] 22:9,10,16	cheap [1] 9: 16	close [2] 70:23,25	confusion [4] 52:15 53:11
benchmark [1] 64:20	bunch [3] 9:19 21:2 63:9	cheaper [3] 9:24 75:14 92:	closer [2] 63:13 64:18	94: 10,12
benchmarks [1] 42:25 beneficiaries [4] 3:18 8:	bundled [1] 9:3	4	closest [3] 25:22 26:1 65:	Congress [8] 3:12 42:25
11 19 :3 76 :6	burden [1] 84:14	cheapest [1] 79:8	25	57: 4,7 58: 24 86: 23 89: 11,
beneficiaries' [1] 3:11	burdens [1] 92:16	check [2] 5:21,22	cognizance [1] 36:13	13 Congress's [1] 80:0
beneficiary [1] 30:4	Bush [1] 95:4	Chesapeake [1] 86:19	Collyer's [1] 65:20	Congress's [1] 80:9
benefit [4] 24:6 30:4 69:21	business [1] 68:1 buy [4] 11:22,23,25 81:15	CHIEF ^[29] 3: 3,9 22: 25 24: 3,8,12,15 25: 9 28: 8,12,16	column [7] 9:15,17,23,25 10:2,7 26:2	consequences [1] 75:3 consider [3] 46:2,22 59:2
75: 25		30 :8 34 :7 35 :23 39 :16 41 :	come [6] 23:18 26:15 62:	consideration [1] 79:2
benefits [1] 4:24	C	8,14 44 :11,21,24 45 :2,16,	16 64 :2 83 :18 93 :14	considered [1] 43:16
benefitting [2] 19:4,4	Cal [5] 4:14 17:12 18:13 66:	19 57 :24 58 :9 73 :21 92 :21	comes [3] 25:22 76:16 89:	considering [1] 62:3
best [6] 5:23 13:24 14:7 15:	· · ·	93 :18 95 :25	12	consistent [2] 68:21,24
20 35 :13,19	call [5] 38:18 52:3 67:16 83:	chloride [5] 90:3,3,5 93:25	coming [1] 91:9	consolidate [1] 94:19
bet [1] 26:3	10 89:18	94 :2	comment [1] 60:11	consolidated [11] 4:18 6:
better [6] 19 :3 23 :5 35 :20 43 :6 53 :25 84 :13	called [2] 11:18 67:15 Calvert [1] 67:16	choice [20] 19:17,18,20 21:	common [7] 3:25 5:15 42:	13 16 :23 17 :11 21 :20 31 :
between [7] 21 :19 32 :13	carvert 1167:16 came [7] 1:13 24:25 55:11	25 22 :2 31 :25 36 :14 53 :15,	11 77:3 80:11 83:6,9	11 34 :19 37 :11 46 :12 49 :
45 :15 51 :21 65 :10 75 :23	56 :7 74 :1 80 :8 91 :15	17,24,24,25 54: 1 58: 25 78:		19 50 :7
90:2	cannot [3] 5:19 42:5 95:14	19 86:24 89:8 90:2,6,18 choices [7] 32:13 38:5 5 6	comparative [1] 63:3	consolidating [4] 28:19 30:1 31:14 51:21
beyond [2] 16:5 54:15	cap [5] 11:3 45:10 48:24 67:	choices [7] 32:13 38:5,5,6, 7 53:4 89:24	compared ^[2] 6:17,18 comparing ^[1] 45:8	30:1 31:14 51:21 consolidation [15] 16:25
bid [4] 4:12 8:25 25:10 35:9	16 68 :9	choose [1] 62:22	comparisons [1] 43:11	17: 18,24 18: 10,19 19: 1 34:
bidding [1] 64:25	capacity [2] 23:13 24:13	Circuit [16] 3:20 5:4 15:3	competence [1] 19:11	12 35: 3,5 39: 21,23 40: 6,8,
bids [3] 23:19 49:12 63:8	car [1] 24:25	42 :3 47 :3,20 54 :18 76 :6,	competitive [4] 4:11 35:9	17,23
	1	,		

	Offic	ial - Subject to Final R	eview	
constitutes [1] 43:3	D.C [4] 1:10,18,21,24	development [1] 38:25	duplication [2] 30:2,3	escapes [1] 32:5
consumer [1] 53:16	damages [9] 58:12,17 59:	differ [1] 72:1	duplicative [6] 36:3 50:4	especially [1] 42:13
contain [1] 82:12	23 74: 6,16 75: 17 91: 11 92:	difference [6] 7:8 45:15 51:	51 :13 52 :6 53 :3,4	ESQ [4] 2:3,6,9,12
contaminated [2] 86:15,	2 93 :19	20 80: 2 91: 15,16	during [3] 27:10 66:19 95:	ESQUIRE [2] 1:18,24
16	damn [1] 14:7	differences [3] 80:1 81:2	3	essence [1] 85:7
content [4] 5:13 67:10 73:	dangerous [1] 91:18	89: 21	duties [1] 6:12	essentially [2] 22:4 60:18
9 78: 13	date [1] 50:12	different [7] 44:16 48:7 50:	duty [22] 3:13,14 4:3 5:13 6:	establish [1] 82:17
context [6] 39:7,8 58:25 80:		25 70:14 72:11 90:17,23	3,8 8 :16 20 :16 23 :8,24 24 :	established [2] 59:18 92:
16,22 90 :18	93 :3	differently [1] 74:3	2 29: 5,11,24 41: 19 46: 6	11
continue [1] 83:24	deal [6] 13:24,25 54:24 55:	difficult [3] 8:18 56:21 61:	58 :23 59 :9 75 :6 77 :18 85 :	ET [2] 1:3,6
contracts [1] 72:4	23 64:9 75:15	7 dilamma (8) 07 :00.04	22 95 :2	even [22] 4:8,10 8:11 13:20
contribution [1] 41:17	dealing [4] 20:18 51:2,3 83: 8	dilemma [2] 37:23,24	E	17:1 26: 23 32: 21 33: 11,11,
control [1] 89:13 cookie-cutter [1] 59:20	o deals [1] 15:20	diligence [3] 3:19 5:1 41: 18	E.F [1] 44: 25	21 34 :11,12 35 :5,13 39 :14 59 :7 60 :3 77 :23 81 :4,9 88 :
core [1] 49:5	December [1] 1:11	diligent [1] 42:24	each [6] 13:24 16:19 27:8	18 92 :5
correct [8] 13:11 32:25 33:	decide [2] 45:9 48:15	directly [2] 8:19 36:20	50:8 66:17 82:16	eventually [1] 83:13
10 34:22 35:6,21 52:2,11	decided [1] 72:12	disagree [2] 7:14 85:10	earlier [2] 37:18 91:15	everybody [2] 23:7 27:22
correctly [1] 84:7	deciding [2] 79:15 90:25	disagreeing [1] 7:7	early [1] 95:3	everybody's [1] 90:11
correctness [1] 37:14	decision [3] 48:21 65:20	disastrous [1] 75:3	easier [1] 89:15	everyone [3] 22:5 90:10
cost [27] 8:2 27:11 36:2 43:	77 :25	discovery [7] 14:2 64:8 74:	easiest [1] 38:4	94 :15
6,11 44: 15 45: 15,18 53: 25	decisions [5] 88:23,25 91:	22 75:16 83:25 84:10 92:3	easy [2] 56:9 88:21	everything [5] 25:19 27:4
54:1,3 59:2 66:20 67:17	23,24,24	discussed [1] 42:22	economic [1] 35:12	51:12 73:22,23
69: 15,23 79: 2 80: 1,2,7 85:	declined [2] 57:17 83:20	discussion [1] 60:22	economics [1] 62:18	evidence [2] 22:20 46:21
21 87: 25 88: 8,11,12 91: 13	decrease [1] 64:23	dismiss [4] 9:10 21:6 54:	effect [3] 21:14 74:1,6	evident [1] 22:11
95: 23	decreased [1] 94:22	19 93: 12	effectively [2] 42:14 79:10	evils [1] 38:21
costs [11] 12:23 44:9 46:16	defend [4] 85:12 86:18,22	dismissed [1] 22:16	effort [1] 77:15	evolve [1] 83:24
62:23 64:7 81:2 84:17,18	93 :6	dispel [1] 69:6	egregious [3] 7:10 20:10 24:21	exact [8] 4:8 14:14 18:23
90 :15 92 :16 94 :7	defendant [1] 66:24	dispositive [1] 46:20	Eight [1] 70:22	63:13 64:15 67:2,3 80:7
couldn't [6] 26:1 37:16 80:	defendants [2] 22:17 67:6	disproportionally [1] 80:3	either [6] 7:17 11:25 14:10	Exactly [5] 48:3,6 56:5 57:
3,4,19 81 :6	defending [3] 70:11 85:8	disproportionately [1] 80:	63:17 80:24 90:9	15 78 :23
counsel [10] 28:9,13 41:9	86:5	18	eligible [1] 17:7	example [13] 4:2 11:3 13:
57 :25 58 :5 70 :10 73 :21 76 :	defense [12] 37:16 46:23	distract [1] 60:9	emphasized [1] 45:21	19 17: 13 50: 5,9 63: 1 65:
4 93:1 96:1	48: 10,16 51: 9,19,20 60: 11	district [6] 27:23,25 28:1 77:11 83:19 93:13	empirical [1] 95:14	25 66 :1 68 :8 71 :13 72 :20 86 :14
Count [6] 39:23 61:2,10,13 66:12 82:20	68:15,17 72:9 89:9 deficiency [1] 83:3	diverse [3] 60:15,18,18	Employee [1] 62:17	examples [2] 30:24 64:20
country [2] 7:5 58:13	deficient [1] 84:4	diversified [1] 85:18	employees [7] 14:25 22:	except [2] 8:20 20:18
couple [2] 8:20 22:21	defined [1] 41:17	document [1] 90:20	24 60:1 62:15,16 85:19 92:	excessive [1] 42:6
coupled [1] 94:25	defined-contribution [1]	documents [1] 68:12	15	exclusive [3] 4:24 5:5 24:5
course [7] 13:17 27:1 50:	95 :9	doing [10] 5:14 14:10 35:	employers [2] 91:1,7	excuse [1] 16:18
20 54 :10,17 57 :6 65 :3	defray [2] 78:22 88:9	10 45 :25 56 :23 64 :1 73 :22	enacted [1] 3:12	exercise [1] 89:12
COURT [43] 1:1,14 3:10 4:	defraying [2] 4:25 69:19	74 :24 76 :1 79 :11	encourage [1] 65:19	exercising [1] 78:19
2 5:12 27:23,25 28:1,2 29:	degree [1] 25:19	DOL [3] 21:13,23 22:13	encourages [1] 60:14	exist [2] 28:3 64:10
2 41:15 42:20 43:8 53:19	demanding [1] 65:1	dollar [2] 26:25 73:17	end [1] 79:18	existing [3] 16:11 17:2 46:
56: 4,4,13 57: 10,16 58: 10,	demands [2] 74:23 84:10	dollars [8] 13:19 25:2 26:	ended [1] 75:24	15
19 59: 7,21 61: 15,16 64: 7,	denied [1] 54:19	24 33 :6 34 :6 64 :7 92 :3 93 :	endless [1] 59:23	exists [1] 35:4
13 73 :8 75 :5,9 76 :14 77 :	Department [11] 1:21 6:21	22	enormous [1] 4:12	expect [4] 13:22 25:3,5 27:
11 78: 10 79: 9,21,22 83: 19,	18 :11 27 :2 57 :22 85 :24 87 :	done [12] 11:7 30:16 32:8	enormously [1] 38:2 enough [14] 5:13 6:2 9:8	3
21 84: 2,4,23 92: 11,18	1 90:21 94:25 95:3,16	33 :17 34 :5 36 :11 37 :17,18,	21 :1,9 32 :15 40 :6 47 :5 49 :	expense [1] 19:2
Court's [5] 4:1 42:13 59:11	depend [2] 39:21 40:8	20 41:5 46:19 79:21	11 60: 18 68: 3 71: 9 78: 4	expenses [16] 3:18 4:25
60:5 77:25	depending [1] 21:18	down [4] 31:3 51:22 62:12	84:7	29: 25 33: 19,23 41: 6 43: 22,
Courts [13] 14 :24 18 :2 19 :	depends [1] 53:19 deprive [1] 82:21	75:9 downside [1] 18:1	enterprise [1] 23:15	24 44 :4 69 :20 78 :22,24 84 :
15 20:13 54:17 58:19 59:	deprive [1] 82:21 deprived [1] 61:4	downside [1] 18:1 drafted [1] 77:14	entire [1] 91:10	20 88:10 90:22,25 expensive [9] 9:18 56:11
24 60:19 79:12,19 91:21 92:12 93:13	derived [1] 42:12	dragged [1] 79: 19	entitled [2] 8:4,14	67:24 69:18 74:22 81:13
creating [1] 46:3	description [1] 36:21	drastically [1] 66:4	entrusted [1] 20:19	86:21 90:14 91:13
cross [1] 59:17	destroy [1] 32:15	draw [2] 6:4 27:18	environment [1] 74:11	expert [3] 18:21,21 29:13
crux [1] 71:21	detail [4] 6:16 40:21 41:2	drawing [1] 43:1	equal [2] 19:17 36:15	experts [1] 39:10
curiae [3] 1:22 2:8 41:12	84 :14	drawn [1] 51:17	equally [3] 68:20 69:3 70:9	explain [2] 68:7 73:12
curious [1] 52:8	determine [3] 5:7 32:21 43:	drew [1] 5:15	ERISA [13] 3:12,15,21 23:	explained [1] 41:22
cuts [1] 55:18	2	drive [3] 25:5 78:7 92:10	10 41 :16 42 :5 43 :9 58 :15,	explanation [2] 49:8 51:12
CVSMX [1] 67:17	determined [1] 15:10	driving [1] 11:14	21 60 :14 78 :8,23 91 :19	explicating [1] 93:8
cycle [4] 50:10,16 51:21,22	determining [1] 29:8	drop [1] 40:11	ERISA's [3] 3:25 42:10,12	explicitly [1] 82:23
D	detriment [3] 22:23 43:15	Dudenhoeffer [5] 42:14	erred [1] 3:20	explored [1] 53:16
	84 :15	53:20 56:6,7 57:16	error [1] 93:15	extended [1] 93:11

	Unic	ial - Subject to Final R	CVICW	
extent [2] 20:8 28:20	19,21 6: 3,8,11 8: 10,16 10:	6,21 36: 16,24 37: 6 38: 11,	54 :5 94 :9	holders [2] 69:21 88:12
extremely [1] 91:18	23 11 :4 13 :17 14 :6 19 :25	23 39: 5 40: 15 41: 22 45: 21	gosh [1] 17:19	holding [1] 3:24
F	20: 16 23: 8,24 24: 2,6 29: 5,	46: 14 55: 3 93: 2,3,5	got [19] 6:20 21:6 31:2 33:	holds [1] 89:17
	10,23,24 31: 24 41: 19 46: 6	friend [6] 46:23 60:24 80:	16 36: 10 44: 15 48: 5,11,19	hole [2] 78:7 92:10
fact [12] 7:3 46:8,16,22 47:	56:20,24 57:23 58:14 69:	12 82:2 83:17 93:5	52: 22,22 63: 7 76: 3 78: 13	holistically [1] 89:2
13 71 :24 74 :9 78 :21 82 :19	25 77: 18 80: 9 81: 7 85: 21	Friendly [1] 3:13	86:16,16,18 89:5 93:11	Honor [38] 43:25 45:5 46:5,
83 :7,23 88 :24	86:8 87:7,14,19 95:2	front [3] 12:22 14:8 16:13	gotten [12] 16:16 17:3,6,9,	9 47 :12 48 :18 50 :3 51 :7
factor [3] 44:12 46:1 80:6	Fifth [1] 78:1	function [1] 63:8	21 32: 1 34: 5 49: 20 71: 6,7,	52: 10,18 53: 8,18 54: 17 57:
factored [1] 84:19	figure [1] 18:3	fund [49] 4:4 6:2 10:25 11:	25 75: 13	7 60:13 61:11 64:11,18 65:
facts [10] 37:19 38:24,25	filed [4] 22:15 58:12 94:23	4,6,11,15,16,17,18 27: 8,12	gouge [3] 87:21 88:15,22	7 67:9 68:4 69:5 72:14 73:
53: 20 59: 12 65: 21 77: 20	95 :12	28:21 29:13 37:12 44:13,	gouging [3] 87:15 88:17	24 74: 7 76: 10 77: 4,11,20
81:3 82:17 92:5 factual [4] 52:23 67:10 73:	fill [1] 24: 25	17 45: 10,10 47: 4,6,8,10,15,		81:25 82:24 83:4 88:5,15
9 78:13	finally [6] 4:16 6:12,13,14,	16 48: 24 50: 14,16,18,18	government [3] 52:1 53:	89: 2,16 90: 9,17
failed [10] 4:10 6:25 7:1 13:	20 33 :15	51: 22 52: 6 66: 13,14,18,22	12 80 :10	Honors [1] 91:25
10 16 :10,11 31 :9 39 :14 49 :	financial [2] 94:11,14	67:2,3 68:9,13 73:16 81:	government's [1] 45:22	hostage [1] 91:10
6 81: 3	find [6] 9:20 25:24 26:1,18	16 87: 4,5,5,6,9,10 90: 23	Granny [1] 67:23	however [1] 25:1
fails [3] 58:20 59:10,12	37: 2 82 :15	funds [47] 4:7 5:7,14,17 9:	GREGORY [3] 1:24 2:9 58:	huge [2] 27:2 55:14
failure [2] 5:22 94:19	fine [7] 25:19 35:16,17 62:2	2 10 :10 13 :8 14 :18 15 :11	7	HUGHES [2] 1:3 3:4
fair [3] 66:23 80:15,17	75 :22,22 89 :19	16: 18,20,24 17: 2 18: 1,5,23	grocery [3] 13:18,23 14:8	hundred [1] 70:22
fall [1] 75:2	fine-tuning [1] 79:14	30 :1 38 :1 41 :20 42 :6,7 44 :	ground [1] 37:19	hundreds [1] 38:3
false [1] 47:2	first [16] 9:15,23 12:22 19:9	19 45 :3,14 47 :25 48 :22 49 :	group [1] 38:16	HUSTON [38] 1:20 2:6 41:
familiar [3] 10:17 23:13 24:	25 :21 36 :4 39 :22 51 :8,24	17,19 50: 5,8,10,10 51: 13,	guess [3] 36:4 40:12 63:20	10,11,14 43: 17,23 44: 11,
15	58:22 64:5 65:8 66:8 71:1,	14,22 52: 14 53: 10 66: 2 67:	guidance [3] 19:14 21:24 22:13	20,23 45: 1,5,18 46: 5 47: 12,
famously [1] 3:13	11 82:1 five [4] 22:10 32:10 75:8 87:	11 68 :2 69 :14,19 72 :21 74 : 19 87 :6,14,21	guide [1] 20:13	20,24 48 :3,6,17,20 49 :10, 25 50 :3 51 :6,25 52 :10,23
far [8] 8:20 12:23 16:5 64:	14	further [3] 13:1 28:14 92:	guided [1] 20:8	53 :8,17 54 :6,16 55 :9,21,24
15 65 :4 71 :15 84 :13 89 :15	fix ^[2] 46:3.6	23	guy ^[2] 44:22,25	57: 6,9 77: 10
farm [1] 47:10	fixed [2] 45:23 46:4		- <u>-</u>	Hutton [1] 44:25
fast [1] 21:1	flawed [1] 58:23	G	<u> </u>	hypothesizing [1] 45:7
favor [4] 27:18 51:17 54:12	flip [1] 74:18	gain [1] 46:13	ha-ha [1] 38:18	hypothetical [5] 8:17 88:
84: 23	flouts [1] 42:10	gallon [1] 25:2	half [3] 16:17,18 21:14	14 89: 14,16,18
favored [1] 35:11	fluctuate [1] 65:10	game [1] 55:1	halfway [1] 31:3	hypotheticals [1] 90:1
favoring [1] 56:15	focus [5] 7:24 42:2 43:18,	GARRE [51] 1:24 2:9 46:23	hand [2] 13:22 14:9	
favorite [1] 86:20	22 60: 10	58:6,7,9 60:8,13 62:8 63:	handful [1] 22:12	
Endered to 40.5 =0.04.01 -	22 00.10			
Federal [6] 16:5 59:24 64:7,		12,23 64: 5,17 65: 6 66: 9	happen ଓ 55:7,11,14	idea [3] 23:21 27:21 95:6
13 79: 12,19	focused ^[2] 53:3 68:8 focuses ^[1] 43:23	12,23 64: 5,17 65: 6 66: 9 67: 9,20 68: 4,6,16,19 70: 17,	happen [3] 55:7,11,14 happened [2] 14:24 55:15	identical [14] 27:11 45:14
13 79:12,19 fee [15] 33:7,18,25 65:17 74:	focused [2] 53:3 68:8	12,23 64 :5,17 65 :6 66 :9 67 :9,20 68 :4,6,16,19 70 :17, 21 71 :11,15,19 72 :14 73 :4,	happen [3] 55:7,11,14 happened [2] 14:24 55:15 happening [1] 17:16	identical [14] 27:11 45:14 47:18 66:21 69:7 81:16,16,
13 79:12,19 fee [15] 33:7,18,25 65:17 74: 20 80:16,17,20 81:4,8 83:	focused [2] 53:3 68:8 focuses [1] 43:23	12,23 64:5,17 65:6 66:9 67:9,20 68:4,6,16,19 70:17, 21 71:11,15,19 72:14 73:4, 7,24 76:10,13 77:1 81:25	happen [3] 55:7,11,14 happened [2] 14:24 55:15 happening [1] 17:16 happens [1] 93:12	identical [14] 27:11 45:14 47:18 66:21 69:7 81:16,16, 21,22 82:13 84:8 88:3,5,13
13 79:12,19 fee [15] 33:7,18,25 65:17 74: 20 80:16,17,20 81:4,8 83: 11,14 91:23 92:4,7	focused [2] 53:3 68:8 focuses [1] 43:23 fold [1] 60:3	12,23 64:5,17 65:6 66:9 67:9,20 68:4,6,16,19 70:17, 21 71:11,15,19 72:14 73:4, 7,24 76:10,13 77:1 81:25 82:4,8,11,14 85:1,10,16 86:	happen [3] 55:7,11,14 happened [2] 14:24 55:15 happening [1] 17:16 happens [1] 93:12 happier [1] 76:8	identical [14] 27:11 45:14 47:18 66:21 69:7 81:16,16, 21,22 82:13 84:8 88:3,5,13 identified [1] 95:3
13 79:12,19 fee [15] 33:7,18,25 65:17 74: 20 80:16,17,20 81:4,8 83: 11,14 91:23 92:4,7 feel [1] 88:19	focused ^[2] 53:3 68:8 focuses ^[1] 43:23 fold ^[1] 60:3 follow ^[1] 21:23	12,23 64:5,17 65:6 66:9 67:9,20 68:4,6,16,19 70:17, 21 71:11,15,19 72:14 73:4, 7,24 76:10,13 77:1 81:25 82:4,8,11,14 85:1,10,16 86: 3,13 87:1,8,12,17,20 89:1,	happen [3] 55:7,11,14 happened [2] 14:24 55:15 happening [1] 17:16 happens [1] 93:12 happier [1] 76:8 hard [3] 31:13 49:11 76:17	identical [14] 27:11 45:14 47:18 66:21 69:7 81:16,16, 21,22 82:13 84:8 88:3,5,13 identified [1] 95:3 identify [3] 67:12 73:10 75:
13 79:12,19 fee [¹⁵] 33:7,18,25 65:17 74: 20 80:16,17,20 81:4,8 83: 11,14 91:23 92:4,7 feel [¹] 88:19 fees [⁴⁸] 4:7,9,13,17,18 6:	focused ^[2] 53:3 68:8 focuses ^[1] 43:23 fold ^[1] 60:3 follow ^[1] 21:23 followed ^[1] 43:14	12,23 64:5,17 65:6 66:9 67:9,20 68:4,6,16,19 70:17, 21 71:11,15,19 72:14 73:4, 7,24 76:10,13 77:1 81:25 82:4,8,11,14 85:1,10,16 86: 3,13 87:1,8,12,17,20 89:1, 6 90:7	happen [3] 55:7,11,14 happened [2] 14:24 55:15 happening [1] 17:16 happens [1] 93:12 happier [1] 76:8 hard [3] 31:13 49:11 76:17 harder [3] 17:18 18:2,19	identical [14] 27:11 45:14 47:18 66:21 69:7 81:16,16, 21,22 82:13 84:8 88:3,5,13 identified [1] 95:3 identify [3] 67:12 73:10 75: 11
13 79:12,19 fee [¹⁵] 33:7,18,25 65:17 74: 20 80:16,17,20 81:4,8 83: 11,14 91:23 92:4,7 feel [¹] 88:19 fees [⁴⁸] 4:7,9,13,17,18 6: 14 8:5 9:2 18:20 19:1 29:1,	focused ^[2] 53:3 68:8 focuses ^[1] 43:23 fold ^[1] 60:3 follow ^[1] 21:23 followed ^[1] 43:14 following ^[1] 27:7 forces ^[1] 55:22 foreclose ^[1] 5:3	12,23 64:5,17 65:6 66:9 67:9,20 68:4,6,16,19 70:17, 21 71:11,15,19 72:14 73:4, 7,24 76:10,13 77:1 81:25 82:4,8,11,14 85:1,10,16 86: 3,13 87:1,8,12,17,20 89:1, 6 90:7 gas [2] 24:25 25:6	happen [3] 55:7,11,14 happened [2] 14:24 55:15 happening [1] 17:16 happens [1] 93:12 happier [1] 76:8 hard [3] 31:13 49:11 76:17 harder [3] 17:18 18:2,19 harm [6] 22:20 81:10 92:14	identical [14] 27:11 45:14 47:18 66:21 69:7 81:16,16, 21,22 82:13 84:8 88:3,5,13 identified [1] 95:3 identify [3] 67:12 73:10 75: 11 ignored [1] 16:13
13 79:12,19 fee [¹⁵] 33:7,18,25 65:17 74: 20 80:16,17,20 81:4,8 83: 11,14 91:23 92:4,7 feel [¹] 88:19 fees [⁴⁸] 4:7,9,13,17,18 6: 14 8:5 9:2 18:20 19:1 29:1, 14 30:19 31:12 32:9,10 33:	focused ^[2] 53:3 68:8 focuses ^[1] 43:23 fold ^[1] 60:3 follow ^[1] 21:23 followed ^[1] 43:14 following ^[1] 27:7 forces ^[1] 55:22	12,23 64:5,17 65:6 66:9 67:9,20 68:4,6,16,19 70:17, 21 71:11,15,19 72:14 73:4, 7,24 76:10,13 77:1 81:25 82:4,8,11,14 85:1,10,16 86: 3,13 87:1,8,12,17,20 89:1, 6 90:7 gas [2] 24:25 25:6 gave [4] 54:18 66:11 72:20	happen [3] 55:7,11,14 happened [2] 14:24 55:15 happening [1] 17:16 happens [1] 93:12 happier [1] 76:8 hard [3] 31:13 49:11 76:17 harder [3] 17:18 18:2,19 harm [6] 22:20 81:10 92:14 94:11,14 95:23	identical [14] 27:11 45:14 47:18 66:21 69:7 81:16,16, 21,22 82:13 84:8 88:3,5,13 identified [1] 95:3 identify [3] 67:12 73:10 75: 11 ignored [1] 16:13 III [1] 53:7
13 79:12,19 fee [¹⁵] 33:7,18,25 65:17 74: 20 80:16,17,20 81:4,8 83: 11,14 91:23 92:4,7 feel [¹] 88:19 fees [⁴⁸] 4:7,9,13,17,18 6: 14 8:5 9:2 18:20 19:1 29:1, 14 30:19 31:12 32:9,10 33: 14 34:10,21,25 39:15 42:6,	focused ^[2] 53:3 68:8 focuses ^[1] 43:23 fold ^[1] 60:3 follow ^[1] 21:23 followed ^[1] 43:14 following ^[1] 27:7 forces ^[1] 55:22 foreclose ^[1] 5:3	12,23 64:5,17 65:6 66:9 67:9,20 68:4,6,16,19 70:17, 21 71:11,15,19 72:14 73:4, 7,24 76:10,13 77:1 81:25 82:4,8,11,14 85:1,10,16 86: 3,13 87:1,8,12,17,20 89:1, 6 90:7 gas [2] 24:25 25:6 gave [4] 54:18 66:11 72:20 77:7	happen [3] 55:7,11,14 happened [2] 14:24 55:15 happening [1] 17:16 happens [1] 93:12 happier [1] 76:8 hard [3] 31:13 49:11 76:17 harder [3] 17:18 18:2,19 harm [6] 22:20 81:10 92:14 94:11,14 95:23 harmful [1] 74:16	identical [14] 27:11 45:14 47:18 66:21 69:7 81:16,16, 21,22 82:13 84:8 88:3,5,13 identified [1] 95:3 identify [3] 67:12 73:10 75: 11 ignored [1] 16:13 III [1] 53:7 immediate [1] 36:18
13 79:12,19 fee [¹⁵] 33:7,18,25 65:17 74: 20 80:16,17,20 81:4,8 83: 11,14 91:23 92:4,7 feel [¹] 88:19 fees [⁴⁸] 4:7,9,13,17,18 6: 14 8:5 9:2 18:20 19:1 29:1, 14 30:19 31:12 32:9,10 33: 14 34:10,21,25 39:15 42:6, 8 51:23 54:3 59:14 62:5,6,	focused [2] 53:3 68:8 focuses [1] 43:23 fold [1] 60:3 follow [1] 21:23 followed [1] 43:14 following [1] 27:7 forces [1] 55:22 foreclose [1] 5:3 forget [3] 16:25 53:1 71:22 forth [6] 17:13 27:3,8 38:3, 10 66:17	12,23 64:5,17 65:6 66:9 67:9,20 68:4,6,16,19 70:17, 21 71:11,15,19 72:14 73:4, 7,24 76:10,13 77:1 81:25 82:4,8,11,14 85:1,10,16 86: 3,13 87:1,8,12,17,20 89:1, 6 90:7 gas [2] 24:25 25:6 gave [4] 54:18 66:11 72:20 77:7 gecko's [1] 44:18	happen [3] 55:7,11,14 happened [2] 14:24 55:15 happening [1] 17:16 happens [1] 93:12 happier [1] 76:8 hard [3] 31:13 49:11 76:17 harder [3] 17:18 18:2,19 harm [6] 22:20 81:10 92:14 94:11,14 95:23 harmful [1] 74:16 harming [2] 76:6 84:24	identical [14] 27:11 45:14 47:18 66:21 69:7 81:16,16, 21,22 82:13 84:8 88:3,5,13 identified [1] 95:3 identify [3] 67:12 73:10 75: 11 ignored [1] 16:13 III [1] 53:7 immediate [1] 36:18 immunize [1] 42:15
13 79:12,19 fee [¹⁵] 33:7,18,25 65:17 74: 20 80:16,17,20 81:4,8 83: 11,14 91:23 92:4,7 feel [¹] 88:19 fees [⁴⁸] 4:7,9,13,17,18 6: 14 8:5 9:2 18:20 19:1 29:1, 14 30:19 31:12 32:9,10 33: 14 34:10,21,25 39:15 42:6, 8 51:23 54:3 59:14 62:5,6, 7 63:2,10 64:2,15,24 65:1,	focused [2] 53:3 68:8 focuses [1] 43:23 fold [1] 60:3 follow [1] 21:23 followed [1] 43:14 following [1] 27:7 forces [1] 55:22 foreclose [1] 5:3 forget [3] 16:25 53:1 71:22 forth [6] 17:13 27:3,8 38:3, 10 66:17 forward [4] 37:21 74:13 79:	12,23 64:5,17 65:6 66:9 67:9,20 68:4,6,16,19 70:17, 21 71:11,15,19 72:14 73:4, 7,24 76:10,13 77:1 81:25 82:4,8,11,14 85:1,10,16 86: 3,13 87:1,8,12,17,20 89:1, 6 90:7 gas [2] 24:25 25:6 gave [4] 54:18 66:11 72:20 77:7 gecko's [1] 44:18 General [1] 1:21	happen [3] 55:7,11,14 happened [2] 14:24 55:15 happening [1] 17:16 happens [1] 93:12 happier [1] 76:8 hard [3] 31:13 49:11 76:17 harder [3] 17:18 18:2,19 harm [6] 22:20 81:10 92:14 94:11,14 95:23 harmful [1] 74:16 harming [2] 76:6 84:24 Harris [1] 79:23	identical [14] 27:11 45:14 47:18 66:21 69:7 81:16,16, 21,22 82:13 84:8 88:3,5,13 identified [1] 95:3 identify [3] 67:12 73:10 75: 11 ignored [1] 16:13 III [1] 53:7 immediate [1] 36:18 immunize [1] 42:15 immunizes [1] 3:21
13 79:12,19 fee [¹⁵] 33:7,18,25 65:17 74: 20 80:16,17,20 81:4,8 83: 11,14 91:23 92:4,7 feel [¹] 88:19 fees [⁴⁸] 4:7,9,13,17,18 6: 14 8:5 9:2 18:20 19:1 29:1, 14 30:19 31:12 32:9,10 33: 14 34:10,21,25 39:15 42:6, 8 51:23 54:3 59:14 62:5,6, 7 63:2,10 64:2,15,24 65:1, 4 69:22 77:22 85:6,7 86:	focused [2] 53:3 68:8 focuses [1] 43:23 fold [1] 60:3 follow [1] 21:23 followed [1] 43:14 following [1] 27:7 forces [1] 55:22 foreclose [1] 5:3 forget [3] 16:25 53:1 71:22 forth [6] 17:13 27:3,8 38:3, 10 66:17 forward [4] 37:21 74:13 79: 11 84:5	12,23 64:5,17 65:6 66:9 67:9,20 68:4,6,16,19 70:17, 21 71:11,15,19 72:14 73:4, 7,24 76:10,13 77:1 81:25 82:4,8,11,14 85:1,10,16 86: 3,13 87:1,8,12,17,20 89:1, 6 90:7 gas [2] 24:25 25:6 gave [4] 54:18 66:11 72:20 77:7 gecko's [1] 44:18 General [1] 1:21 generalized [1] 67:5	happen [3] 55:7,11,14 happened [2] 14:24 55:15 happening [1] 17:16 happens [1] 93:12 happier [1] 76:8 hard [3] 31:13 49:11 76:17 harder [3] 17:18 18:2,19 harm [6] 22:20 81:10 92:14 94:11,14 95:23 harmful [1] 74:16 harming [2] 76:6 84:24 Harris [1] 79:23 haystack [1] 30:7	identical [14] 27:11 45:14 47:18 66:21 69:7 81:16,16, 21,22 82:13 84:8 88:3,5,13 identified [1] 95:3 identify [3] 67:12 73:10 75: 11 ignored [1] 16:13 III [1] 53:7 immediate [1] 36:18 immunize [1] 42:15 immunizes [1] 3:21 impact [2] 59:3 88:7
13 79:12,19 fee [¹⁵] 33:7,18,25 65:17 74: 20 80:16,17,20 81:4,8 83: 11,14 91:23 92:4,7 feel [¹] 88:19 fees [⁴⁸] 4:7,9,13,17,18 6: 14 8:5 9:2 18:20 19:1 29:1, 14 30:19 31:12 32:9,10 33: 14 34:10,21,25 39:15 42:6, 8 51:23 54:3 59:14 62:5,6, 7 63:2,10 64:2,15,24 65:1, 4 69:22 77:22 85:6,7 86: 10,12 87:10,11,15 90:20	focused [2] 53:3 68:8 focuses [1] 43:23 fold [1] 60:3 follow [1] 21:23 followed [1] 43:14 following [1] 27:7 forces [1] 55:22 foreclose [1] 5:3 forget [3] 16:25 53:1 71:22 forth [6] 17:13 27:3,8 38:3, 10 66:17 forward [4] 37:21 74:13 79: 11 84:5 found [1] 26:5	12,23 64:5,17 65:6 66:9 67:9,20 68:4,6,16,19 70:17, 21 71:11,15,19 72:14 73:4, 7,24 76:10,13 77:1 81:25 82:4,8,11,14 85:1,10,16 86: 3,13 87:1,8,12,17,20 89:1, 6 90:7 gas [2] 24:25 25:6 gave [4] 54:18 66:11 72:20 77:7 gecko's [1] 44:18 General [1] 1:21 generalized [1] 67:5 generally [3] 59:6 78:9 85:	happen [3] 55:7,11,14 happened [2] 14:24 55:15 happening [1] 17:16 happens [1] 93:12 happier [1] 76:8 hard [3] 31:13 49:11 76:17 harder [3] 17:18 18:2,19 harm [6] 22:20 81:10 92:14 94:11,14 95:23 harmful [1] 74:16 harming [2] 76:6 84:24 Harris [1] 79:23 haystack [1] 30:7 hear [2] 3:3 84:7	identical [14] 27:11 45:14 47:18 66:21 69:7 81:16,16, 21,22 82:13 84:8 88:3,5,13 identified [1] 95:3 identify [3] 67:12 73:10 75: 11 ignored [1] 16:13 III [1] 53:7 immediate [1] 36:18 immunize [1] 42:15 immunizes [1] 3:21 impact [2] 59:3 88:7 implication [1] 5:9
13 79:12,19 fee [¹⁵] 33:7,18,25 65:17 74: 20 80:16,17,20 81:4,8 83: 11,14 91:23 92:4,7 feel [¹] 88:19 fees [⁴⁸] 4:7,9,13,17,18 6: 14 8:5 9:2 18:20 19:1 29:1, 14 30:19 31:12 32:9,10 33: 14 34:10,21,25 39:15 42:6, 8 51:23 54:3 59:14 62:5,6, 7 63:2,10 64:2,15,24 65:1, 4 69:22 77:22 85:6,7 86: 10,12 87:10,11,15 90:20 94:17,21	focused [2] 53:3 68:8 focuses [1] 43:23 fold [1] 60:3 follow [1] 21:23 followed [1] 43:14 following [1] 27:7 forces [1] 55:22 foreclose [1] 5:3 forget [3] 16:25 53:1 71:22 forth [6] 17:13 27:3,8 38:3, 10 66:17 forward [4] 37:21 74:13 79: 11 84:5 found [1] 26:5 four [4] 21:8 31:10 32:10	12,23 64:5,17 65:6 66:9 67:9,20 68:4,6,16,19 70:17, 21 71:11,15,19 72:14 73:4, 7,24 76:10,13 77:1 81:25 82:4,8,11,14 85:1,10,16 86: 3,13 87:1,8,12,17,20 89:1, 6 90:7 gas [2] 24:25 25:6 gave [4] 54:18 66:11 72:20 77:7 gecko's [1] 44:18 General [1] 1:21 generalized [1] 67:5 generally [3] 59:6 78:9 85: 18	happen [3] 55:7,11,14 happened [2] 14:24 55:15 happening [1] 17:16 happens [1] 93:12 happier [1] 76:8 hard [3] 31:13 49:11 76:17 harder [3] 17:18 18:2,19 harm [6] 22:20 81:10 92:14 94:11,14 95:23 harmful [1] 74:16 harming [2] 76:6 84:24 Harris [1] 79:23 haystack [1] 30:7 hear [2] 3:3 84:7 heard [2] 55:3,19	identical [14] 27:11 45:14 47:18 66:21 69:7 81:16,16, 21,22 82:13 84:8 88:3,5,13 identified [1] 95:3 identify [3] 67:12 73:10 75: 11 ignored [1] 16:13 III [1] 53:7 immediate [1] 36:18 immunize [1] 42:15 immunizes [1] 3:21 impact [2] 59:3 88:7 implication [1] 5:9 import [1] 80:11
13 79:12,19 fee [¹⁵] 33:7,18,25 65:17 74: 20 80:16,17,20 81:4,8 83: 11,14 91:23 92:4,7 feel [¹] 88:19 fees [⁴⁸] 4:7,9,13,17,18 6: 14 8:5 9:2 18:20 19:1 29:1, 14 30:19 31:12 32:9,10 33: 14 34:10,21,25 39:15 42:6, 8 51:23 54:3 59:14 62:5,6, 7 63:2,10 64:2,15,24 65:1, 4 69:22 77:22 85:6,7 86: 10,12 87:10,11,15 90:20 94:17,21 fertilizer [¹] 47:10	focused [2] 53:3 68:8 focuses [1] 43:23 fold [1] 60:3 follow [1] 21:23 followed [1] 43:14 following [1] 27:7 forces [1] 55:22 foreclose [1] 5:3 forget [3] 16:25 53:1 71:22 forth [6] 17:13 27:3,8 38:3, 10 66:17 forward [4] 37:21 74:13 79: 11 84:5 found [1] 26:5 four [4] 21:8 31:10 32:10 42:9	12,23 64:5,17 65:6 66:9 67:9,20 68:4,6,16,19 70:17, 21 71:11,15,19 72:14 73:4, 7,24 76:10,13 77:1 81:25 82:4,8,11,14 85:1,10,16 86: 3,13 87:1,8,12,17,20 89:1, 6 90:7 gas [2] 24:25 25:6 gave [4] 54:18 66:11 72:20 77:7 gecko's [1] 44:18 General [1] 1:21 generalized [1] 67:5 generally [3] 59:6 78:9 85: 18 Georgetown [1] 65:20	happen [3] 55:7,11,14 happened [2] 14:24 55:15 happening [1] 17:16 happens [1] 93:12 happier [1] 76:8 hard [3] 31:13 49:11 76:17 harder [3] 17:18 18:2,19 harm [6] 22:20 81:10 92:14 94:11,14 95:23 harmful [1] 74:16 harming [2] 76:6 84:24 Harris [1] 79:23 haystack [1] 30:7 hear [2] 3:3 84:7 heard [2] 55:3,19 Hecker [1] 79:6	identical [14] 27:11 45:14 47:18 66:21 69:7 81:16,16, 21,22 82:13 84:8 88:3,5,13 identified [1] 95:3 identify [3] 67:12 73:10 75: 11 ignored [1] 16:13 III [1] 53:7 immediate [1] 36:18 immunize [1] 42:15 immunizes [1] 3:21 impact [2] 59:3 88:7 implication [1] 5:9 import [1] 80:11 important [3] 43:24 56:3
13 79:12,19 fee [^{15]} 33:7,18,25 65:17 74: 20 80:16,17,20 81:4,8 83: 11,14 91:23 92:4,7 feel [^{1]} 88:19 fees [^{48]} 4:7,9,13,17,18 6: 14 8:5 9:2 18:20 19:1 29:1, 14 30:19 31:12 32:9,10 33: 14 34:10,21,25 39:15 42:6, 8 51:23 54:3 59:14 62:5,6, 7 63:2,10 64:2,15,24 65:1, 4 69:22 77:22 85:6,7 86: 10,12 87:10,11,15 90:20 94:17,21 fertilizer [^{1]} 47:10 few [^{5]} 20:23 38:6,7 42:18	focused [2] 53:3 68:8 focuses [1] 43:23 fold [1] 60:3 follow [1] 21:23 followed [1] 43:14 following [1] 27:7 forces [1] 55:22 foreclose [1] 5:3 forget [3] 16:25 53:1 71:22 forth [6] 17:13 27:3,8 38:3, 10 66:17 forward [4] 37:21 74:13 79: 11 84:5 found [1] 26:5 four [4] 21:8 31:10 32:10 42:9 fourth [1] 9:17	12,23 64:5,17 65:6 66:9 67:9,20 68:4,6,16,19 70:17, 21 71:11,15,19 72:14 73:4, 7,24 76:10,13 77:1 81:25 82:4,8,11,14 85:1,10,16 86: 3,13 87:1,8,12,17,20 89:1, 6 90:7 gas [2] 24:25 25:6 gave [4] 54:18 66:11 72:20 77:7 gecko's [1] 44:18 General [1] 1:21 generalized [1] 67:5 generally [3] 59:6 78:9 85: 18 Georgetown [1] 65:20 gets [2] 54:7 64:17	happen [3] 55:7,11,14 happened [2] 14:24 55:15 happening [1] 17:16 happens [1] 93:12 happier [1] 76:8 hard [3] 31:13 49:11 76:17 harder [3] 17:18 18:2,19 harm [6] 22:20 81:10 92:14 94:11,14 95:23 harmful [1] 74:16 harming [2] 76:6 84:24 Harris [1] 79:23 haystack [1] 30:7 hear [2] 3:3 84:7 heard [2] 55:3,19 Hecker [1] 79:6 heightened [1] 92:15	identical [14] 27:11 45:14 47:18 66:21 69:7 81:16,16, 21,22 82:13 84:8 88:3,5,13 identified [1] 95:3 identify [3] 67:12 73:10 75: 11 ignored [1] 16:13 III [1] 53:7 immediate [1] 36:18 immunize [1] 42:15 immunizes [1] 3:21 impact [2] 59:3 88:7 implication [1] 5:9 import [1] 80:11 important [3] 43:24 56:3 69:18
13 79:12,19 fee [¹⁵] 33:7,18,25 65:17 74: 20 80:16,17,20 81:4,8 83: 11,14 91:23 92:4,7 feel [¹] 88:19 fees [⁴⁸] 4:7,9,13,17,18 6: 14 8:5 9:2 18:20 19:1 29:1, 14 30:19 31:12 32:9,10 33: 14 34:10,21,25 39:15 42:6, 8 51:23 54:3 59:14 62:5,6, 7 63:2,10 64:2,15,24 65:1, 4 69:22 77:22 85:6,7 86: 10,12 87:10,11,15 90:20 94:17,21 fertilizer [¹] 47:10 few [⁵] 20:23 38:6,7 42:18 55:17	focused [2] 53:3 68:8 focuses [1] 43:23 fold [1] 60:3 follow [1] 21:23 followed [1] 43:14 following [1] 27:7 forces [1] 55:22 foreclose [1] 5:3 forget [3] 16:25 53:1 71:22 forth [6] 17:13 27:3,8 38:3, 10 66:17 forward [4] 37:21 74:13 79: 11 84:5 found [1] 26:5 four [4] 21:8 31:10 32:10 42:9 fourth [1] 9:17 framework [1] 57:11	12,23 64:5,17 65:6 66:9 67:9,20 68:4,6,16,19 70:17, 21 71:11,15,19 72:14 73:4, 7,24 76:10,13 77:1 81:25 82:4,8,11,14 85:1,10,16 86: 3,13 87:1,8,12,17,20 89:1, 6 90:7 gas [2] 24:25 25:6 gave [4] 54:18 66:11 72:20 77:7 gecko's [1] 44:18 General [1] 1:21 generalized [1] 67:5 generally [3] 59:6 78:9 85: 18 Georgetown [1] 65:20 gets [2] 54:7 64:17 getting [2] 33:2 51:23	happen [3] 55:7,11,14 happened [2] 14:24 55:15 happening [1] 17:16 happens [1] 93:12 happier [1] 76:8 hard [3] 31:13 49:11 76:17 harder [3] 17:18 18:2,19 harm [6] 22:20 81:10 92:14 94:11,14 95:23 harmful [1] 74:16 harming [2] 76:6 84:24 Harris [1] 79:23 haystack [1] 30:7 hear [2] 3:3 84:7 heard [2] 55:3,19 Hecker [1] 79:6 heightened [1] 92:15 held [2] 4:3 23:23	identical [14] 27:11 45:14 47:18 66:21 69:7 81:16,16, 21,22 82:13 84:8 88:3,5,13 identified [1] 95:3 identify [3] 67:12 73:10 75: 11 ignored [1] 16:13 III [1] 53:7 immediate [1] 36:18 immunize [1] 42:15 immunizes [1] 3:21 impact [2] 59:3 88:7 implication [1] 5:9 import [1] 80:11 important [3] 43:24 56:3 69:18 importantly [1] 61:19
13 79:12,19 fee [¹⁵] 33:7,18,25 65:17 74: 20 80:16,17,20 81:4,8 83: 11,14 91:23 92:4,7 feel [¹] 88:19 fees [⁴⁸] 4:7,9,13,17,18 6: 14 8:5 9:2 18:20 19:1 29:1, 14 30:19 31:12 32:9,10 33: 14 34:10,21,25 39:15 42:6, 8 51:23 54:3 59:14 62:5,6, 7 63:2,10 64:2,15,24 65:1, 4 69:22 77:22 85:6,7 86: 10,12 87:10,11,15 90:20 94:17,21 fertilizer [¹] 47:10 few [⁵] 20:23 38:6,7 42:18 55:17 fewer [¹] 94:20	focused [2] 53:3 68:8 focuses [1] 43:23 fold [1] 60:3 follow [1] 21:23 followed [1] 43:14 following [1] 27:7 forces [1] 55:22 foreclose [1] 5:3 forget [3] 16:25 53:1 71:22 forth [6] 17:13 27:3,8 38:3, 10 66:17 forward [4] 37:21 74:13 79: 11 84:5 found [1] 26:5 four [4] 21:8 31:10 32:10 42:9 fourth [1] 9:17 framework [1] 57:11 framework [1] 57:11 frank [1] 20:14	12,23 64:5,17 65:6 66:9 67:9,20 68:4,6,16,19 70:17, 21 71:11,15,19 72:14 73:4, 7,24 76:10,13 77:1 81:25 82:4,8,11,14 85:1,10,16 86: 3,13 87:1,8,12,17,20 89:1, 6 90:7 gas [2] 24:25 25:6 gave [4] 54:18 66:11 72:20 77:7 gecko's [1] 44:18 General [1] 1:21 generalized [1] 67:5 generally [3] 59:6 78:9 85: 18 Georgetown [1] 65:20 gets [2] 54:7 64:17 getting [2] 33:2 51:23 Giant [2] 13:23 90:11	happen [3] 55:7,11,14 happened [2] 14:24 55:15 happening [1] 17:16 happens [1] 93:12 happier [1] 76:8 hard [3] 31:13 49:11 76:17 harder [3] 17:18 18:2,19 harm [6] 22:20 81:10 92:14 94:11,14 95:23 harmful [1] 74:16 harming [2] 76:6 84:24 Harris [1] 79:23 haystack [1] 30:7 hear [2] 3:3 84:7 heard [2] 55:3,19 Hecker [1] 79:6 heightened [1] 92:15 held [2] 4:3 23:23 help [3] 20:13 25:20 78:22	identical [14] 27:11 45:14 47:18 66:21 69:7 81:16,16, 21,22 82:13 84:8 88:3,5,13 identified [1] 95:3 identify [3] 67:12 73:10 75: 11 ignored [1] 16:13 III [1] 53:7 immediate [1] 36:18 immunize [1] 42:15 immunizes [1] 3:21 impact [2] 59:3 88:7 implication [1] 5:9 import [1] 80:11 important [3] 43:24 56:3 69:18 importantly [1] 61:19 impose [1] 3:12
13 79:12,19 fee [^{15]} 33:7,18,25 65:17 74: 20 80:16,17,20 81:4,8 83: 11,14 91:23 92:4,7 feel [^{1]} 88:19 fees [^{48]} 4:7,9,13,17,18 6: 14 8:5 9:2 18:20 19:1 29:1, 14 30:19 31:12 32:9,10 33: 14 34:10,21,25 39:15 42:6, 8 51:23 54:3 59:14 62:5,6, 7 63:2,10 64:2,15,24 65:1, 4 69:22 77:22 85:6,7 86: 10,12 87:10,11,15 90:20 94:17,21 fertilizer [^{1]} 47:10 few [^{5]} 20:23 38:6,7 42:18 55:17 fewer [^{1]} 94:20 Fidelity [^{11]} 15:25 33:12	focused [2] 53:3 68:8 focuses [1] 43:23 fold [1] 60:3 follow [1] 21:23 followed [1] 43:14 following [1] 27:7 forces [1] 55:22 foreclose [1] 5:3 forget [3] 16:25 53:1 71:22 forth [6] 17:13 27:3,8 38:3, 10 66:17 forward [4] 37:21 74:13 79: 11 84:5 found [1] 26:5 four [4] 21:8 31:10 32:10 42:9 fourth [1] 9:17 framework [1] 57:11 frank [1] 20:14 frankly [2] 74:5 91:20	12,23 64:5,17 65:6 66:9 67:9,20 68:4,6,16,19 70:17, 21 71:11,15,19 72:14 73:4, 7,24 76:10,13 77:1 81:25 82:4,8,11,14 85:1,10,16 86: 3,13 87:1,8,12,17,20 89:1, 6 90:7 gas [2] 24:25 25:6 gave [4] 54:18 66:11 72:20 77:7 gecko's [1] 44:18 General [1] 1:21 generalized [1] 67:5 generally [3] 59:6 78:9 85: 18 Georgetown [1] 65:20 gets [2] 54:7 64:17 getting [2] 33:2 51:23 Giant [2] 13:23 90:11 give [8] 6:2,5 19:14 30:24	happen [3] 55:7,11,14 happened [2] 14:24 55:15 happening [1] 17:16 happens [1] 93:12 happier [1] 76:8 hard [3] 31:13 49:11 76:17 harder [3] 17:18 18:2,19 harm [6] 22:20 81:10 92:14 94:11,14 95:23 harmful [1] 74:16 harming [2] 76:6 84:24 Harris [1] 79:23 haystack [1] 30:7 hear [2] 3:3 84:7 heard [2] 55:3,19 Hecker [1] 79:6 heightened [1] 92:15 held [2] 4:3 23:23 help [3] 20:13 25:20 78:22 helped [2] 88:8,9	identical [14] 27:11 45:14 47:18 66:21 69:7 81:16,16, 21,22 82:13 84:8 88:3,5,13 identified [1] 95:3 identify [3] 67:12 73:10 75: 11 ignored [1] 16:13 III [1] 53:7 immediate [1] 36:18 immunize [1] 42:15 immunize [1] 42:15 immunizes [1] 3:21 impact [2] 59:3 88:7 implication [1] 5:9 import [1] 80:11 important [3] 43:24 56:3 69:18 importantly [1] 61:19 impose [1] 3:12 imprudence [5] 9:5,6 31:8
13 79:12,19 fee [^{15]} 33:7,18,25 65:17 74: 20 80:16,17,20 81:4,8 83: 11,14 91:23 92:4,7 feel [^{1]} 88:19 fees [^{48]} 4:7,9,13,17,18 6: 14 8:5 9:2 18:20 19:1 29:1, 14 30:19 31:12 32:9,10 33: 14 34:10,21,25 39:15 42:6, 8 51:23 54:3 59:14 62:5,6, 7 63:2,10 64:2,15,24 65:1, 4 69:22 77:22 85:6,7 86: 10,12 87:10,11,15 90:20 94:17,21 fertilizer [^{1]} 47:10 few [^{5]} 20:23 38:6,7 42:18 55:17 fewer [^{1]} 94:20 Fidelity [^{11]} 15:25 33:12 35:17,18 40:12,19 44:20	focused [2] 53:3 68:8 focuses [1] 43:23 fold [1] 60:3 follow [1] 21:23 followed [1] 43:14 following [1] 27:7 forces [1] 55:22 foreclose [1] 5:3 forget [3] 16:25 53:1 71:22 forth [6] 17:13 27:3,8 38:3, 10 66:17 forward [4] 37:21 74:13 79: 11 84:5 found [1] 26:5 four [4] 21:8 31:10 32:10 42:9 fourth [1] 9:17 framework [1] 57:11 frank [1] 20:14 frankly [2] 74:5 91:20 FREDERICK [77] 1:18 2:3,	12,23 64:5,17 65:6 66:9 67:9,20 68:4,6,16,19 70:17, 21 71:11,15,19 72:14 73:4, 7,24 76:10,13 77:1 81:25 82:4,8,11,14 85:1,10,16 86: 3,13 87:1,8,12,17,20 89:1, 6 90:7 gas [2] 24:25 25:6 gave [4] 54:18 66:11 72:20 77:7 gecko's [1] 44:18 General [1] 1:21 generalized [1] 67:5 generally [3] 59:6 78:9 85: 18 Georgetown [1] 65:20 gets [2] 54:7 64:17 getting [2] 33:2 51:23 Giant [2] 13:23 90:11 give [8] 6:2,5 19:14 30:24 39:8 64:11 67:17,18	happen [3] 55:7,11,14 happened [2] 14:24 55:15 happening [1] 17:16 happens [1] 93:12 happier [1] 76:8 hard [3] 31:13 49:11 76:17 harder [3] 17:18 18:2,19 harm [6] 22:20 81:10 92:14 94:11,14 95:23 harmful [1] 74:16 harming [2] 76:6 84:24 Harris [1] 79:23 haystack [1] 30:7 hear [2] 3:3 84:7 heard [2] 55:3,19 Hecker [1] 79:6 heightened [1] 92:15 held [2] 4:3 23:23 help [3] 20:13 25:20 78:22 helped [2] 88:8,9 helpful [1] 19:22	identical [14] 27:11 45:14 47:18 66:21 69:7 81:16,16, 21,22 82:13 84:8 88:3,5,13 identified [1] 95:3 identify [3] 67:12 73:10 75: 11 ignored [1] 16:13 III [1] 53:7 immediate [1] 36:18 immunize [1] 42:15 immunize [1] 42:15 immunize [1] 3:21 impact [2] 59:3 88:7 implication [1] 5:9 import [1] 80:11 important [3] 43:24 56:3 69:18 importantly [1] 61:19 impose [1] 3:12 imprudence [5] 9:5,6 31:8 43:18 56:10
13 79:12,19 fee [^{15]} 33:7,18,25 65:17 74: 20 80:16,17,20 81:4,8 83: 11,14 91:23 92:4,7 feel [^{1]} 88:19 fees [^{48]} 4:7,9,13,17,18 6: 14 8:5 9:2 18:20 19:1 29:1, 14 30:19 31:12 32:9,10 33: 14 34:10,21,25 39:15 42:6, 8 51:23 54:3 59:14 62:5,6, 7 63:2,10 64:2,15,24 65:1, 4 69:22 77:22 85:6,7 86: 10,12 87:10,11,15 90:20 94:17,21 fertilizer [^{1]} 47:10 few [^{5]} 20:23 38:6,7 42:18 55:17 fewer [^{1]} 94:20 Fidelity [^{11]} 15:25 33:12 35:17,18 40:12,19 44:20 45:10 50:17 51:2 66:2	focused [2] 53:3 68:8 focuses [1] 43:23 fold [1] 60:3 follow [1] 21:23 followed [1] 43:14 following [1] 27:7 forces [1] 55:22 foreclose [1] 5:3 forget [3] 16:25 53:1 71:22 forth [6] 17:13 27:3,8 38:3, 10 66:17 forward [4] 37:21 74:13 79: 11 84:5 found [1] 26:5 four [4] 21:8 31:10 32:10 42:9 fourth [1] 9:17 framework [1] 57:11 frank [1] 20:14 frankly [2] 74:5 91:20 FREDERICK [77] 1:18 2:3, 12 3:6,7,9 7:18 9:14 10:1,	12,23 64:5,17 65:6 66:9 67:9,20 68:4,6,16,19 70:17, 21 71:11,15,19 72:14 73:4, 7,24 76:10,13 77:1 81:25 82:4,8,11,14 85:1,10,16 86: 3,13 87:1,8,12,17,20 89:1, 6 90:7 gas [2] 24:25 25:6 gave [4] 54:18 66:11 72:20 77:7 gecko's [1] 44:18 General [1] 1:21 generalized [1] 67:5 generally [3] 59:6 78:9 85: 18 Georgetown [1] 65:20 gets [2] 54:7 64:17 getting [2] 33:2 51:23 Giant [2] 13:23 90:11 give [8] 6:2,5 19:14 30:24 39:8 64:11 67:17,18 given [5] 36:14 53:14 79:	happen [3] 55:7,11,14 happened [2] 14:24 55:15 happening [1] 17:16 happens [1] 93:12 happier [1] 76:8 hard [3] 31:13 49:11 76:17 harder [3] 17:18 18:2,19 harm [6] 22:20 81:10 92:14 94:11,14 95:23 harmful [1] 74:16 harming [2] 76:6 84:24 Harris [1] 79:23 haystack [1] 30:7 hear [2] 3:3 84:7 heard [2] 55:3,19 Hecker [1] 79:6 heightened [1] 92:15 held [2] 4:3 23:23 help [3] 20:13 25:20 78:22 helped [2] 88:8,9 helpful [1] 19:22 high [7] 29:1,15 34:11,16	identical [14] 27:11 45:14 47:18 66:21 69:7 81:16,16, 21,22 82:13 84:8 88:3,5,13 identified [1] 95:3 identify [3] 67:12 73:10 75: 11 ignored [1] 16:13 III [1] 53:7 immediate [1] 36:18 immunize [1] 42:15 immunize [1] 42:15 immunize [1] 3:21 impact [2] 59:3 88:7 implication [1] 5:9 import [1] 80:11 important [3] 43:24 56:3 69:18 importantly [1] 61:19 impose [1] 3:12 imprudence [5] 9:5,6 31:8 43:18 56:10 imprudent [21] 3:12,22 4:4
13 79:12,19 fee [^{15]} 33:7,18,25 65:17 74: 20 80:16,17,20 81:4,8 83: 11,14 91:23 92:4,7 feel [^{1]} 88:19 fees [^{48]} 4:7,9,13,17,18 6: 14 8:5 9:2 18:20 19:1 29:1, 14 30:19 31:12 32:9,10 33: 14 34:10,21,25 39:15 42:6, 8 51:23 54:3 59:14 62:5,6, 7 63:2,10 64:2,15,24 65:1, 4 69:22 77:22 85:6,7 86: 10,12 87:10,11,15 90:20 94:17,21 fertilizer [^{1]} 47:10 few [^{5]} 20:23 38:6,7 42:18 55:17 fewer [^{1]} 94:20 Fidelity [^{11]} 15:25 33:12 35:17,18 40:12,19 44:20 45:10 50:17 51:2 66:2 Fidelity's [^{1]} 48:24	focused [2] 53:3 68:8 focuses [1] 43:23 fold [1] 60:3 follow [1] 21:23 followed [1] 43:14 following [1] 27:7 forces [1] 55:22 foreclose [1] 5:3 forget [3] 16:25 53:1 71:22 forth [6] 17:13 27:3,8 38:3, 10 66:17 forward [4] 37:21 74:13 79: 11 84:5 found [1] 26:5 four [4] 21:8 31:10 32:10 42:9 fourth [1] 9:17 framework [1] 57:11 frank [1] 20:14 frankly [2] 74:5 91:20 FREDERICK [77] 1:18 2:3, 12 3:6,7,9 7:18 9:14 10:1, 8,14,19,22,25 11:2,11 12:2,	12,23 64:5,17 65:6 66:9 67:9,20 68:4,6,16,19 70:17, 21 71:11,15,19 72:14 73:4, 7,24 76:10,13 77:1 81:25 82:4,8,11,14 85:1,10,16 86: 3,13 87:1,8,12,17,20 89:1, 6 90:7 gas [2] 24:25 25:6 gave [4] 54:18 66:11 72:20 77:7 gecko's [1] 44:18 General [1] 1:21 generalized [1] 67:5 generally [3] 59:6 78:9 85: 18 Georgetown [1] 65:20 gets [2] 54:7 64:17 getting [2] 33:2 51:23 Giant [2] 13:23 90:11 give [8] 6:2,5 19:14 30:24 39:8 64:11 67:17,18 given [5] 36:14 53:14 79: 15 89:7 94:8	happen [3] 55:7,11,14 happened [2] 14:24 55:15 happening [1] 17:16 happens [1] 93:12 happier [1] 76:8 hard [3] 31:13 49:11 76:17 harder [3] 17:18 18:2,19 harm [6] 22:20 81:10 92:14 94:11,14 95:23 harmful [1] 74:16 harming [2] 76:6 84:24 Harris [1] 79:23 haystack [1] 30:7 hear [2] 3:3 84:7 heard [2] 55:3,19 Hecker [1] 79:6 heightened [1] 92:15 held [2] 4:3 23:23 help [3] 20:13 25:20 78:22 helped [2] 88:8,9 helpful [1] 19:22 high [7] 29:1,15 34:11,16 62:5,7 85:5	identical [14] 27:11 45:14 47:18 66:21 69:7 81:16,16, 21,22 82:13 84:8 88:3,5,13 identified [1] 95:3 identify [3] 67:12 73:10 75: 11 ignored [1] 16:13 III [1] 53:7 immediate [1] 36:18 immunize [1] 42:15 immunize [1] 42:15 immorize [1] 3:21 impact [2] 59:3 88:7 implication [1] 5:9 import [1] 80:11 important [3] 43:24 56:3 69:18 importantly [1] 61:19 impose [1] 3:12 imprudence [5] 9:5,6 31:8 43:18 56:10 imprudent [21] 3:12,22 4:4 5:7,14,16,20 8:13 28:6 31:
13 79:12,19 fee [^{15]} 33:7,18,25 65:17 74: 20 80:16,17,20 81:4,8 83: 11,14 91:23 92:4,7 feel [^{1]} 88:19 fees [^{48]} 4:7,9,13,17,18 6: 14 8:5 9:2 18:20 19:1 29:1, 14 30:19 31:12 32:9,10 33: 14 34:10,21,25 39:15 42:6, 8 51:23 54:3 59:14 62:5,6, 7 63:2,10 64:2,15,24 65:1, 4 69:22 77:22 85:6,7 86: 10,12 87:10,11,15 90:20 94:17,21 fertilizer [^{1]} 47:10 few [^{5]} 20:23 38:6,7 42:18 55:17 fewer [^{1]} 94:20 Fidelity [^{11]} 15:25 33:12 35:17,18 40:12,19 44:20 45:10 50:17 51:2 66:2	focused [2] 53:3 68:8 focuses [1] 43:23 fold [1] 60:3 follow [1] 21:23 followed [1] 43:14 following [1] 27:7 forces [1] 55:22 foreclose [1] 5:3 forget [3] 16:25 53:1 71:22 forth [6] 17:13 27:3,8 38:3, 10 66:17 forward [4] 37:21 74:13 79: 11 84:5 found [1] 26:5 four [4] 21:8 31:10 32:10 42:9 fourth [1] 9:17 framework [1] 57:11 frank [1] 20:14 frankly [2] 74:5 91:20 FREDERICK [77] 1:18 2:3, 12 3:6,7,9 7:18 9:14 10:1, 8,14,19,22,25 11:2,11 12:2, 6,10,13,16,18 13:4,11 14:	12,23 64:5,17 65:6 66:9 67:9,20 68:4,6,16,19 70:17, 21 71:11,15,19 72:14 73:4, 7,24 76:10,13 77:1 81:25 82:4,8,11,14 85:1,10,16 86: 3,13 87:1,8,12,17,20 89:1, 6 90:7 gas [2] 24:25 25:6 gave [4] 54:18 66:11 72:20 77:7 gecko's [1] 44:18 General [1] 1:21 generalized [1] 67:5 generally [3] 59:6 78:9 85: 18 Georgetown [1] 65:20 gets [2] 54:7 64:17 getting [2] 33:2 51:23 Giant [2] 13:23 90:11 give [8] 6:2,5 19:14 30:24 39:8 64:11 67:17,18 given [5] 36:14 53:14 79: 15 89:7 94:8 gives [1] 6:16	happen [3] 55:7,11,14 happened [2] 14:24 55:15 happening [1] 17:16 happens [1] 93:12 happier [1] 76:8 hard [3] 31:13 49:11 76:17 harder [3] 17:18 18:2,19 harm [6] 22:20 81:10 92:14 94:11,14 95:23 harmful [1] 74:16 harming [2] 76:6 84:24 Harris [1] 79:23 haystack [1] 30:7 hear [2] 3:3 84:7 heard [2] 55:3,19 Hecker [1] 79:6 heightened [1] 92:15 held [2] 4:3 23:23 help [3] 20:13 25:20 78:22 helped [2] 88:8,9 helpful [1] 19:22 high [7] 29:1,15 34:11,16	identical [14] 27:11 45:14 47:18 66:21 69:7 81:16,16, 21,22 82:13 84:8 88:3,5,13 identified [1] 95:3 identify [3] 67:12 73:10 75: 11 ignored [1] 16:13 III [1] 53:7 immediate [1] 36:18 immunize [1] 42:15 immunize [1] 42:15 immorize [1] 3:21 impact [2] 59:3 88:7 implication [1] 5:9 import [1] 80:11 important [3] 43:24 56:3 69:18 importantly [1] 61:19 impose [1] 3:12 imprudence [5] 9:5,6 31:8 43:18 56:10 imprudent [21] 3:12,22 4:4 5:7,14,16,20 8:13 28:6 31: 17 32:14 42:6,16 43:16 52:
13 79:12,19 fee [¹⁵] 33:7,18,25 65:17 74: 20 80:16,17,20 81:4,8 83: 11,14 91:23 92:4,7 feel [^{1]} 88:19 fees [^{48]} 4:7,9,13,17,18 6: 14 8:5 9:2 18:20 19:1 29:1, 14 30:19 31:12 32:9,10 33: 14 34:10,21,25 39:15 42:6, 8 51:23 54:3 59:14 62:5,6, 7 63:2,10 64:2,15,24 65:1, 4 69:22 77:22 85:6,7 86: 10,12 87:10,11,15 90:20 94:17,21 fertilizer [^{1]} 47:10 few [^{5]} 20:23 38:6,7 42:18 55:17 fewer [^{1]} 94:20 Fidelity [^{11]} 15:25 33:12 35:17,18 40:12,19 44:20 45:10 50:17 51:2 66:2 Fidelity's [^{1]} 48:24 fiduciaries [^{25]} 3:22 4:5 15:24 22:12 25:13 40:18	focused [2] 53:3 68:8 focuses [1] 43:23 fold [1] 60:3 follow [1] 21:23 followed [1] 43:14 following [1] 27:7 forces [1] 55:22 foreclose [1] 5:3 forget [3] 16:25 53:1 71:22 forth [6] 17:13 27:3,8 38:3, 10 66:17 forward [4] 37:21 74:13 79: 11 84:5 found [1] 26:5 four [4] 21:8 31:10 32:10 42:9 fourth [1] 9:17 framework [1] 57:11 frank [1] 20:14 frankly [2] 74:5 91:20 FREDERICK [77] 1:18 2:3, 12 3:6,7,9 7:18 9:14 10:1, 8,14,19,22,25 11:2,11 12:2, 6,10,13,16,18 13:4,11 14: 11,14,18,23 15:16 16:9 17:	12,23 64:5,17 65:6 66:9 67:9,20 68:4,6,16,19 70:17, 21 71:11,15,19 72:14 73:4, 7,24 76:10,13 77:1 81:25 82:4,8,11,14 85:1,10,16 86: 3,13 87:1,8,12,17,20 89:1, 6 90:7 gas [2] 24:25 25:6 gave [4] 54:18 66:11 72:20 77:7 gecko's [1] 44:18 General [1] 1:21 generalized [1] 67:5 generally [3] 59:6 78:9 85: 18 Georgetown [1] 65:20 gets [2] 54:7 64:17 getting [2] 33:2 51:23 Giant [2] 13:23 90:11 give [8] 6:2,5 19:14 30:24 39:8 64:11 67:17,18 given [5] 36:14 53:14 79: 15 89:7 94:8 gives [1] 6:16 giving [2] 35:19 64:3	happen [3] 55:7,11,14 happened [2] 14:24 55:15 happening [1] 17:16 happens [1] 93:12 happier [1] 76:8 hard [3] 31:13 49:11 76:17 harder [3] 17:18 18:2,19 harm [6] 22:20 81:10 92:14 94:11,14 95:23 harmful [1] 74:16 harming [2] 76:6 84:24 Harris [1] 79:23 haystack [1] 30:7 hear [2] 3:3 84:7 heard [2] 55:3,19 Hecker [1] 79:6 heightened [1] 92:15 held [2] 4:3 23:23 help [3] 20:13 25:20 78:22 helped [2] 88:8,9 helpful [1] 19:22 high [7] 29:1,15 34:11,16 62:5,7 85:5 higher [5] 8:2 63:3 69:22 87:6,10	identical [14] 27:11 45:14 47:18 66:21 69:7 81:16,16, 21,22 82:13 84:8 88:3,5,13 identified [1] 95:3 identify [3] 67:12 73:10 75: 11 ignored [1] 16:13 III [1] 53:7 immediate [1] 36:18 immunize [1] 42:15 immunize [1] 42:15 immorize [1] 3:21 impact [2] 59:3 88:7 implication [1] 5:9 import [1] 80:11 important [3] 43:24 56:3 69:18 importantly [1] 61:19 impose [1] 3:12 imprudence [5] 9:5,6 31:8 43:18 56:10 imprudent [21] 3:12,22 4:4 5:7,14,16,20 8:13 28:6 31:
13 79:12,19 fee [^{15]} 33:7,18,25 65:17 74: 20 80:16,17,20 81:4,8 83: 11,14 91:23 92:4,7 feel [^{1]} 88:19 fees [^{48]} 4:7,9,13,17,18 6: 14 8:5 9:2 18:20 19:1 29:1, 14 30:19 31:12 32:9,10 33: 14 34:10,21,25 39:15 42:6, 8 51:23 54:3 59:14 62:5,6, 7 63:2,10 64:2,15,24 65:1, 4 69:22 77:22 85:6,7 86: 10,12 87:10,11,15 90:20 94:17,21 fertilizer [^{1]} 47:10 few [^{5]} 20:23 38:6,7 42:18 55:17 fewer [^{1]} 94:20 Fidelity [^{11]} 15:25 33:12 35:17,18 40:12,19 44:20 45:10 50:17 51:2 66:2 Fidelity's [^{1]} 48:24 fiduciaries [^{25]} 3:22 4:5 15:24 22:12 25:13 40:18 42:5,7,15,17 44:7,8 45:7	focused [2] 53:3 68:8 focuses [1] 43:23 fold [1] 60:3 follow [1] 21:23 followed [1] 43:14 following [1] 27:7 forces [1] 55:22 foreclose [1] 5:3 forget [3] 16:25 53:1 71:22 forth [6] 17:13 27:3,8 38:3, 10 66:17 forward [4] 37:21 74:13 79: 11 84:5 found [1] 26:5 four [4] 21:8 31:10 32:10 42:9 fourth [1] 9:17 framework [1] 57:11 frank [1] 20:14 frankly [2] 74:5 91:20 FREDERICK [77] 1:18 2:3, 12 3:6,7,9 7:18 9:14 10:1, 8,14,19,22,25 11:2,11 12:2, 6,10,13,16,18 13:4,11 14: 11,14,18,23 15:16 16:9 17: 5 18:6 19:6,23 20:5 21:11	12,23 64:5,17 65:6 66:9 67:9,20 68:4,6,16,19 70:17, 21 71:11,15,19 72:14 73:4, 7,24 76:10,13 77:1 81:25 82:4,8,11,14 85:1,10,16 86: 3,13 87:1,8,12,17,20 89:1, 6 90:7 gas [2] 24:25 25:6 gave [4] 54:18 66:11 72:20 77:7 gecko's [1] 44:18 General [1] 1:21 generalized [1] 67:5 generally [3] 59:6 78:9 85: 18 Georgetown [1] 65:20 gets [2] 54:7 64:17 getting [2] 33:2 51:23 Giant [2] 13:23 90:11 give [8] 6:2,5 19:14 30:24 39:8 64:11 67:17,18 given [5] 36:14 53:14 79: 15 89:7 94:8 gives [1] 6:16	happen [3] 55:7,11,14 happened [2] 14:24 55:15 happening [1] 17:16 happens [1] 93:12 happier [1] 76:8 hard [3] 31:13 49:11 76:17 harder [3] 17:18 18:2,19 harm [6] 22:20 81:10 92:14 94:11,14 95:23 harmful [1] 74:16 harming [2] 76:6 84:24 Harris [1] 79:23 haystack [1] 30:7 hear [2] 3:3 84:7 heard [2] 55:3,19 Hecker [1] 79:6 heightened [1] 92:15 held [2] 4:3 23:23 help [3] 20:13 25:20 78:22 helped [2] 88:8,9 helpful [1] 19:22 high [7] 29:1,15 34:11,16 62:5,7 85:5 higher [5] 8:2 63:3 69:22 87:6,10 higher-cost [2] 27:8 66:17	identical [14] 27:11 45:14 47:18 66:21 69:7 81:16,16, 21,22 82:13 84:8 88:3,5,13 identified [1] 95:3 identify [3] 67:12 73:10 75: 11 ignored [1] 16:13 III [1] 53:7 immediate [1] 36:18 immunize [1] 42:15 immunize [1] 42:15 immunize [1] 42:15 immort [2] 59:3 88:7 implication [1] 5:9 import [1] 80:11 import [1] 80:11 important [3] 43:24 56:3 69:18 importantly [1] 61:19 impose [1] 3:12 imprudence [5] 9:5,6 31:8 43:18 56:10 imprudent [21] 3:12,22 4:4 5:7,14,16,20 8:13 28:6 31: 17 32:14 42:6,16 43:16 52: 19 70:9,12,14 85:5 88:4 90:5
13 79:12,19 fee [15] 33:7,18,25 65:17 74: 20 80:16,17,20 81:4,8 83: 11,14 91:23 92:4,7 feel [1] 88:19 fees [48] 4:7,9,13,17,18 6: 14 8:5 9:2 18:20 19:1 29:1, 14 30:19 31:12 32:9,10 33: 14 34:10,21,25 39:15 42:6, 8 51:23 54:3 59:14 62:5,6, 7 63:2,10 64:2,15,24 65:1, 4 69:22 77:22 85:6,7 86: 10,12 87:10,11,15 90:20 94:17,21 fertilizer [1] 47:10 few [5] 20:23 38:6,7 42:18 55:17 fewer [1] 94:20 Fidelity [11] 15:25 33:12 35:17,18 40:12,19 44:20 45:10 50:17 51:2 66:2 Fidelity's [1] 48:24 fiduciaries [25] 3:22 4:5 15:24 22:12 25:13 40:18 42:5,7,15,17 44:7,8 45:7 46:6,9 47:21 48:20 49:2,6	focused [2] 53:3 68:8 focuses [1] 43:23 fold [1] 60:3 follow [1] 21:23 followed [1] 43:14 following [1] 27:7 forces [1] 55:22 foreclose [1] 5:3 forget [3] 16:25 53:1 71:22 forth [6] 17:13 27:3,8 38:3, 10 66:17 forward [4] 37:21 74:13 79: 11 84:5 found [1] 26:5 four [4] 21:8 31:10 32:10 42:9 fourth [1] 9:17 framework [1] 57:11 frank [1] 20:14 frankly [2] 74:5 91:20 FREDERICK [77] 1:18 2:3, 12 3:6,7,9 7:18 9:14 10:1, 8,14,19,22,25 11:2,11 12:2, 6,10,13,16,18 13:4,11 14: 11,14,18,23 15:16 16:9 17: 5 18:6 19:6,23 20:5 21:11 22:1,25 24:1,10,14 25:8	12,23 64:5,17 65:6 66:9 67:9,20 68:4,6,16,19 70:17, 21 71:11,15,19 72:14 73:4, 7,24 76:10,13 77:1 81:25 82:4,8,11,14 85:1,10,16 86: 3,13 87:1,8,12,17,20 89:1, 6 90:7 gas [2] 24:25 25:6 gave [4] 54:18 66:11 72:20 77:7 gecko's [1] 44:18 General [1] 1:21 generalized [1] 67:5 generally [3] 59:6 78:9 85: 18 Georgetown [1] 65:20 gets [2] 54:7 64:17 getting [2] 33:2 51:23 Giant [2] 13:23 90:11 give [8] 6:2,5 19:14 30:24 39:8 64:11 67:17,18 given [5] 36:14 53:14 79: 15 89:7 94:8 gives [1] 6:16 giving [2] 35:19 64:3 God [1] 26:25	happen [3] 55:7,11,14 happened [2] 14:24 55:15 happening [1] 17:16 happens [1] 93:12 happier [1] 76:8 hard [3] 31:13 49:11 76:17 harder [3] 17:18 18:2,19 harm [6] 22:20 81:10 92:14 94:11,14 95:23 harmful [1] 74:16 harming [2] 76:6 84:24 Harris [1] 79:23 haystack [1] 30:7 hear [2] 3:3 84:7 heard [2] 55:3,19 Hecker [1] 79:6 heightened [1] 92:15 held [2] 4:3 23:23 help [3] 20:13 25:20 78:22 helped [2] 88:8,9 helpful [1] 19:22 high [7] 29:1,15 34:11,16 62:5,7 85:5 higher [5] 8:2 63:3 69:22 87:6,10 higher-cost [2] 27:8 66:17 highest [4] 3:14 23:23,23,	identical [14] 27:11 45:14 47:18 66:21 69:7 81:16,16, 21,22 82:13 84:8 88:3,5,13 identified [1] 95:3 identify [3] 67:12 73:10 75: 11 ignored [1] 16:13 III [1] 53:7 immediate [1] 36:18 immunize [1] 42:15 immunize [1] 42:15 immunize [1] 42:15 immort [2] 59:3 88:7 implication [1] 5:9 import [1] 80:11 import [1] 80:11 important [3] 43:24 56:3 69:18 importantly [1] 61:19 impose [1] 3:12 imprudence [5] 9:5,6 31:8 43:18 56:10 imprudent [21] 3:12,22 4:4 5:7,14,16,20 8:13 28:6 31: 17 32:14 42:6,16 43:16 52: 19 70:9,12,14 85:5 88:4
13 79:12,19 fee [15] 33:7,18,25 65:17 74: 20 80:16,17,20 81:4,8 83: 11,14 91:23 92:4,7 feel [1] 88:19 fees [48] 4:7,9,13,17,18 6: 14 8:5 9:2 18:20 19:1 29:1, 14 30:19 31:12 32:9,10 33: 14 34:10,21,25 39:15 42:6, 8 51:23 54:3 59:14 62:5,6, 7 63:2,10 64:2,15,24 65:1, 4 69:22 77:22 85:6,7 86: 10,12 87:10,11,15 90:20 94:17,21 fertilizer [1] 47:10 few [5] 20:23 38:6,7 42:18 55:17 fewer [1] 94:20 Fidelity [11] 15:25 33:12 35:17,18 40:12,19 44:20 45:10 50:17 51:2 66:2 Fidelity's [1] 48:24 fiduciaries [25] 3:22 4:5 15:24 22:12 25:13 40:18 42:5,7,15,17 44:7,8 45:7	focused [2] 53:3 68:8 focuses [1] 43:23 fold [1] 60:3 follow [1] 21:23 followed [1] 43:14 following [1] 27:7 forces [1] 55:22 foreclose [1] 5:3 forget [3] 16:25 53:1 71:22 forth [6] 17:13 27:3,8 38:3, 10 66:17 forward [4] 37:21 74:13 79: 11 84:5 found [1] 26:5 four [4] 21:8 31:10 32:10 42:9 fourth [1] 9:17 framework [1] 57:11 frank [1] 20:14 frankly [2] 74:5 91:20 FREDERICK [77] 1:18 2:3, 12 3:6,7,9 7:18 9:14 10:1, 8,14,19,22,25 11:2,11 12:2, 6,10,13,16,18 13:4,11 14: 11,14,18,23 15:16 16:9 17: 5 18:6 19:6,23 20:5 21:11 22:1,25 24:1,10,14 25:8 26:8 27:5,15 28:7,10 29:3,	12,23 64:5,17 65:6 66:9 67:9,20 68:4,6,16,19 70:17, 21 71:11,15,19 72:14 73:4, 7,24 76:10,13 77:1 81:25 82:4,8,11,14 85:1,10,16 86: 3,13 87:1,8,12,17,20 89:1, 6 90:7 gas [2] 24:25 25:6 gave [4] 54:18 66:11 72:20 77:7 gecko's [1] 44:18 General [1] 1:21 generalized [1] 67:5 generally [3] 59:6 78:9 85: 18 Georgetown [1] 65:20 gets [2] 54:7 64:17 getting [2] 33:2 51:23 Giant [2] 13:23 90:11 give [8] 6:2,5 19:14 30:24 39:8 64:11 67:17,18 given [5] 36:14 53:14 79: 15 89:7 94:8 gives [1] 6:16 giving [2] 35:19 64:3 God [1] 26:25 Goldilocks [1] 60:19	happen [3] 55:7,11,14 happened [2] 14:24 55:15 happening [1] 17:16 happens [1] 93:12 happier [1] 76:8 hard [3] 31:13 49:11 76:17 harder [3] 17:18 18:2,19 harm [6] 22:20 81:10 92:14 94:11,14 95:23 harmful [1] 74:16 harming [2] 76:6 84:24 Harris [1] 79:23 haystack [1] 30:7 hear [2] 3:3 84:7 heard [2] 55:3,19 Hecker [1] 79:6 heightened [1] 92:15 held [2] 4:3 23:23 help [3] 20:13 25:20 78:22 helped [2] 88:8,9 helpful [1] 19:22 high [7] 29:1,15 34:11,16 62:5,7 85:5 higher [5] 8:2 63:3 69:22 87:6,10 higher-cost [2] 27:8 66:17 highest [4] 3:14 23:23,23,	identical [14] 27:11 45:14 47:18 66:21 69:7 81:16,16, 21,22 82:13 84:8 88:3,5,13 identified [1] 95:3 identify [3] 67:12 73:10 75: 11 ignored [1] 16:13 III [1] 53:7 immediate [1] 36:18 immunize [1] 42:15 immunize [1] 42:15 immunize [1] 3:21 impact [2] 59:3 88:7 implication [1] 5:9 import [1] 80:11 import [1] 80:11 important [3] 43:24 56:3 69:18 importantly [1] 61:19 impose [1] 3:12 imprudence [5] 9:5,6 31:8 43:18 56:10 imprudent [21] 3:12,22 4:4 5:7,14,16,20 8:13 28:6 31: 17 32:14 42:6,16 43:16 52: 19 70:9,12,14 85:5 88:4 90:5 imprudently [5] 38:14,18
13 79:12,19 fee [¹⁵] 33:7,18,25 65:17 74: 20 80:16,17,20 81:4,8 83: 11,14 91:23 92:4,7 feel [^{1]} 88:19 fees [^{48]} 4:7,9,13,17,18 6: 14 8:5 9:2 18:20 19:1 29:1, 14 30:19 31:12 32:9,10 33: 14 34:10,21,25 39:15 42:6, 8 51:23 54:3 59:14 62:5,6, 7 63:2,10 64:2,15,24 65:1, 4 69:22 77:22 85:6,7 86: 10,12 87:10,11,15 90:20 94:17,21 fertilizer [^{1]} 47:10 few [^{5]} 20:23 38:6,7 42:18 55:17 fewer [^{1]} 94:20 Fidelity [^{11]} 15:25 33:12 35:17,18 40:12,19 44:20 45:10 50:17 51:2 66:2 Fidelity's [^{1]} 48:24 fiduciaries [^{25]} 3:22 4:5 15:24 22:12 25:13 40:18 42:5,7,15,17 44:7,8 45:7 46:6,9 47:21 48:20 49:2,6 56:7,16 57:20 59:1 76:24	focused [2] 53:3 68:8 focuses [1] 43:23 fold [1] 60:3 follow [1] 21:23 followed [1] 43:14 following [1] 27:7 forces [1] 55:22 foreclose [1] 5:3 forget [3] 16:25 53:1 71:22 forth [6] 17:13 27:3,8 38:3, 10 66:17 forward [4] 37:21 74:13 79: 11 84:5 found [1] 26:5 four [4] 21:8 31:10 32:10 42:9 fourth [1] 9:17 framework [1] 57:11 frank [1] 20:14 frankly [2] 74:5 91:20 FREDERICK [77] 1:18 2:3, 12 3:6,7,9 7:18 9:14 10:1, 8,14,19,22,25 11:2,11 12:2, 6,10,13,16,18 13:4,11 14: 11,14,18,23 15:16 16:9 17: 5 18:6 19:6,23 20:5 21:11 22:1,25 24:1,10,14 25:8	12,23 64:5,17 65:6 66:9 67:9,20 68:4,6,16,19 70:17, 21 71:11,15,19 72:14 73:4, 7,24 76:10,13 77:1 81:25 82:4,8,11,14 85:1,10,16 86: 3,13 87:1,8,12,17,20 89:1, 6 90:7 gas [2] 24:25 25:6 gave [4] 54:18 66:11 72:20 77:7 gecko's [1] 44:18 General [1] 1:21 generalized [1] 67:5 generally [3] 59:6 78:9 85: 18 Georgetown [1] 65:20 gets [2] 54:7 64:17 getting [2] 33:2 51:23 Giant [2] 13:23 90:11 give [8] 6:2,5 19:14 30:24 39:8 64:11 67:17,18 given [5] 36:14 53:14 79: 15 89:7 94:8 gives [1] 6:16 giving [2] 35:19 64:3 God [1] 26:25 Goldilocks [1] 60:19 GORSUCH [15] 19:6,24 20:	happen [3] 55:7,11,14 happened [2] 14:24 55:15 happening [1] 17:16 happens [1] 93:12 happier [1] 76:8 hard [3] 31:13 49:11 76:17 harder [3] 17:18 18:2,19 harm [6] 22:20 81:10 92:14 94:11,14 95:23 harmful [1] 74:16 harming [2] 76:6 84:24 Harris [1] 79:23 haystack [1] 30:7 hear [2] 3:3 84:7 heard [2] 55:3,19 Hecker [1] 79:6 heightened [1] 92:15 held [2] 4:3 23:23 help [3] 20:13 25:20 78:22 helped [2] 88:8,9 helpful [1] 19:22 high [7] 29:1,15 34:11,16 62:5,7 85:5 higher [5] 8:2 63:3 69:22 87:6,10 higher-cost [2] 27:8 66:17 highest [4] 3:14 23:23,23, 25	identical [14] 27:11 45:14 47:18 66:21 69:7 81:16,16, 21,22 82:13 84:8 88:3,5,13 identified [1] 95:3 identify [3] 67:12 73:10 75: 11 ignored [1] 16:13 III [1] 53:7 immediate [1] 36:18 immunize [1] 42:15 immunize [1] 42:15 immunize [1] 42:15 immort [2] 59:3 88:7 implication [1] 5:9 import [1] 80:11 import [1] 80:11 import [1] 80:11 import [1] 31:2 imprudence [5] 9:5,6 31:8 43:18 56:10 imprudent [21] 3:12,22 4:4 5:7,14,16,20 8:13 28:6 31: 17 32:14 42:6,16 43:16 52: 19 70:9,12,14 85:5 88:4 90:5 imprudently [5] 38:14,18 41:25 69:2 86:7

	Offic	ial - Subject to Final R	eview	
incentive [3] 35:12 46:2,3	interim [1] 74:5	22 38: 12 39: 3,16,16,18,19	leaves [1] 72:22	lowest [2] 44:15 49:3
include [2] 29:11 70:5	intersection [1] 25:1	40:16 41:7,8,14 43:13,17,	led [2] 9:4 52:14	Loyola [2] 4:15 17:12
included [5] 9:2 27:9 28:	intolerable [1] 84:14	21 44: 11,21,24 45: 2,16,19	leeway [1] 59:1	M
22 66: 18,19	inventors [1] 29:12	47: 1,14,23 48: 1,4,8,19 49:	left [2] 58:24 86:23	
includes [2] 28:23,24	invest [4] 45:3,9 47:25 91:	9 50: 1,22 51: 25 52: 7,21	legal [1] 23:4	made [6] 4:17 6:14 7:11 13:
including [3] 3:22 62:22	1	53: 1,13,15 54: 5,13,14,22	less [5] 19:2 25:3,4 90:13	5 48 :20 88 :24
85: 21	invested [1] 81:13	55:9,13,22,24 56:19 57:8,	91 :13	magic [1] 19:16
inconsistent [3] 3:24 42:	investment [31] 4:8 8:1 10:	24 58: 1,2,3,4,10 60: 6,8 61:	letting [1] 91:7	maintain [1] 4:7
12 77: 16	10 13 :3 18 :24 34 :25 36 :3	24 62: 24 63: 20,24 64: 12,	level [3] 11:6,8 76:25	major [1] 43:7
incorporated [1] 43:1	40: 3 41: 20 49: 3 59: 3,5 60:	22 66: 6,10 67: 14,21 68: 5,	leverage [4] 4:12 7:2 9:1	majority [1] 93:21
increase [1] 54:2	15 61: 8 62: 5 65: 14 66: 13	14,17 70: 10,19,22 71: 12,	16: 11	man [2] 23:12 24:12
increased [1] 95:11	68:10 69:11,14 70:3 72:3	14,17 72: 8,19 73: 5,21 74: 7	liability [2] 52:12 85:4	managed ^[4] 83:12 87:5,5,
incur [3] 3:18 65:14 66:4	73: 18 75: 11,13 76: 19 79:	75: 21 76: 11,17 77: 5 81: 11	life [4] 50:10,15 51:21,22	9
incurring [1] 63:18	20,23 88: 6 91: 23 94: 20	82:3,5,9,12 84:7 85:1,13	light [4] 29:22 40:21 44:6	management [9] 17:23 28:
indemnified [1] 57:21	investments [8] 7:12 61:5	86:1,4,25 87:3,9,13,18 88:	78: 21	20 42 :16,24 43 :3,25 56 :11
independent [2] 61:25 63:	85:5,6,19,20 86:9,12	19 89: 3,25 92: 21,21,23,24,	likely [1] 77:8	62:6 79: 13
22	investors [1] 29:12	25 93: 18,24 94: 3,9,21 95:	limit [6] 7:15 72:4 84:6,21	manager [1] 11:7
index [3] 45:10 87:4,14	invests [1] 11:17	25	91: 14,16	managers [1] 23:6
indexed [2] 87:6,10	involves [1] 90:15	K	limitations [1] 5:12	managing [4] 3:15 74:3 79:
indicated [2] 74:7,8	lqbal [13] 9:9 16:8 26:15 39:		limited [1] 27:2	20 91 :22
indication [1] 94:24	4,6,7 57: 11 59: 18 73: 7 78:	KAGAN ^[35] 16 :9 17 :17 18 :	limiting [2] 5:24,25	manner [1] 24:7
individual [3] 56:22 70:25	2,7,11 92: 11	7 34:7,8,18,23 35:2,15,22	line [4] 6:4 21:17 60:17 61:	many [18] 25:2 30:5 36:6
72 :4	isn't [9] 17:17 18:2 37:3,24	49 :9 50 :1,22 52 :7 53 :15 58 :3 61 :24 62 :24 63 :20,24	23	38 :5 49 :17,18 52 :13 53 :10
individually [1] 56:22	54 :23 63 :11 68 :3 71 :9 72 :	,	lines [2] 19:7 49:17	55: 15 57: 13 60: 22 61: 17,
industry [10] 6:18 7:21 12:	13	64: 12,22 72: 8 85: 1,13 86:	lineup [2] 46:13 50:7	22 62: 14 66: 2 71: 6 93: 20,
7 18 :7,10 20 :7,22 21 :9 22 :	isolation [1] 87:23	1,4,25 87: 3,9,13,18 88: 19	liquidity [1] 72:5	20
22 39: 10	issue [5] 16:1,3 34:11 58:	89:3 92:24	list [3] 28:22 29:9 72:19	marginal [2] 81:2 89:20
inefficiencies [1] 94:6	20 77 :13	Kagan's [2] 39:19 60:7	litigation [9] 59:23 74:6,16	marginally [2] 69:17 91:13
infect [1] 78:8	issued [1] 18:11	KAVANAUGH [19] 20:21	75: 23,24 84: 17 92: 16 94:	market [12] 25:11 26:10 30:
infects [1] 61:15	italicized [1] 82:13	21 :22 22 :8 39 :17,18 40 :16	24 95: 15	21,22 31 :1,6 32 :19,22 56 :
infer [2] 20:11 69:1	item [1] 13:24	41: 7 54: 13,22 55: 10,13,22,	little [2] 49:23 90:1	24 74:24 75:1 79:8
inference [8] 59:13 67:11	itself [5] 53:18 60:14 66:1	24 56 :19 57 :8 58 :4 74 :7 92 :25 94 :21	long [6] 3:23 4:14 5:18 36:	marketing [1] 94:6
73: 10,14 77: 21 78: 14,18	77: 16 90: 15		9 42 :6 91 :11	Marymount ^[2] 4:15 17:12 massive ^[3] 77:12 91:10
92: 6	J	keep [2] 35:12 40:10	longer [1] 89:21	92:2
inferences [3] 27:18 51:16	JA [6] 15:21,24 33:8 50:5,6	keepers [1] 83:6 keeping [1] 76:24	Look [37] 11:9,13 16:15 20:	massively [1] 51:23
54: 11	94: 4	kind [11] 17:11 27:21 35:16	6,7,25 23: 17 25: 24,25,25	materials [1] 85:24
informs [1] 85:19	January [1] 21:15	55 :20 56 :11 60 :16 70 :14	37: 23 40: 10,14 48: 10 50: 5	matter [7] 1:13 7:1,9 28:5
initially [1] 94:24	JE [1] 27:7	74 :12,18 75 :6 80 :24	51 :11 54 :8 56 :17 65 :8 66 :	39 :14 41 :3 95 :15
injury [1] 53 :6	jobs [1] 42:17	kinds [4] 20:12 48:21 73:1	12 68 :11 72 :17 74 :17,23	matters [1] 23:14
inoculates [1] 28:5	joint [4] 31:10 41:1 61:3 77:	94:13	80:4 81:12 82:1,10,14,19	mean [54] 7:9,15 13:25 17:
instance [1] 64:19	2	known [1] 3:14	83 :2,4 85 :24 89 :1 90 :20,	17,25 19: 17 23: 16,17,19,
instances [6] 6:20 8:21 18:	Jones [1] 79:22		25 94 :3	24 24 :22 26 :6,25 30 :23 35 :
22 42 :18 66 :13 67 :6	Judge [4] 3:13 65:20 72:10	L	looked [6] 20:1 26:10 48:	16 37 :23 38 :1 44 :12,13,17
Instead [1] 56:16	79: 6	Labor [7] 6:22 18:11 57:22	23 78:17 82:3 87:22	45 :3 54 :23 56 :25 60 :21 62 :
institution [2] 10:11 11:20	judgment [6] 19:13 42:19	87:1 90:21 95:1,3	looking [1] 30:7	45. 3 54. 23 56. 25 60. 21 62 . 8,9,13 63 :14 64 :5,10,11 65 :
institutional [47] 4:9,17 6:	48 :14 58 :19 85 :8 92 :19	Labor's [2] 85:24 95:17	looks [2] 23:21 78:24	10,19 66: 12 67: 1,22,25 68:
14 8:4 11:8,24 13:2 14:19	judicial [1] 19:11	lacking [1] 26:18	lose [1] 69:14	7 72 :12,20 73 :20 74 :23 75 :
15: 1,6 16: 16,24 17: 3,6,15,	jumbo [2] 15:19 71:25	language [2] 18:9 26:2	losers [1] 60:2	7,17 76: 13 79: 18,22 81: 25
21 28 :18 30 :12,14 31 :22	jurisprudence [3] 43:9 78:	large [9] 47:5,8,10,15 60:	losing [1] 86:2	83 :7,23 89 :7,13 90 :9,17
36:1 40: 20 46: 13 47: 8,10,	9.9	11 71: 4,25 80: 3,19	loss [3] 33:3,3,5	meaning [1] 66:23
15 51 :24 52 :4 59 :4 60 :25	Justice [200] 1:21 3:3,10 7:	largest [1] 33:13	losses [2] 32:24 33:1	means [2] 46:20 62:9
66 :14 68 :23 69 :8,10,16 70 :	6,19 8:7 9:11,15 10: 4,12,	last [3] 42:22 55:16 75:8	lot [13] 18:20 21:4,5 25:3,4	medium [1] 76:9
1,4,15 73 :16 76 :19 78 :16	15,19,21,24 11 :1,9,12 12 :4,	latter [2] 47:11,18	29 :11,12 30 :2 36 :10 38 :4	meet [3] 9:8 39:12 69:12
80 :25 87 :23 88 :6,16 91 :4	8,11,14,17,20 13: 6,12 14:	launching [1] 38:9	44 :14 60 :21 72 :3	member [1] 44:4
94 :18	11,12,17,20 15: 8,18 16: 2,9	law [13] 3:14,25 5:15 20:15	lots [4] 30:3 39:10 95:19,20	mention [1] 82:15
institutions [1] 71:4	17 :17 18 :7 19 :6,24 20 :3,	28:5 42:3,11 43:1,2,8 75:	low [2] 82:22 87:15	mentioned [2] 82:6 88:4
insulate [1] 86:6	21 21 :22,24 22 :7,25 23 :2	20 76: 22 80 :11	low-cost [2] 47:25 61:5	menu [2] 60:11,15
insulated [1] 88:23	24: 4,8,12,15,23 25: 9,15 26:	lawful [2] 68:21,25	lower [26] 4:9,17 9:1,4 12:	merits [3] 29:18,20,21
insurance [8] 44:19 56:24 57:21 60:4 74:24 84:18 92:	9 27: 14 28: 7,8,12,14,15,16,	lawsuits [2] 56:10 57:14	23 19 :1 27 :11 32 :2 39 :15 43 :6 46 :16 49 :20 63 :7,10	met [12] 15:10 16:21 40:1,3
17 95 :6	16,17 29:7,19 30:8,8,10 31:	lead [3] 20:10 32:24 63:9	64: 2,3,15 65: 1 66: 20 74:	68:22 69:4,4 70:2 73:12
interest [4] 3:17 4:23 5:6,	7,13,19 32: 12,23 33: 2,9,24	leading [1] 58:12	20 81 :16 83 :11,14 87 :10	78: 20 82: 18,24
23	34: 7,7,8,18,23 35: 2,15,22,	least [5] 42:9,18 76:18,21	88: 11,11	MICHAEL [3] 1:20 2:6 41:
interested [1] 53:2	23,23,25 36: 9,22,25 37: 10,	93 :9	lowered [2] 6:14 31:12	11
1	· · · · ·			

Sheet 5

	Offic	ial - Subject to Final R	eview	
micro [1] 91:22	63:4,7,9 75:5,20 79:21 87:	often [4] 13:8 53:16 54:14	13 50: 8,20 51: 2,18 65: 24	penny [1] 90:13
micromanaging [4] 59:24	20 88: 15,22	55: 14	67:6 71:7 74:5 79:3 81:10	people [19] 23:20 25:16 30:
75:6 91:23 92:13	new [6] 3:21 56:1 67:16 74:	Okay [20] 9:20 11:21 12:17,	83:3 86:22 93:20 95:7	24 32 :16,17 44 :17 45 :23
mid [2] 11:3,3	10 75 :8 94 :22	24 13:21 26:3 31:3 34:23	others [6] 30:14 57:22 72:	50:23,24 51:1,2,14 70:24
mid-value [1] 48:24	next [3] 3:4 66:14 90:10	35:22 36:22 38:12 47:9 48:	23 73: 2 87: 15,19	71:7 87:16 90:4,8,14 95:8
might [10] 20:10 24:8 29:	Ninth [1] 15:2	4,19 67: 7 74: 19,20 81: 21	otherwise [4] 19:21 27:11	Pepperdine [2] 4:15 17:12
13 33: 11 37: 1 51: 6,19,20	nit-picker [2] 26:18,20	85: 13 86: 3	66:21 69:22	percent [7] 7:4,11,13 21:16,
76:23 90:13	nit-picking [1] 26:14	old [1] 20:15	out [23] 4:11 8:25 14:6 18:3	19 32 :7 54 :2
miles [1] 25:6	Nobody [1] 38:19	omnibus [1] 77:14	22 :8 24 :24 25 :10 26 :15 27 :	perfectly [2] 62:2 68:24
million [16] 33:6,8 64:7 68:	nobody's [1] 18:4	once [4] 52:19 74:21 75:9	16,20 35 :9 46 :9 49 :12 55 :	perform [1] 41:19
11 70: 23 71: 12,12 72: 22	non-brand [1] 90:3	77 :15	6 57: 4 63: 8 64: 24 65: 18,	performance [1] 43:15
73:17,18,18 81:12,14,20	non-diverse [1] 18:1	one [69] 6:1 7:21 8:19 11:	23 72: 10 83: 10,25 89: 17	performed [1] 42:17
95: 10,10	non-speculative [1] 72:16	21 13 :14 17 :18 19 :25 20 :	outlay [1] 81:20	perhaps [2] 60:3 81:19
millions [4] 34:6 92:3 93:	none [1] 6:6	25 22: 18 25: 1,4 31: 15,16,	outliers [1] 22:21	period [4] 27:10 66:20 83:
22,22	Nor [2] 5:24 82:17	23 32:4,6,8,13 33:13 34:14,	outrageous [1] 32:18	13 95 :12
minimum [20] 13:3 15:18	norm [3] 18:7 20:22 21:9	20,20 35: 3,3,16,16 37: 15	over [9] 26:25 55:16,19,19	permit [1] 37:20
30 :15 40 :1,3 59 :3 61 :8 67 :	normal [2] 24:19 50:20	38: 24 40: 22 45: 7,16,19,20,	65:2 79:13 83:8,12,12	person [4] 13:23 20:20 30:
13 68:11,22 69:4,11 70:2,	normally [1] 36:15	22 46: 21 48: 18,24,25 49:	overhaul [1] 66:4	5 56: 22
25 71:2,22 73:11 78:20 82:	norms [1] 6:18	22 50:9,19 51:22 58:11 61:	overlooks [1] 58:24	petition [1] 83:21
16,23	NORTHWESTERN [19] 1:	20 63:25 65:11,13,25 66:8	overriding [1] 58:18	Petitioner [2] 76:8 80:23
minimums [1] 88:7	6 3: 5 4: 10,16 6: 20,25 8: 10,	68:12 69:10 71:4,14 72:2,	overseeing [1] 79:20	Petitioners [12] 1:4,19,23
missed [1] 36:8	24 16:10 18:17 22:3 25:13	21 73:1,25 76:18 79:2 80:	own [6] 4:19 6:9 37:13 45:	2:4,8,13 3:8 41:13 46:11
missing [1] 79:4	35:9,10 41:4 71:4 77:13	3,4 81:13 86:14,19,21 87:	24 58 :14 85 :24	68:8 84:23 93:4
mix [3] 18:24 70:1 84:19	93: 19 95: 20	14 91: 8,11 94: 12	oyster [4] 86:15,16,18,19	Petitioners' [7] 4:20 46:18
model [1] 77:8	notes [2] 46:9 52:18	one-of-a-kind [2] 64:9 75:	oysters [1] 86:17	58:13,22 59:8 75:12 91:6
modern [1] 29:15	nothing [3] 14:10 47:16 88:	15	P	phrase [1] 55:4
moment [2] 53:3,16	17	ones [6] 4:5 5:7,8,20 10:17		pick [6] 39:18 44:20 50:14,
Monday [1] 1:11	notice [2] 27:22,22	24: 5	p.m [1] 96:2	15,19 91: 11
money [5] 3:11 16:18 48:	notified [1] 62:21	ongoing [2] 4:3 5:13	PAGE [18] 2:2 6:1 21:12 25:	picked [1] 51:13
12 66:15 95:20	notify [2] 89:22,23	only [19] 3:18 11:21,23 16:	23 26: 5,10 27: 7 61: 2 66:	picks [2] 10:23 11:4
monitor [2] 4:4 5:13	notion [5] 60:16 61:21 64:6	16 18 :4 22 :14 34 :20 35 :3	12 67:1,4 68:10 71:13 77:	piece [2] 17:1 46:21
morning [2] 42:2 60:22	69 :6 77 :16	38:6 44:12 45:14 48:12 49:	2 83: 1,5 91: 3 94: 3	places [1] 86:20
most [3] 36:4,4 78:17	notwithstanding [1] 7:2	14,19 50: 19 59: 22 63: 16	pages [9] 9:12 12:13,16 17:	plain [2] 3:25 4:22
motion [3] 9:9 21:6 93:11	nowhere [1] 93:6	65: 12 84: 2	14 31: 9 40: 25 71: 20 82: 5,	plaintiff [5] 27:19 80:13,23
motions [1] 54:19	number [17] 17:1,2 18:14	open [1] 30:22	6	91 :8 92 :1
moved [1] 32:8	19:16 34:15 38:23 54:16	operates [1] 74:10	paid [2] 32:10 63:4	plaintiffs [5] 36:5,12 37:4
moving [1] 27:21	61:4 63:17 65:18,22 72:2,	opinion [1] 89:17	paragraph [2] 40:15 83:1	38 :16 53 :6
much [16] 7:8 8:2,18 9:24	5 82:21 88:7 95:8,10	opportunities [2] 46:24	paragraphs [2] 10:8 36:10	plan [52] 3:16,23 4:6,7 15:7
16 :4 18 :2 31 :14 37 :18 49 :	0	94 :20	parallel [1] 34:25	18: 4,16 19: 5 33: 20 37: 8,9
13 60:10 63:3,12 79:24 88:		opportunity [7] 19:2 43:5	parallelism [1] 39:19	41 :17,21,25 43 :12 44 :4 46 :
20 94:22 95:23	objective [4] 7:19 20:1,18	46 :7 47 :21,24 51 :10 95 :22	parsimoniously [1] 37:2	12,25 48:22 49:1 50:7 51:
multiple [5] 55:4 65:11 77:	25 :9	opposed [3] 24:20,21 31:	part [5] 16:22 23:10 34:3	4 52:14 60:17 66:3 68:12,
3 83: 6 94 :4	obligation [4] 30:20 43:10	17	43 :24 77 :14	13,23 69: 20,25 70: 6,8 72: 5
must [2] 3:16 93:15	44: 8 49: 1	opposite [1] 38:22	participant [5] 11:5 52:15	74:8 75:10 77:24 78:15,17,
mutual [11] 13:7 27:8,12	obscure [1] 62:19	option [2] 61:18 79:9	58 :25 75 :10 90 :18	18,22,25 81:5 84:15 85:18
37:12 44:13 48:21 66:2,18,	obtain [2] 12:23 43:6	options [32] 3:23,23 4:4 5:	participant's [1] 50:19	86:9 88:10,24,25 91:10 92:
22 67: 2,3	obtained [2] 15:25 46:15	18 28:4,6,24 30:5 36:6,19	participants [21] 4:23,25 5:	2 93:22 94:16
<u> </u>	obvious [1] 39:13	37:12 48:22 59:5 60:2,15,	6,23 8:2 24:7 36:21 37:8	plans [58] 4:16 6:23,24 7:3,
	obviously [1] 8:18	23 61:4,7,22 62:14,14,15,	47 :22 48 :23 49 :1 50 :11,24	4 15:19 16:17 18:15 21:16,
name [4] 90:2,3,4 93:25	October [1] 6:9	17,19,22 65: 14 69: 14 72: 7	62:21 75:19 84:16,20,24	20,20 22:5,23 23:6 27:9
named [2] 21:7 37:4	offer [22] 9:25 10:2,6,16 11:	82:21 84:21 88:7 90:23	86:24 90:22 95:23	32:7 33:13,21 37:12 44:13
need [7] 15:14 46:22 53:21,	5,25 12: 15 30: 2,2 47: 4,5,7	oral [6] 1:14 2:2,5 3:7 41:	participants' [2] 42:1 89:	50: 17,24 56: 12 59: 16,22,
23 54 :7 81 :19,20	48:11,13,22,25 49:2 52:19	11 58: 7	12	25 60 :2,14 61 :4 62 :20 63 :
needed [1] 15:17	85 :6 86 :9 88 :4 90 :6	oranges [1] 45:8	particular [4] 48:21 59:11	4 66:19 68:12 69:15 71:25
needle [1] 30:7	offered [17] 8:1,6 9:22 10:9	order [8] 5:7 16:24 43:2 46:	69 :21 88 :11	72:1,2,3,6,11,12 74:2,3,13
negligence [3] 17:22 20:	11:6 15:7 18:17,23 30:14	13 53:21 64:23 66:5 69:11	particularly [2] 70:2 83:9	75: 4,18,19 77: 13 79: 13,21
14,17	42:7 47:9,15,17 49:17 50:	ordinary [1] 38:25	past [4] 21:6 55:1 58:17 74:	84: 15,24 91: 19 92: 8,13,15
negotiate [3] 33:24 49:11	7,11,17	organizations [1] 83:8	21	95: 9,11
83: 14	offering [9] 18:23 25:10,12	other [44] 6:19 10:16 13:14,	paternalistic [1] 59:8	plausibility [8] 4:20 6:10 9:
negotiated [3] 4:17 30:25	28:21 32:22 42:5 50:4 56:	22 14 :9 15 :24 17 :10 21 :2	path [1] 75:9	8 16:3 46:18 52:25 57:12
40: 18	12 85: 4	22 :17 24 :2 26 :11 30 :4 31 :	pay [6] 8:8,9 13:19 44:4,8	59 :18
negotiating [1] 40:18	offerings [4] 18:14 28:22	10 33 :16 34 :4,12 35 :8 36 :	69: 22	plausible [12] 6:8 16:6 27:
negotiation [2] 79:17 80:5	29: 9,9	14 39 :9 40 :18,21,25 41 :2	paying [8] 33:6 49:13 63:3	18 30 :17 33 :20 37 :10 40 :
never [12] 8:24 32:20 60:19	offers [2] 63:18 85:18	45: 24 47: 14,15,25 48: 1,6,	64: 14,14 65: 3,5 94: 16	20 69 :3 71 :9 73 :14,15 78 :
		, <u>,</u> ,, e ,		· · · · , · · · · ·

Official - Subject to Final Review

	Offic	ial - Subject to Final R	eview	
3	prices [3] 49:21 63:7 64:3	10:22 13:13,15 14:13,21,	11,13	Respondents' [5] 4:19 5:
plausibly [8] 29:4 30:13 31:		22,22 16: 3 22: 1,2 25: 22	record-keepers [11] 23:20	25 6 :9 51 :17 54 :12
5 36:17 37:13 39:2 41:4	principally [3] 22:9 43:18,	29:19 36:5 37:15 52:4,5,6,	31 :11,15 35 :14 41 :20 46 :	responding [1] 6:21
70 :9	22	17 53:22 62:20 68:19 72:	16 49: 18,20 63: 5,16 65: 12	response [2] 46:22 51:19
play [3] 57:3,3 84:21	principle [2] 5:20,25	15 80: 1,16 85: 17 86: 5,15	recordkeepers [1] 77:3	Restatement [2] 43:7 44:1
plead [7] 15:24 18:13 64:6	principles [2] 3:25 5:15	91: 7,8 94: 10	recordkeeping [23] 4:11,	restrictions [1] 19:20
77 :1,20 81 :3 82 :17	prior [2] 6:11,12	questions [3] 19:11 60:5	19 8:25 19:2 28:19 30:19	rests [1] 40:23
pleaded [4] 6:7 8:20 15:21	problem [7] 20:21 30:18	93 :17	31:12 33:19,22 34:10,21	result [3] 9:5 31:9 80:5
18:7	35:4 61: 18 77: 19 95: 2,16	quickly [1] 95:19	40 :9,24 41 :6 52 :5 62 :6 63 :	results [1] 20:10
pleading [21] 8:14 15:14	problematic [1] 56:24	quite [2] 55:10 90:17	1,8,10 81 :1 83 :4 94 :4,17	retail [21] 4:7 10:10 11:5,19,
27 :17,22 29 :21 39 :1 46 :17	problems [4] 14:3 56:23	quote [1] 24:3	redistributed [1] 16:19	22 28:18 47:4 52:4,19 61:
51: 16 54: 10,15 55: 1,4,5,18	63:15 94:13	quoted [2] 23:9 39:10	redressed [1] 95:1	1 68 :24 69 :1,8 70 :1,3 78 :
56 :9 59 :11 68 :6 72 :10 74 :	procedural [1] 9:5		reduce [8] 4:13 18:14,20	16,21 87: 22 88: 9,16 91: 4
21 76 :14 92 :10	Procedure [1] 16:6	R	32 :9 33 :14 34 :1,20 51 :23	retain [1] 87:19
pleads [1] 8:15	proceed [4] 54:20 59:21	races [1] 84:10	reduced [2] 41:5 76:24	retire [1] 50:15
1.		raise [1] 19:10		
please [4] 3:10 41:15 52:3	80:24 92:1	raised [1] 73:13	reduction [3] 18:10 33:25	retirees [6] 34:6 60:1 75:
58 :10	process [13] 7:1 8:23 20:9,	rate [10] 17:3,6,21 30:21 31:	94:7	25 92:14 93:23 95:19
plucked [2] 65:18,23	11 31 :9 32 :21,23 35 :7 38 :	1,6 34 :1 35 :13,19 43 :6	reductions [1] 76:2	retirement [8] 3:16 18:16
point [30] 7:7,17,19 10:3,5	25 39 :14 41 :3 43 :24 94 :12	rates [3] 16:16,24 32:22	reference [1] 80:9	42: 1 44: 6 50: 12,18 59: 22
17: 24,25 18: 3 21: 7 22: 7,8	professors [1] 62:18	rather [1] 18:16	references [1] 83:17	75 :18
27: 16,20 35: 7 36: 1,2,3 48:	promulgated [1] 21:15	rather 11 18:16 reaction [1] 40:13	referred [1] 20:22	retort [1] 39:20
16,17 56: 3 65: 24,25 68: 1	proposals [1] 25:11		referring [1] 83:17	retrospectively [1] 20:25
71 :18,24 79 :16 85 :23 88 :	proposed [2] 27:10 66:19	read [12] 12:20 13:1,8 24:2	reform [1] 95:17	return [3] 7:11,13 9:4
22 90:19 95:16	proprietary [1] 9:2	26: 17,19 30: 13 36: 17 39: 1	reformed [1] 4:16	returns [2] 43:15,19
points [2] 39:19 51:7	prospective [1] 58:15	65 :19 66 :23 90 :24	regardless [1] 35:5	reverse [1] 28:11
popular [6] 28:24,25 29:13	protecting [1] 24:6	reading [2] 26:13 37:1	regulations [4] 18:12 58:	reversed [2] 42:20 93:16
63:18 65:14 66:2	prove [5] 29:6 30:16 49:7	real [9] 13:13,15 14:12 26:	16 95: 1,17	revolutionize [1] 58:14
portfolio [2] 28:24 29:16	71 :10 95 :22	17 55: 7,11 57: 18 60: 1 69 :	regulatory [2] 73:25 74:10	rid [1] 31:21
portion [1] 69:18	provide [10] 5:12 19:1 21:	15	reject [2] 31:23 32:12	rightfully [2] 62:16 93:23
pose [1] 84:12	24 60 :14 64 :20,20,21 67 :	reality [2] 55:23 95:7	relatively [1] 75:8	rise [2] 6:2 54:18
position [15] 5:10 6:7 13:	10 87: 21 92: 5	really [23] 25:25 26:13 37:	relied [1] 43:9	roam [1] 50:24
16 39:20 52:1,6,8,11,18 53:		15 39: 21 44: 18 46: 24 49:	rely [5] 38:24,24 83:22,25	ROBERTS [23] 3:3 22:25
9,12 61 :19 70 :16,18 86 :6	84:6	10 51: 15 56: 8,21 57: 1 62:	84: 1	24: 8,12,15 28: 8,12,16 30: 8
possible [1] 72:9	provides [3] 5:21,22 37:7	9 63: 6 67: 25 75: 8 79: 12,	relying [1] 35:10	34 :7 35 :23 39 :16 41 :8 44 :
possibly [3] 50:23 59:17	providing [3] 4:24 35:14	18 80:14 83:11,23 84:5,12	remaining [1] 16:20	11,21,24 45 :2,16,19 57 :24
72:9	59 :5	89: 14	remand [1] 14:23	73:21 92:21 95:25
potential [1] 6:3	proxy [1] 31:8	realms [1] 19:12	remanded [1] 95:21	role [5] 58:24 59:24 74:12
power [1] 63:6	prudence [11] 3:17 4:5 5:1	reason [3] 45:22 64:11 69:	remove [3] 4:4 5:14,16	91:21 92:12
practice [1] 22:6	8:24 22:2 32:15 41:18 56:	16	renegotiate [1] 31:2	rotten [1] 6:2
-	16 58 :23 59 :9 75 :6	reasonable [20] 3:18 4:25	requesting [1] 25:11	roughly [1] 41:1
practices [4] 4:11 21:17		19:12 33:7 42:8 57:23 59:		
25: 12 58: 14	prudent [24] 3:24 5:8,18 7:	13 67:11 73:10 77:9,21 78:	require [2] 74:1 84:23	rule [19] 3:21 5:3,9 6:22 16:
precedents [3] 4:1 42:13	1 23:12 24:12 25:12 28:4	14,18 80: 16,17 83: 13 85: 7	required 195.10 16.4,7 46.	
59: 11	32 :11 33 :11 42 :7,24 43 :3,	86:10 87:18,20		70 :12 74 :4,14,15 75 :12 76 :
preference [2] 91:2,8	25 44 :7 49 :8 54 :4 69 :25	reasonableness [5] 7:20	requirement [2] 66:3 73:	5,7 79: 7,9
premise [3] 32:13 60:24	74 :13 79 :24 81 :7 85 :6 86 :	8:22 20:2,19 25:9	18	ruled [2] 85:2,3
61:9	10,17	reasonably [3] 22:3 29:25	requirements [17] 40:3 59:	Rules [3] 16:6 21:13 27:21
premised [1] 82:20	prudently [3] 78:19 83:12	37:1	4 61:9 64:10,10 67:13 68:	ruling [2] 5:11 84:22
premium [2] 74:24 92:17	95 :18		22 69: 4,11,12 70: 3 71: 23	run [1] 24:4
premiums [4] 60:4 74:25	Purdue [2] 4:15 17:12	reasons [7] 42:10,19 53:15	73: 11,12 78: 20 82: 16,24	S
84 :18 95 :6	purely [2] 53:4 72:17	58:18 69:24 72:6 73:25	requires [3] 4:5 41:16 42:	
presence [1] 70:6	purpose [1] 4:24	rebate [2] 33:16,22	23	Sacerdote [1] 56:1
present [1] 51:10	purposes [3] 15:14 53:7	rebates [2] 33:18 46:15	respect [17] 29:25 30:12	sake [2] 20:17 51:5
presented [1] 14:15	56:4	REBUTTAL [3] 2:11 93:2,	37 :3 60 :24 61 :6 62 :8,23	same [27] 4:8 8:1 9:18 11:
pressure [1] 55:15	pursued [1] 53:24	3	65:16 68:19 71:19 76:18,	11 14 :14 17 :11 18 :24 21 :3
pretty [2] 44:5 66:11	pursuing [1] 81:8	recall [3] 14:16 52:2 54:9	20 80 :25 87 :25,25 91 :4 93 :	23:1 24:7,22 27:12 30:6
prevailed [1] 80:13	put [15] 4:10 11:12,13,14	recently [1] 75:2	18	33 :18 34 :5 38 :15 41 :5 48 :
prevailing [5] 5:2 7:22 18:	25:17,18 34:11 36:20 49:	recipients [1] 15:7	Respectfully [1] 55:9	2 56: 5 64: 15 65: 22 66: 21
8 20 :6 29 :23	11 63 :8 64 :24 70 :19 71 :10	recognize [1] 7:23	respects [2] 69:9 72:2	67:2,3 80:21 81:7 94:1
prevent [1] 74:12	90:10 91:21	recognized [2] 77:11 80:	Respondent [2] 44:1 57:	satisfy [1] 66:5
prevents [1] 38:21	putting [2] 35:8 88:20	14	18	saved [3] 66:15 93:22 95:
previously [1] 43:8		recognizes [1] 82:23	Respondents [14] 1:7,25	19
price [14] 30:25 31:5,8 32:2,	Q	record [2] 83:6,25	2 :10 4 :6 5 :10,19 6 :4 15 :8,	savings [2] 34:5 42:1
19 49 :3 64 :3 76 :2,20,25	qualify [3] 61:5,8 82:22	record-keeper [8] 6:13 9:	23 37 :11 41 :24 42 :4 51 :9	saying [20] 16:10,25 17:5,
81 :17 89 :21 91 :14,16	question [33] 5:12 6:5 8:18	3 21 :21 32 :6,8 35 :11 65 :	58 :8	19 23 :4 25 :22 34 :9,13 40 :
UI.I/ UJ.2/ JI.14,10				-,

Official - Subject to Final Review				
6 52: 16 55: 17,18 64: 8,13	17 70:1,4 78:16,17,21 82:	17 77 :5 94 :3	43 :19 53 :5 63 :11 72 :16 73 :	27 :20 59 :20 78 :1
67 :23 68 :2 75 :10 77 :6 86 :	16,22 87: 22,23 88: 2,6,9,16,	sound ^[1] 85:18	9 75: 10,15 76: 16 78: 2,12	THOMAS [11] 7:6,19 8:7
8 87 :4	17 91: 5	sounds [2] 17:22 72:8	79: 17	28:14,15 43:13,17,21 58:1
says [19] 10:6 13:2 20:5 21:	shed [3] 60:2 65:13 66:2	space [7] 11:17,18 20:17	sufficiently [1] 39:24	60:8 92:22
25 25 :23 26 :20,23,25 27 :7	shedding [1] 63:17	47: 4,6,8 74: 2	suggest [5] 16:15 18:25	though [6] 4:8 8:11 22:19
34 :10,16,18 61 :3 65 :21 66 :	shifted [1] 61:11	spades [1] 39:8	41 :4 52 :8 57 :19	54:23 85:12 94:12
25 78:23 81:12 86:6,7	shopping [1] 90:11	special [1] 56:15	suggesting [2] 62:11 63:	thoughtfully [1] 53:22
scale [2] 60:2 84:22	short [1] 77:12	specific [2] 49:2 83:16	21	thousand [4] 13:19,21 26:
scenario [1] 14:15	shouldn't [1] 13:18	specifically [5] 46:12 61:3	suit [3] 3:22 22:7 86:7	24 70: 23
scour [1] 79:8	show [7] 18:19 40:7 41:24	90:21 91:3 94:5	suited [2] 91:22 92:13	thousands [2] 22:23,24
scrapped [2] 16:17,17	45:25 80:2 81:3 82:18	specificity [1] 16:4	suits [3] 21:4 22:8,14	threat [4] 58:16 74:22 75:
scrutinize [2] 54:8 79:7	showed [1] 71:6	specifics [1] 77:12	support [6] 42:11 53:6 61:	17 92: 2
second [7] 19:10 30:18 31:	Shuttle [4] 11:18 47:4,6,8	speculative [3] 72:17,19	25 67:10 73:9 92:6	three [9] 13:9 14:18 18:5
25 59 :7 61 :13 83 :18 84 :1	shuttles [1] 11:18	78 :12	supported [1] 61:20	21 :7 22 :14 26 :2 36 :18 37 :
second-to-last [1] 27:6	side [2] 13:14 74:18	spend [1] 60:9	supporting [5] 1:23 2:8 41:	4 58 :18
secondly [1] 27:16	sift [1] 5:6	split [1] 54:18	13 62 :10 65 :21	threshold [4] 9:8 13:3 16:
Secretary [1] 37:8	significant [1] 72:2	stage [16] 8:14 9:7 27:17	supports [2] 46:18 52:24	20 59 :18
Section [1] 3:15	significantly [3] 27:11 44:	29 :21 31 :25 39 :1 41 :24 46 :		thresholds [3] 15:9,11,19
see [11] 10:17 13:17 19:7	5 66: 20	17 51: 16 54: 10,15 55: 2,4,5,		throw [1] 72:10
25 :24 30 :22,23 32 :14 36 :8	similar [6] 5:16 34:24 50:8,	19 74: 21	supposed [5] 27:17 29:2,4	thrust [2] 59:23 92:12
50 :6 61 :1 66 :7	21 54: 19 67: 6	standalone [2] 62:10 78:5	45 :4 81 :23	TIAA ^[8] 15 :25 31 :21 33 :12
seeing [1] 25:11	simple [1] 90:1	standard [13] 23:4,10,23,	SUPREME [2] 1:1,14	35 :17,18 40 :10 51 :3 63 :17
seeking [2] 6:22 91:9	simply [6] 24:18 49:8 61:	25 29 :8,22 39 :12 42 :23 56 :		TIAA-CREF [1] 40:19
seem [4] 24:17 32:17 52:7	22 69:3 73:15 84:3	9 64 :22 80 :7,8,21	survey [1] 21:18	Tibble [7] 4:2 5:11 14:15,
60 :9	since [3] 18:11 22:15 95:12	standards [7] 22:22 23:12	survive [1] 9:9	21 42 :13 54 :21 56 :1
seemed [2] 51:13 52:1	single [2] 21:21 75:11	24: 19 39: 6 68: 7 76: 14 92:	suspicion [1] 11:15	tied [2] 8:23 35:12
seems [4] 13:15 24:16 49:	sir [1] 9:14	10	swaths [1] 42:15	tighten [1] 56:8
23 70 :23	situation [4] 18:22 26:17	standing [1] 94:10	switch [1] 22:4	TIIA [1] 50:18
seen [1] 91:17	31 :22 45 :6	start [3] 4:21 50:4 93:17		timing [1] 37:15
select [1] 41:19	six [1] 6:19	started [1] 94:25	T	today [1] 61:16
selected [1] 43:12	size [2] 7:4 77:12	state [7] 43:19 58:21 59:10	table [5] 9:13 27:8 63:7 66:	took [3] 21:13 46:10 79:24
selection [2] 70:13 79:14	skill [4] 3:19 4:6 5:1 41:18	67:8 75:1 78:2 91:18	16,17	top [1] 7:4
selling [1] 68:1	skillful [1] 42:24	stated [1] 65:7	talked [1] 93:7	totally [2] 17:19 48:4
send [1] 93:9	skyrocketed [1] 74:25	statements [1] 90:24	target [1] 50:11	track [1] 86:2
sense [1] 80:21	skyrocketing [1] 60:4	STATES [8] 1:1,15,22 2:7	task [1] 56:21	tradeoffs [2] 59:2 79:4
sensible [1] 51:12	skyrockets [1] 92:17	41: 12 61: 20.25 75: 12	Tech 5 4:14 17:12 18:13	treat [1] 4:5
sentence [2] 27:7 67:2	slightly [3] 24:20 26:21 86:	States' [1] 62:1	66: 1,1	treatises [2] 43:8 44:2
sentences [1] 13:9	21	stating [1] 64:18	television [1] 44:14	trial [6] 14:25 51:10 55:6
serious [2] 65:14 75:1	small [7] 22:18 45:9 47:4	statute [7] 4:22 5:11 18:9	ten [1] 75:8	56:2 71:10 95:22
seriously [1] 95:6	48 :24 66 :14 67 :16 68 :9	20 :5 24 :3 37 :7 93 :7	term [1] 10:23	tried [2] 54:21 61:12
service [5] 64:16 81:4,9 92:		statutory [3] 7:21 42:22,23	terrible [1] 75:18	trier [1] 46:21
4,7	Smith [1] 67:23	-	test [1] 24:11	trouble [1] 46:4
,		staying [1] 77:7 steps [3] 46:10 74:13 76:1	testimony [1] 18:21	true [4] 41:24 44:7 47:3 61:
services [9] 8:25 59:14 60:	so-called [1] 71:24	-	text [6] 3:25 4:22 41:16 42:	
3 77:22 79:14 83:9,12 84:	sodium [5] 90:2,3,4 93:25	still [9] 32:1,16,17 36:2 59:	10,12,22	6
22 91:24	94: 1	10 70:11 71:17,18 72:14 stock [1] 11:4	themselves [3] 50:13 77:1	truly [1] 65:9
set [3] 17:13 18:1 49:15	sole [2] 5:5 24:5		84: 18	trust [6] 5:15 17:23 43:1,2,
sets [3] 27:8 45:20 66:17 settle [2] 14:2 55:15	solely ^[3] 3:17 4:23 40:23 soliciting ^[1] 23:19	stomped [1] 63:6	theoretically [1] 63:14	7 44:2 trustee [2] 17:23 43:13
	J. J	stop [1] 56:12	theory [6] 15:4 29:16 52:12	
settled [4] 21:5 22:18 54:	Solicitor [1] 1:20	stopping [2] 7:16,18	62 :13 79 :5 91 :17	trustees [2] 43:5,10
22 55 :25	solving [1] 35:4	store [3] 13:18 14:9 27:2	there's [30] 7:15,16 16:14	trusts [3] 42:11 43:7 80:11
settlement [2] 74:23 84:10	somebody [2] 24:24 25:2	story [1] 57:17	18 :19,20 34 :13 40 :6 49 :7,	truth [2] 8:15 39:2
seven [2] 32:6 34:3	somehow [7] 30:25 62:11	strategy [2] 7:7,14	15 51 :3,11 55 :14 57 :13 60 :	try [7] 8:21 9:1 10:20 27:5
Seventh [19] 3:20 5:3,9 42:	69 :2 70 :8 74 :9 77 :17 95 :	strip [1] 59:1	16,21 63: 15 65: 23 66: 3 68:	29: 5 35: 13 44: 16
3 47 :3,20 60 :10 70 :11 76 :	15	strongest [1] 30:11	25 70 :5,14 72 :14 79 :1,18	trying [2] 20:24 45:8
5,12 83: 19 85: 2,3,8,14 89:	someone [2] 13:16 20:19	struggle [1] 92:15	88: 17 89: 8 90: 8,14 91: 14,	
4 92:19 93:6,15	sometimes [2] 13:2 23:21	stuck [3] 26:21 27:4 36:2	16	two [31] 16: 1 22: 15 31: 15,
share [21] 4:18 6:15 8:4 12:	sooner [1] 46:19	sub-funds [1] 38:3	thereby [2] 31:12 41:5	17,20,23 32: 1,6,14,16,17,
23 13 :2 14 :19 15 :1,6 17 :	sorry ^[3] 47:9,22 60:9	subject [3] 9:11 59:22 92:2	therefore [2] 23:7 88:3	22 33:9,11 34:14 38:21 39:
15 27 :9,12 36 :1 45 :15 66 :	sort [1] 63:21	submitted [2] 96:1,3	They'll [1] 56:22	6 51: 6,13,21 63: 15,25 64: 1
18,21 68 :2 70 :15 80 :25 84 :	sorts [1] 75:7	subsequently [2] 15:10	5	67:5 69:7,9,16 72:5 73:24
8,9 94: 18	SOTOMAYOR [22] 28:7	61 :12	they've [3] 21:5 44:15 61:	76 :24 90 :13
shares [32] 10:10 30:12,15	30: 9,10 31: 7,13,19 32: 12,	sudden [1] 54:3	11 thin [1] 65,19	Twombly [13] 9:9 16:7 26:
40 :20 46 :14 52 :19 59 :4 61 :		sued [2] 5:20 42:5	thin [1] 65:18	15 39: 4,5,12 57: 11 59: 19
1 68: 23,24 69: 1,5,7,8,9,10,	71: 12,14,17 75: 21 76: 11,	sufficient [13] 37:3 40:2	third [8] 9:16,17,25 10:2,7	73:8 78:1,7,11 92:11
Units as Departing Comparation				

type [2] 6:5 48:2	wake [2] 56:23 60:4
types [3] 18:15 54:19 69:7	Walmart [2] 90:10,12
U	wanted [1] 49:14
ultimate [1] 44:5	wants [2] 38:19 76:8
ultimately [4] 59:25 84:17,	Washington [4] 1:10,18,
21 92:14	21,24
unadministrable [1] 61:	Wasting [2] 3:11 41:25
23	water [3] 8:7,11,12 way [20] 12:6 22:22 27:6 34:
Under [12] 3:15 5:1 7:21 16:	20,20,24 35 :3,4 40 :14 49 :9
7 19:19 58:21 59:10 68:6	55: 17 56: 9 63: 16 65: 12,13
77:25 79:23 91:6,16	74 :10 77 :17 78 :23 79 :19
understand [5] 28:17 35:	93 :14
25 36 :1 62 :1 85 :2	weight [1] 88:20
UNITED [9] 1:1,15,22 2:7	welcome [1] 60:5
41 :12 61 :20,24 62 :1 75 :12	well-known [1] 28:25
universities [21] 4:14 6:19 17:10 21:3,8 31:11 32:5	whatever [5] 17:23 25:7
33 :17 34 :4 35 :8 39 :9 40 :	38: 7,17 44: 18
25 41 :2 50 :25 58 :13 62 :17	whatsoever [1] 84:6
75: 25 77: 15 93: 20,21 95:	Whereupon [1] 96:2 whether [31] 9:22 11:4 12:
18	25 20: 9 22: 3 29: 8,8,10,22
UNIVERSITY [8] 1:6 3:5	32: 21 49: 19 60: 17 62: 3,4,
20: 25 33: 21 55: 16 56: 2 65:	5,6,20 63 :24 65 :8 68 :20
24 74 :2	72 :15 78 :19 79 :15,17 80 :
unless [2] 31:5 56:8	15,17,18 85: 17 89: 18 91: 1
unnecessary [2] 33:23 94:	93 :25
16	whole [7] 10:3 11:23 15:4
unreasonable 5 21:23 86:11,12 87:7,24	27:20 69:20 88:10 95:16
unreasonably [1] 85:5	wholesale [1] 11:20
unstick [1] 27:6	wide [2] 43:2 76:7
unsticks [1] 27:15	wider [1] 7:23
unwise [1] 29:14	will [11] 13:8 19:1,1,3 25:25 37:16,20 46:22 50:6 82:15
up [11] 11:16 39:18 56:8 57:	93 :17
4,7 60: 3 64: 2 75: 24 82: 2	without [2] 30:15 76:6
91 :15 95 :10	won [1] 14:25
upshot [1] 37:5	wondering [2] 23:2,16
urge ଓ 92:18 93:9 95:5 uses ଏ 86:14	Wood [1] 79:6
uses [1] 83:8	word [4] 66:23 81:15 82:13
	88:20 words [13] 4:22 5:2 7:22
V	11 :10,13,14 24 :2,17 34 :12
value [3] 31:20 51:4 53:23	36 :20 45 :24 47 :14 58 :14
Vanguard [2] 45:9 68:9	worked [1] 55:6
variety [2] 51:4,5 version [5] 11:19,20,20,22,	works [2] 12:7,9
24	world [4] 38:4 55:7,11 57:
versus [4] 3:4 60:25 79:22	18
91 :4	worms [1] 73:1
view [4] 26:14 39:7 45:22	worry [1] 55:5 write [1] 89:17
91 :6	write [1] 89:17 wrongly [1] 13:10
VII [1] 61:13	wrote [1] 25:16
virtue [1] 69:1	V
Vision [1] 67:16 voluntarily [1] 22:16	<u> </u>
	year [3] 21:14 33:7 34:6
<u> </u>	years [6] 6:19 22:10 34:4 55:17 65:3 75:9
wait [4] 11:9 67:14,14,14	York [1] 56:2
waive [3] 13:8 40:4 71:1	yourself [3] 62:16 64:6 86:
waived [1] 15:19 waiver [8] 40:4,5 64:9 71:5,	6
24 75:14 78:6 79:16	
waivers [4] 15:25 71:7,25	
76 :2	